

Timothy E Tata

Answer to New Matter brought up by Duquesne Light Company in the case Timothy Tata v. Duquesne Light Company. Docket No. C-2024-~~30408548~~

**C-2024-3048548** mm - SEC BUR

1-12: I understand the PUC lacks jurisdiction upon these matters as the statutes involved are State and Federal court jurisdictions. I also would like to add this simple law to this proceeding.

CONSTITUTION  
of the  
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

**§ 8. Security from searches and seizures.**

The people shall be secure in their persons, houses, papers and possessions from unreasonable searches and seizures, and no warrant to search any place or to seize any person or things shall issue without describing them as nearly as may be, nor without probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation subscribed to by the affiant.

Therefore, I make another formal complaint in this case also against the PUC for allowing a practice that denies me of my rights to be free of any unreasonable search or seizure ( the average electricity usage using space heaters is NOT a crime nor a suspected crime), by government enforced officials, at the behest of the PUC. The entire practice of a Smart Comport assessment (unwarranted search of a premises without due process or just cause) is unconstitutional and has been since its adoption.

Sincerely,

Tinothy Eugene Tata