### BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. R-2024-3045192

**AND** 

DOCKET NO. R-2024-3045193

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES CAGLE

#### **REGARDING**

TAXES (TAX CUT & JOBS ACT, CONSOLIDATED INCOME TAX ADJUSTMENT),

RATEMAKING TREATMENT OF CUSTOMER ASSISTANCE PROGRAM COSTS, AND

COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE VEOLIA/SUEZ MERGER

VEOLIA WATER PENNSYLVANIA, INC.

February 2024

1	Q.	What is your name and business address?
2	A.	I am James C. Cagle. My business address is 461 From Road, Paramus, NJ
3		07652.
4		
5	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
6	A.	I am the Vice President, Rates and Regulatory Affairs for Veolia Water
7		M&S (Paramus), Inc. ("VWM&S").
8		
9	Q.	What are your job responsibilities?
10	A.	I am primarily responsible for the oversight of rate case filings for Veolia Water
11		Pennsylvania, Inc. ("VWPA" or the "Company"). I am also responsible for
12		oversight of certain rate related compliance and reporting requirements as
13		prescribed by the various regulatory commissions having jurisdiction over the
14		Veolia companies.
15		
16	Q.	Please outline your educational and professional qualifications.
17	A.	I received a Bachelor of Accountancy degree from the University of Oklahoma in
18		1987 and am a Certified Public Accountant licensed in the State of Texas. I was
19		initially employed by United Water M&S as Director, Regulatory Business in
20		October of 2007 and have held my current position since March 2010.
21		Previous to that, I was employed by Atmos Energy Corporation, a natural gas

utility operating in twelve states, as Manager, Rates and Revenue Requirements. I

22

1		have testified before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and several
2		other state commissions on various regulatory issues.
3		
4	<u>Purp</u>	pose and Outline
5	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
6	A.	The purpose of my testimony in this case is to present support for the following:
7		Adjustments related to the amounts amortized since the last case of
8		the protected regulatory liability balance created by the Tax Cuts and
9		Jobs Act ("TCJA") as it relates to the current amortization and the
10		calculation of the amounts utilizing the Average Rate Assumption
11		Method ("ARAM").
12		The appropriate level of amortization of the protected portion of the
13		liability balance created by the TCJA .
14		The applicability of a Consolidated Income Tax adjustment as related to this
15		filing.
16		Ratemaking treatment of the costs of the proposed Customer
17		Assistance Program ("CAP").
18		Description of the Company's compliance with the requirements of
19		the Veolia/SUEZ merger, Dockets A-2021-3026515, A-2021-
20		3026522, and A-2021-3026523 <sup>1</sup> .

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Joint Application of Veolia Environnement S.A., Veolia North America, Inc., SUEZ S.A., SUEZ Water Pennsylvania Inc. and SUEZ Water Bethel Inc. for all approvals pursuant to Sections 1102(a)(3), (4), and 1103 of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, and as otherwise required under the Pennsylvania Public

1	Q.	Please summarize now the TCJA was addressed in the Company's previous
2		rate case filing (Docket No. R-2018-3000834).
3	A.	In the Company's previous rate case filing, the amount of the TCJA regulatory
4		liability was determined to be \$10,065,841 to be amortized over a 38 year period
5		or \$264,891 annually. This amortization amount was based upon a straight line
6		amortization pending further analyses of the Company's utilization of the ARAM.
7		The Company has recorded the amortization as required since rates became
8		effective in that proceeding.
9		
10		As required for inclusion in the current case, a calculation has been made of the
11		difference between the amortization above and the cumulative amount which was
12		eligible to be returned to ratepayers based on the ARAM. This difference is
13		calculated to be \$530,793 which the Company proposes to return to customers via
14		the Federal Tax Adjustment Credit ("FTAC") tariff mechanism during the 12 months
15		after rates are effective from this rate case filing.
16		
17		As required, tax savings resulting from TCJA prior to the effective date of new rates
18		pursuant to the Settlement in the last case was returned to customers through the
19		FTAC for a period of 12 months after rates went into effect from the last case and
20		there was a balance remaining to be returned to customers. The remaining
21		balance has been calculated and included by Mr. Herbert as a component of

Utility Code for the change in control of SUEZ Water Pennsylvania Inc and SUEZ Water Bethel Inc. (Order entered December 2, 2021).

Adjustment 22.1 as shown in his Workpaper GRH-2-1. The remaining balance, including interest, is calculated to be \$1,248,742 as of September 30, 2024 and the Company is proposing to amortize this balance over a three-year period.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. Is the Company proposing to change the amortization amount of the protected Excess Deferred Income Taxes ("EDIT") as a result of the ARAM? Α. Yes. The amortization period for the amount of the regulatory liability which arose from normalized amounts is considered "protected" and, per the Internal Revenue Code, shall be amortized no faster than over the period in which Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes ("ADIT") would have otherwise reversed. Reflective of the estimated amount of reversal based upon the ARAM, the Company is proposing to reduce the level of EDIT at \$300,000 per year. This is somewhat less than the projected amounts for 2024 and 2025 of around \$333,000. However, the actual level of reversal based on the ARAM will not be known until after the tax returns are filed for the calculation periods and the Company believes it is prudent to be conservative in its reversals based upon projections so as not to inadvertently reverse too much during a future period. As was provided for in the last case, a similar true-up mechanism as earlier described could be utilized in the next rate case filing, or any difference could be considered in future reversing amounts.

1	Q.	Please describe the consolidated tax adjustment calculation provided as a
2		requirement of MFR IV-14 (CONFIDENTIAL) and the rate making treatment of
3		such an adjustment in this case.

A consolidated tax adjustment is no longer required to be made for ratemaking purposes as a result of Pennsylvania's Act 40. But, as I understand the Act, if there is an applicable difference relating to the ratemaking methodologies utilized prior to Act 40 becoming effective and current methodologies, the difference would be used such that fifty percent would support reliability or infrastructure related to capital investment and fifty percent for general corporate purposes. The calculation indicates there is a differential amounting to approximately \$1.7M. As described in Mr. Finnicum's testimony, the Company is making capital investments approximating \$85.7 million through the end of the fully projected future test year ("FPFTY"). It is clear that many times the level of this calculated differential is being invested. As a result, the Company has included no related amounts in its revenue requirement computations.

Α.

Α.

## Q. Please summarize the costs related to the Company's Customer Assistance Program ("CAP").

The proposed CAP is fully described in the testimony of Company witness Jordan and includes several components of costs. These are cost associated with the bill discounts and arrearage forgiveness, costs associated with the repair portion of the program, and administrative and other costs. At the present time, the levels of

such costs are unknown and will likely not be fully known until the program is rolledout to customers; the cost of the program will depend primarily upon program
eligibility at the different levels of bill discount, and customer participation. As a
result, the Company is proposing to include an estimated or "target" amount of
costs for the program of \$1 million to cover the above costs once the program is
implemented. The Company anticipates that, while successful, it will take some
time to reach all eligible customers.

Α.

Q. As the costs are uncertain at this time, please describe the Company's proposed rate making treatment.

The Company proposes ratemaking treatment that would track the difference between the overall costs of the program and the proposed target amount as a regulatory asset or liability, to be addressed in the Company's next rate case filing. After such experience with the program is gained, adjustments to the level of costs included in base rates would be adjusted to reflect the costs of the program more accurately in that future case. Additionally, the Veolia shareholder contribution commitment of \$300,000 to support the low-income program would be included in the reconciliation as a direct offset of the overall program costs.

1	Q.	Please describe the Company's compliance with the requirements of the
2		Veolia/SUEZ merger ( the "Transaction")
3	A.	There were numerous requirements described in the Order adopting the
4		Recommended Decision and Joint Stipulation in those Dockets further described
5		as follows:
6		
7		Operational Stability (I Terms of the Stipulation 1, a through c)
8		No changes in employment levels or compensation have been impacted by the
9		Transaction. Compensation and benefits are at least as favorable in the aggregate
10		as previous to the Transaction and changes in management have been filled with
11		qualified applicants. The collective bargaining agreements have been honored in
12		full, and the administrative office remains in Harrisburg, PA.
13		
14		Rate Stability (I Terms of the Stipulation 2, a through n)
15		As related to this section, this rate case is filed well after 12 months post
16		consummation of the Transaction (January 18, 2023) and no transaction or
17		transition costs directly associated with the Transaction are included in this filing for
18		recovery from customers. ADIT or EDIT have not changed nor has there been an
19		impact to the Company's books and records as a result of the Transaction.
20		
21		The Company has complied with the remaining requirements in the Rate Stability
22		section of the Joint Stipulation including those related to debt, cost of capital, capital

1	structure and dividends. No goodwill has been recorded which would impact the
2	Company.
3	
4	Low income Programming (I Terms of the Stipulation 3, a. through d.) and
5	Customer Service Policies (1 Terms of the Stipulation 4, a)
6	As described in Ms. Jordan's testimony, a Low Income Advisory Committee
7	("LIAC") has been established whose input assisted in the development of the
8	proposed CAP which includes the components required in the joint settlement
9	agreement. In addition, the requirements related to Customer Service Policies were
10	provided to the LIAC.
11	
12	Arrearage/COVID-19 Relief (I Terms of the Stipulation 5, a through d)
13	As proposed by VWPA, its shareholders contributed an amount equal to 30% of
14	residential and commercial arrears that were more than 60 days overdue, as of the
15	date of a Commission Order approving the Transaction, through bill credits. Other
16	terms of this section were fulfilled.
17	
18	Affiliate Interest Agreements (1 Terms of the Stipulation 6, a through c)
19	No changes have been made to the affiliate interest agreement with Veolia Water
20	M&S (Paramus), Inc. or other affiliate agreements. As a result, no further approval
21	of affiliate interest agreements have been sought.

Service Qual	<u>lity and Capital Plans. (1 Terms of the Stipulation 7, a through e</u>
As related to	the Company's capital plan, the capital plans existing prior to the
Transaction a	are in place and are adequate to maintain water quality, pressure, and
quality of serv	vice standards. VWPA's Long-Term Infrastructure Improvement Plar
remains in pl	lace. The evaluation of any utilization of Actiflo and Hubgrade are
ongoing.	
Community I	Benefits (1 Terms of the Stipulation 8, a through c)
Community be	enefits are discussed in the testimony of Mr. Finnicum.
Records (1 T	Terms of the Stipulation 9, a and b)
Information p	provided to the Commission has included English language copies
where needed	d and, VWPA will provide any translation into English as required.
Ring-Fencing	g (1 Terms of the Stipulation 10, a through d)
Ring-fencing	measures and reporting requirements described in this section of the
	measures and reporting requirements described in this section of the
Joint Stipulat	
Joint Stipulat	tion remain in place and no changes have been made to those
Joint Stipulat measures w requirements	tion remain in place and no changes have been made to those which would require notification. Additionally, the reporting

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 2 A. Yes, it does. However, I reserve the right to supplement my testimony as additional
- 3 issues and facts arise during the course of the proceeding.