

IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA, PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Antonio Geatti v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Docket No. C-2023-3043427

REPLY TO PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES SUBMISSION From January 3<sup>rd</sup> 2025

To: Secretary of the Commission Rosemary Chiavetta, and ALJ Alphonso Arnold III PA  
Public Utility Commission Keystone Building 400 North Street

The complainant is responding to a Filing submitted by PPL Electric Utilities Company on January 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2025. PPL Electric Utilities Company on their Replies to the exceptions filed by the Complainant are trying to ascertain the validity of their information and exhibits that that PPL Electric Utilities Company had used to Justify their whole case as presented to the PUC and to Justify the electric power disconnection to the Complainant's residence and to justify the destruction of the Complainants home and main and only residence. PPL instigated the destruction of the complainant's residence by becoming complicit in soliciting and presenting wrong information to the PUC. PPL Electric did not do their due diligence to verify that their information was accurate, legal, and completely true before introducing their evidence to build their case. It turned out that their evidence and their assertions were a complete scam, and the documentation and other evidence that PPL Electric Utilities used to build their case, was never used to execute any demolition of anything, and it was certainly not used to demolish the Complainants property by any government entity anywhere. PPL Electric Utilities presentation of their evidence to the PUC, and the Complainant was a complete sham presented by PPL Electric Utilities. Now PPL Electric Utilities is trying to Dismiss the case by claiming summary judgment, but the Complainant does not think that is proper, or ethical, or legal as PPL Electric Utilities case was built on a scam, a collection of evidentiary documents which were never used by any government unit for any purpose. In their efforts to use fraudulent information, they instigated the harassment of the Complainant, and the destruction of his residence in addition to the illegal disconnection of the Complainants Residential Electric Power.

If the PUC feels that this case may be outside the scope of their assigned duties, or outside of their Jurisdiction; the Complainant is requesting that the PUC transfers the case to a court of proper jurisdiction, which is able to properly assess and process the issues presented. The Complainant believes that The Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania may be a Court of Proper Jurisdictions which should be able to properly handle all of the issues presented in this case should the PUC determine that it does not have proper jurisdiction.

Sincerely,

Antonio Geatti, Complainant