

Liza Mousios.

C-2019=3007989

v.

Metropolitan Edison Company

Roy Cumming

v.

Metropolitan Edison Company

C-2019-300-7995

#### CONCISE STATEMENTS OF ERRORS WITH BRIEF

##### **NICE TRY.**

1. As previously stated by Complainants, there was no contact with. Met Ed, PUC or any ALJ on or around January 8th, 2018. In fact, Mr. Roy Cumming and Liza Mousios had no awareness of PUC 's nor Met Ed's evil intentions until February 2019. Furthermore, there was no contact with any ALJ in 2018. This is a repeat mendacious lie by the ALC, PUC and Met Ed. **Judge Heep is tampering with evidence.**
2. Judge Heep's duplicitous Order Admitting Exhibits is worthy and deserving of action by the Judicial Conduct Board of Pennsylvania. First of all, in her cockamamie order, what does she mean by a title "CNX Affects Enzymes Article."? Not one of the exhibits by complainants is entitled In this way, nor does this venal title by Judge Heep hold any relevance or meaning to any exhibit submitted by Complainants.
3. What does Judge Heep possibly mean by exhibit 2 Millimeter Waves Power Active Control Weapon flyer? There is no such title, relevance or meaning in the exhibits submitted by Compainants. Judge Heep has an active imagination when it comes to abject prevarication. Based on this phony title, Judge Heep must be a big Science Fiction fan!
4. Judge Heep conveniently omitted the report from Doctor Natalie Sadler, MD, about complainant Liza Mousios' severe and life -threatening responses to smart meters, specifying the neighbor's smart meter: Judge Heep disallowed into evidence Doctor Sadler MD's EMF Disability Report of Ms. Mousios.
5. Judge Heep refused to allow into evidence the brief by Wes Zimmerman which proves that Act 129 was not a mandate.
6. Judge Heep refused to allow into evidence Holder's Reply Exceptions.
7. Judge Heep mendaciously and falsely characterizes electrical engineer Bill Bathgate's report of Roy Cumming's house as Bill Bathgate's resume. As Judge Heep well knows, this report is a damning and comprehensive scientific analysis of how dangerous the radiation is in Mr. Cumming's and Ms. Mousios' house from the neighbor's smart meter, as well as how dangerous the conducted emissions are in the house are from the neighbor's smart meter. The sickening effects from the neighbor's smart meter caused Ms.Mousios and her EMF-sickened dogs to be forced to move into a tent.

8. What's Judge Heep characterizes as document "Cell phone Tower Tinnitus is far more comprehensive than her title would admit, and the article is more far reaching as to generalized electromagnetic frequencies.
9. The Complainants' Digital FCC NOI Comments brief was not allowed into evidence by Judge Heep. This would be too damning about the dangers of smart meters and their emitting EMFs, conducted emissions and radiation.
10. Even more damning is the fact that Judge Heep did not allow into evidence the DC Court of Appeals ruling against the FCC of 2021. The court ruled against the FCC, in favor of the Children's Health Defense and the Environmental Health Trust, ruling that the FCC must update its outdated levels for allowable levels of radiation and electromagnetic frequencies. This loss by the FCC is a fact. No unctuous, scurrilous and evil denial of the dangers of radiation and conducted EMFs with regard to smart meters can be denied. In fact, the victorious Children's Health defense and Environmental Health Trust introduced into evidence 27 (twenty-seven) volumes of proof that electromagnetic frequencies and radiation from such devices as smart meters is a grave danger to health. The PUC cannot deny this ruling against the FCC and against smart meters. Met Ed cannot deny this ruling against the FCC and against smart meters. Judge Heep cannot deny this ruling against the FCC and against smart meters by refusing to allow the ruling into evidence in Cumming's and Mousios' exhibit entitled "2021-0813- DC CIRCUIT". Smart meters are big corrupt business. Pennsylvanians are human collateral. This is why Judge Heep, in her moral turpitude, did not allow the 2021 DC Circuit exhibit into evidence.
11. Judge Heep disallowed into evidence compelling photos which begin on page 528 of the original brief sent to the PUC. Judge Heep disallowed three photos of Ms. Mousios being forced to live in a tent with her dogs. The dogs and Ms. Mousios are all bundled up and one can see hot water bottles on the ground. In one photo Judge Heep can see a dog in Ms. Mousios's lap. This is Champion Milly, a dog who was robustly healthy right before the installation of the smart meter who became violently sickened when the neighbor's smart meter was installed, vomiting, becoming emaciated, never recovering, and then DYING. Also included in the photographs is Champion Crescent, who was healthy right before the installation of the smart meter. The neighbor's smart meter was installed March 27th, 2019 and Crescent DIED on April 5<sup>th</sup>, 2019.
12. Judge Heep also disallowed photos of the dogs vomiting and a photo of Caesar standing next to his vomit.
13. Judge Heep also disallowed into evidence before and after photos of champion Millie:  
healthy before the smart meter installation and emaciated in the photo after installation of the adjacent smart meter which shared an electrical transformer with the complainants.
14. Complainants are adding to the previous brief with the previous exhibits and photos, by adding another document chronicling the suffering and sickness of Caesar from the adjacent smart meter, as well as the other dogs' suffering and deaths and Ms. Mousios' subsistence in the tent.

15. If Judge Heep is too uncomfortable to look at, and admit into evidence, photos of human suffering from the neighbor's smart meter and dogs suffering, vomiting, and emaciation (and death )from the smart meter, why is she not uncomfortable enough with deracinating her conscience and sense of morality?
16. Although complainants are required to share Briefs and Exhibits with Depraved Met Ed,  
of course Met Ed did not share its exhibits with complainants because it is above the law.
17. Complainants have no delusions that Judge Heep would suddenly grow a conscience. (Think Uriah Heep from Charles Dickens). However, they are resubmitting all the previous exhibits in addition to the one new exhibit describing the dogs' suffering and Ms. Mousios' subsistence in the tent.
- 18 ) This document serves as a Notice of Liability to individual operatives Involved in any way in the deviant goal of trying to install a smart meter on the Cumming or Heacock house. The individuals who are liable for toxic tort lawsuits and other federal lawsuits for violations of the A.D.A., Section 804,(b ) or (f) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 as amended in 1988, 42 U. S. C. 3601 et.seq., the Fair Housing Act and Public law 90-602 include but are not limited to Tori Geisler, Lauren Lepkowski, Darlene Heep, James Austin Meehan, David Villao, and operatives of PUC Stephen de Frank, Rosemary Chiavetta and Kimberly Barrow. Neither Lloyds of London nor Swiss Re will cover any liability from electromagnetic/smart meter damages and this document serves as NOTICE OF LIABILITY. Think Love Canal. Think mesothelioma. Think Camp Lejeune.**
18. Notwithstanding the Judicial Conduct Board of Pennsylvania and any ensuing actions brought by complainants, judges generally enjoy immunity unless they are out of their jurisdiction. However, in the case of Darlene Heep, she is out of her jurisdiction pursuant to the US Supreme Court's recent decision against administrative law judges.
19. A document in the National Review is entitled," Challenging the Excessive Rules of an Administrative Law Court." The National Review states, "At least one form of government abuse might end soon. The US Supreme Court heard oral arguments this term for Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jarkesy, which challenges the unlawful powers wielded by Administration Law Courts. These are courts inside agencies that do not afford civilians the same protections as independent courts. The ALC Defendants typically do not get the right to a jury trial. The judges are employed by the agency that also pays their salaries. The agency also sets the ALCs' procedural rules, has different evidence -sharing standards that favor the agency and stacks the deck against defendants in ways regular courts never could...."
20. The Jarkesy case challenges some of these excesses. The Supreme Court already ruled unanimously against the SEC and FTC's ALC's in Axon v. FTC ( 2023). The 5th Circuit Court issued a 2- 1 ruling in Jarkesy's favor, asserting that the SEC's ALJ's violated three provisions of the Constitution...."
21. Judges possess immunity unless they are out of their jurisdiction. See Stump v. Sparkman 98 S. Ct. 1099(1978). It should be self-evident that---given the aforementioned excessive powers and abuses of ALCs as well as US Supreme Court rulings, any Administrative Law Judge making decisions about a complainant's grave health

consequences from a forced smart meter is out of her jurisdiction----thereby lacking immunity and potentially liable for a toxic tort action.

22. Judge Darlene Heep, as part of the incestuous miasma that is PUC, Met Ed and the ALC, has certainly stacked the deck against Complainants . She has displayed amoral actions with regard to the aforementioned exhibits. How incestuous that the PUC pays her salary and there is no jury trial, not to speak of the plethora of Civil Rights violations on forcing humans to become sick to satisfy PUC's and Met Ed's salivating greed.

23. Judge Heep has similarly failed to rule on Complainants' request for extension.

These digital signatures serve as true signatures. June 15, 2024

Roy A. Cumming

Liza R Mousios.

## Caesar and dogs

Liza Mousios <lregmousios@gmail.com>

Mon 6/17/2024 8:08 AM

To: Brian Daum <bdaumiii@gmail.com>; Liza Mousios <lregmousios@gmail.com>

.Also included are before and after photo of my beautiful dog Champion Millie and photo of Champion Crescent. Both died from the smart meter, Also included are photos of dogs vomiting from the smart meter. A third, Caesar, became severely ill. He is shown in the photo standing near his vomit. His heart was normal shortly before the neighbor's smart meter installation because I had to check his heart prior to a torn eyelid surgery. All five dogs became ill including Muzette and Pericles from the smart meter and my vet bills were \$3,161.00, with all the dogs receiving potassium iodide from the veterinarian for radiation sickness. As stated, Crescent and Millie died. They had both been previously healthy; Crescent's only health issue was cataracts. The neighbor's smart meter was installed March 27, 2019 and Crescent died April 5, 2019. Millie was robustly healthy. She was never right after the smart meter and became emaciated and died as well. After smart meter exposure Caesar developed a heart murmur of 5 out of six. He also developed hemolytic anemia, which is usually fatal. After three weeks away from the smart meter, his hemolytic anemia was gone and his heart murmur was one out of six, from five out of six from the smart meter-- and he was a ten year old dog.

Unfortunately, my health has never recovered from the pernicious, toxic effects of the smart meter. Please see medical reports and expert evaluation of the radiation and conducted emissions (dirty electricity) inside my previously safe home where I lived without assault to my health since 1996. Most recently, I lived in the tent for five months in winter and in 55 mile per hour winds and heavy rain and snow. Now I live in a dilapidated trailer without a kitchen or bathroom to escape the neighbor's EMFs.