

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Shawn Mathis	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2024-3052143
	:	
Philadelphia Gas Works	:	

**INTERIM ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINANT'S
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE**

By Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing Notice dated December 19, 2024, an Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing was scheduled for March 13, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.

I issued a Prehearing Order on December 23, 2024. The Prehearing Order directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and directed that a request to change the scheduled hearing should be sent to me at least five days prior to the hearing date, be in writing and state the agreement or opposition of the other party.

On February 26, 2025, the Complainant filed a Motion for Continuance (Motion) with the Commission's Secretary.¹ In the Motion, the Complainant requested that the March 13, 2025, hearing be rescheduled due to a conflicting medical appointment. By email received by my office on March 5, 2025, Graciela Christlieb, Esq., Counsel for PGW, advised that PGW does not object to the Complainant's Motion.

¹ Although the Complainant filed the Motion with the Commission's Secretary, I was not served with a copy of the request. I did not become aware of the Complainant's Motion until PGW emailed my office to advise they did not object to the Motion. Pursuant to Paragraphs 3 and 5 of my December 23, 2024, Prehearing Order, the Complainant is reminded that I must be served a copy of any document filed with the Commission.

Paragraph 3 of my December 23, 2024, Prehearing Order advised the parties that they may request a continuance of the hearing if they have a good reason. My Prehearing Order further advised that “[t]o request a continuance, you must submit a written request (a “motion”) at least five (5) days before the hearing.” My Order further instructed that the motion should include: the case name, case number, and hearing date; the reason you are requesting a continuance; and the other party’s position on the request.

Based on the Complainant’s stated reason in the Motion, as well as the documentation supplied in support of his Motion, I find that the Complainant has established good cause to postpone the March 13, 2025, hearing. Accordingly, the request is granted. A Notice canceling the current hearing date and rescheduling to a future date will be issued.

It is the Commission’s policy to encourage settlements (52 Pa. Code § 5.231). Accordingly, the parties are encouraged to talk with each other to resolve this matter or some portion thereof.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Complainant’s February 26, 2025, Motion for Continuance of the hearing in the matter captioned as Shawn Mathis v. Philadelphia Gas Works at Docket No. C-2024-3052143 is granted.
2. That the hearing scheduled for March 13, 2025, is cancelled.

C-2024-3052143 - SHAWN MATHIS v. PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

SHAWN MATHIS
6643 MARSDEN ST
PHILADELPHIA PA 19135
267.475.0199
shawnbmathis75@gmail.com
Served via eService March 6, 2025

GRACIELA CHRISTLIEB ESQUIRE
ANITA J. MURRAY ESQUIRE
PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS
800 WEST MONTGOMERY AVE
PHILADELPHIA PA 19122
215.684.6164
215.684.6659
graciela.christlieb@pgworks.com
anita.murray@pgworks.com
Served via eService March 6, 2025