

Exceptions of Michael and Mary Weishner. C-2024-3046232 v. Pennsylvania American water co. The Weishner's opposed Pa. American water co. 199 million dollar rate increase. The PUC published their names, address and phone number. This was done without their permission. In Aug of 2023 Pa water was replacing a water line on Kirk lane 100 ft from the Weishner's residence. The Weishner's experienced muddy water and called the water co. they were told someone would be at their residence within 48 hours. Mr Weishner walked over to the work site and requested a water co employee if he could walk over and see this muddy situation. He said that he would have to call the water co and stated not to report. That his water was muddy that they would charge him for topsoil. This statement was insensitive and discriminating.

The Weishner's made eight attempts to have the Water Company visit their home. Four calls and four visits to the work site.

Now, did the water company refuse to help or do anything because the Weishner's objections to their rate increase?

The water company could not get the water to clear up and continued to blow off this line for 3 weeks, but never did anything to help the Weishner's. Forty eight hours passed and no one came. Then, ten months later they came to see what was going on. TEN MONTHS IS RIDICULOUS!

Chapter 15 sub chapter A general provisions S 1501 Charter of service and facilities. Every Public Utility shall furnish and maintain adequate, efficient, safe and reasonable service.

We don't believe ten months to be safe, adequate, or reasonable.

Justin Landers, President of Pa. American Water in a message writes, We deliver safe, reliable service. Delivering high quality precious water. We experienced muddy contamination of our water causing in excess of twenty thousand dollars of damage to our home and received no help from Pa. American Water.

The neighbors on Kirk Lane received water buffalos after Jamie Mohr had her water tested. The water company called her the water was contaminated and not to drink it. They were provided these buffalos while the new water line was installed. Our water was never tested. We received nothing, not even an apology. This is discrimination!

Exhibit 1, was a lie. The water buffalos were delivered before the new line was installed.

Because the water contaminated a new line was installed a month later.

I stated to the judge that attorney Michael Gruin called four times asking what he could do. I asked him to pay the damages. Attorney Gruin said that he can't do that. He made the same statement on the following calls. I took this as being harassed. Judge Mary Long said that she called Attorney Gruin and ask him to call me.


The judge never called me! It doesn't seem ethical for the judge to speak to one party and not both.

Attorney Gruin requested a continuance, so that he could bring more evidence. He said if he didn't get a continuance he would appeal the decision.

Do I have a right to appeal this decision?

Sincerely,

Michael and Mary Weishner




Michael Weishner
Mary Weishner

Michael V. Weiskner
420 COUNTRY CLUB RD
WASHINGTON PA 15301

PITTSBURGH PA 150

6 FEB 2025 PM 1 L



Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
400 North Street
HARRISBURG Pa 17120

17120-020595
1712050205 0000

046232