

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

David Detlefsen	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2024-3048161
	:	
PECO Energy Company	:	

**INTERIM ORDER GRANTING JOINT
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE**

By Call-In Telephonic Hearing Notice dated May 15, 2024, an Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing was scheduled for July 1, 2024 at 10:00 a.m.

I issued a Prehearing Order on May 16, 2024. The Prehearing Order directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and directed that a request to change the scheduled hearing should be sent to me at least five days prior to the hearing date, be in writing and state the agreement or opposition of the other party.

On June 27, 2024, Khadijah Scott, Esq., Counsel for Respondent, filed PECO's Motion for Continuance of Hearing Date. In the Motion, Ms. Scott indicated that PECO is requesting a sixty (60) day continuance of the July 1, 2024 hearing date because the Respondent and the Complainant remain in settlement negotiations. Ms. Scott further indicated that PECO informed the Complainant that it would be requesting a continuance, and that the Complainant had no objection to the continuance request.

By Interim Order dated June 28, 2024, I granted PECO's Motion for Continuance.

By Initial Call-In Telephone Hearing Notice dated June 28, 2024, the Initial Call-In Telephone Hearing was rescheduled for September 10, 2024.

On August 29, 2024, Ms. Scott filed a second Motion for Continuance of Hearing Date. In the Motion, Ms. Scott indicated: that PECO was requesting a sixty (60) day continuance of the September 10, 2024 hearing date because the Respondent and the Complainant remained in settlement negotiations; that the parties are close to settlement; and that the Complainant had no objection to the continuance request.

By Interim Order dated August 29, 2024, I granted PECO's Motion for Continuance.

By Call-In Telephone Cancellation/Reschedule Hearing Notice dated September 3, 2024, the Initial Call-In Telephone Hearing was rescheduled for November 12, 2024.

On November 11, 2024, Ms. Scott filed a third Motion for Continuance of Hearing Date. In the Motion, Ms. Scott indicated that PECO was requesting a ninety (90) day continuance of the November 11, 2024 hearing date because the Respondent and the Complainant remained in settlement negotiations and were attempting to address the Complainant's intricate solar billing concerns. Ms. Scott further averred: that the Complainant had a new issue concerning the ability to view his online account; that PECO was working with the Complainant to resolve this issue; and that both parties were in agreement with a continuance as the matter is one that can be settled.

By Interim Order dated November 12, 2024, I granted PECO's Motion for Continuance.

By Cancelled/Rescheduled Initial Telephonic Hearing Notice dated November 12, 2024, the Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing was rescheduled for February 10, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.

I issued a Corrected Prehearing Order on December 9, 2024. The Corrected Prehearing Order advised the parties that my legal assistant changed from Shalea Delvillar to Pamela McNeal. The Corrected Prehearing Order again directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and directed that a request to change the scheduled hearing

should be sent to me at least five days prior to the hearing date, be in writing and state the agreement or opposition of the other party.

On February 4, 2025, Ms. Scott filed a Motion for Continuance of Hearing Date. In the Motion, Ms. Scott indicated PECO was requesting a continuance of the February 10, 2025 hearing date to allow the Complainant time to “ensure that his billing and access to his online account is correct over the next several billing cycles.” Ms. Scott also indicated that this is the “Respondent’s fourth Continuance request in this matter” and also advised that the “Complainant has requested a continuance” to which PECO does not object. Since both parties are in agreement with this Continuance request, I will treat this request as a Joint Motion for Continuance.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the February 4, 2025 Joint Motion for Continuance of the hearing in the matter captioned as David Detlefsen v. PECO Energy Company at Docket No. C-2024-3048161 is granted.
2. That the hearing scheduled for February 10, 2025 is cancelled.
3. That the parties shall file a joint status report on or before March 10, 2025, and every 30 days thereafter, providing an update regarding the status of their settlement discussions. In the event that settlement discussions remain productive, the proceedings may remain continued. If the parties believe that it is appropriate to reschedule the hearing, the status report shall include three alternate dates when both parties and their witnesses are available for hearing.
4. That in the event that a certificate of satisfaction is filed on or before March 10, 2025, no status report is required.

5. That if the parties do not provide the joint status reports required by paragraph 3 of this Interim Order, then this matter will be rescheduled for hearing.

6. That the parties are reminded that Commission policy encourages settlement and that they should continue to communicate with each other in an attempt to resolve their dispute.

Date: February 7, 2025

/s/
Christopher P. Pell
Deputy Chief Administrative Law Judge

C-2024-3048161 - DAVID DETLEFSEN v. PECO ENERGY COMPANY

DAVID DETLEFSEN
6737 CHAPEL ROAD
NEW HOPE PA 18938
267.981.5746
detlefsen@icloud.com
Accepts eService February 7, 2025

KHADIJAH SCOTT ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL
PECO ENERGY COMPANY
2301 MARKET STREET - S23-1
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103
267.533.1830
Khadijah.Scott@exeloncorp.com
Accepts eService February 7, 2025
(*Counsel for PECO Energy Company*)