

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Liza Mousios

C-2019-300-7989

v.

Met Ed

Roy Cumming

C-2019-300-7989

v.

Met Ed

ADDENDUM TO EXCEPTIONS AND MOTION FOR RECUSAL

- 1) The unctuous and unscrupulous Rosemary Chiavetta did not correctly characterize the brief submitted and filed under Concise Statement of Errors on June 16, 2024. The Concise Statement of Errors was not individually filed as Exceptions and the initial decision of the amoral Judge Heep was not even received by Complainants until June 22nd, 2024. The Exceptions were filed on June 25th, 2024. However, it should be noted that the previously filed Concise Statement of Errors was incorporated into and included in the Exceptions filed on June 25th, 2024. This is because the corrupt actions taken by Heep were so execrable so as to have both documents elucidate them. In addition, all the parties were served in the Concise Statement of Errors.
- 2) Concomitant with Heep's egregious, spurious actions, including but not limited to tampering with evidence as elocuted in the Concise Statement of Errors and Exceptions incorporating same, an advocate of Complainants is researching the proper disciplinary channels for quasi-Judge Heep, as the proper disciplinary venue is not the Judicial Conduct Board.
- 3) In light of the June 28th, 2024 thirty-eight page ruling by the US Supreme Court against the abuse of powers wielded by administrative law judges in SEC v. Jarkesy---- Heep and other administrative law judges must recuse themselves in favor of a jury trial for Mr. Cumming and Ms. Mousios. Failure for Heep to recuse herself would be breaking the law In light of the June 28th ruling. As an example of the depraved Heep's evil character and excess powers exercised by administrative law judges, Heep ruled against Complainants despite the fact that Complainants had a nimity of proof they would be harmed per Title 66, Section 1501----Including documents from Ms. Mousios's own doctors documenting the dangers of smart meters for her; one report stated that she would die if she were to live with a smart meter. But the sadistic and evil Heep gleefully ruled against Complainants in hopes that she can facilitate Ms. Mousios' death. Ms. Mousios, who was forced to move into a tent from the neighbors pernicious and toxic smart meter has received increasing publicity about her plight and this is bad publicity for smart meters and their greedy proponents; Met Ed, PUC and Judge Heep.

- 4) Justice John Roberts wrote In his opinion, per SEC versus Jarkesy, “A defendant.... has the right to be tried by a jury of his peers before a neutral adjudicator.” An administrative law judge is the antithesis of a neutral adjudicator. Judge Heep is devoid of a soul and is incestuously in bed with PUC and Met Ed in this corrupt miasma.
- 5) Even before administrative law court lost in SEC versus Jarkesy, The National Review pythonically predicted the US Supreme Court proper outcome. To quote from the National Review: “At least one form of government abuse might end soon. The US Supreme Court heard oral arguments this term for Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jarkesy, which challenges the unlawful powers wielded by Administration Law Courts. These are courts inside agencies that do not afford civilians the same protections as independent courts. The ALC Defendants typically do not get the right to a jury trial. The judges are employed by the agency that also pays their salaries. The agency also sets the ALCs’ procedural rules, has different evidence -sharing standards that favor the agency and stacks the deck against defendants in ways regular courts never could.... The Jarkesy case challenges some of these excesses. The Supreme Court already ruled unanimously against the SEC and FTC's ALC's in Axon v. FTC (2023). The 5th Circuit Court issued a 2- 1 ruling in Jarkesy's favor, asserting that the SEC's ALJ's violated three provisions of the Constitution....” Judges possess immunity unless they are out of their jurisdiction. See Stump v. Sparkman 98 S. Ct. 1099(1978). It should be self-evident that--given the aforementioned excessive powers and abuses of ALCs as well as US Supreme Court rulings, any Administrative Law Judge making decisions about a complainant's grave health consequences from a forced smart meter is out of her jurisdiction----thereby lacking immunity and potentially liable for a toxic tort action. Judge Darlene Heep, as part of the incestuous miasma that is PUC, Met Ed and the ALC, has certainly stacked the deck against Complainants. She has displayed amoral actions with regard to the aforementioned exhibits. How incestuous that the PUC pays her salary and there is no jury trial, not to speak of the plethora of Civil Rights violations on forcing humans to become sick to satisfy PUC's and Met Ed’s salivating greed. Complainants are grateful that Senator Bob Casey and State Senator Mastroianni are working on their behalf. In fact, Senator Bob Casey recently established a congressional inquiry into Ms. Mousios’ plight, per the smart meters.
- 6) Of course, the deck is stacked against Mr. Cumming and Ms. Mousios by Heep. No one can win in this diabolical miasma, no matter how overarching and preponderant the evidence. Therefore, Judge Heep must recuse herself, as must all quasi administrative law judges in favor of a jury trial pursuant to Chief Justice John Robert’s writing for the majority. Otherwise, Heep and ALJs are flouting the law. Complainants demand a jury trial.
- 7) While the above is ample reason enough, Judge Heep must recuse herself because she failed to rule on the motion of Mr. Cumming and Ms. Mousios for an extension of time so they could have time to find alternate housing away from smart meters; this was filed December 29th, 2023.

All parties of record have been properly served. These digital signatures serve as true signatures. June 30, 2024

Roy A. Cumming

Liza R. Mousios