

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Bradford Bartley	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2024-3052559
	:	
Philadelphia Gas Works	:	

**INTERIM ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE**

By Call-In Telephonic Hearing Notice dated January 15, 2025, an Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing was scheduled for April 10, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.

I issued a Prehearing Order on January 15, 2025. The Prehearing Order directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and directed that a request to change the scheduled hearing should be sent to me at least five days prior to the hearing date, be in writing and state the agreement or opposition of the other party.

On March 13, 2025, Graciela Christlieb, Esq., Counsel for PGW, filed PGW's Motion to Continue (Motion). In the Motion, Ms. Christlieb indicated that a PGW witness, Albert Teti, is unavailable on the scheduled day of the hearing as he will be attending the Northeast Gas Association Conference out of state. Ms. Christlieb explained that Mr. Teti is the PGW Revenue Protection Supervisor and is the investigator on this case, and as such, is a necessary witness. Additionally, Ms. Christlieb indicated that PGW is working with the Complainant to reach a resolution in this matter. Lastly, Ms. Christlieb advised that PGW had spoken with Mr. Bradford regarding the continuance request, and that Mr. Bradford advised that he has no objection to PGW's Motion.

Paragraph 3 of my January 15, 2025, Prehearing Order advised the parties that they may request a continuance of the hearing if they have a good reason. My Prehearing Order further

advised that “[t]o request a continuance, you must submit a written request (a “motion”) at least five (5) days before the hearing.” My Order further instructed that the motion should include: the case name, case number, and hearing date; the reason you are requesting a continuance; and the other party’s position on the request.

Based on Ms. Christlieb’s stated reason, and also because the Complainant agrees with the request, I find that PGW has established good cause to postpone the April 10, 2025, hearing. Accordingly, the request is granted. A notice canceling the current hearing date and rescheduling it to a future date will be issued.

The parties are reminded that it is the Commission’s policy to encourage settlements (52 Pa. Code § 5.231). The parties are encouraged to continue to talk with each other to resolve this matter or some portion thereof.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Respondent’s Motion for Continuance of the hearing in the matter captioned as Bradford Bartley v. Philadelphia Gas Works at Docket No. C-2024-3052559 is granted; and

2. That the scheduling staff of the Office of Administrative Law Judge shall reschedule this matter for a hearing and notify the parties in writing.

Date: March 18, 2025

/s/
Christopher P. Pell
Deputy Chief Administrative Law Judge

C-2024-3052559 - BRADFORD BARTLEY v. PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

BRADFORD BARTLEY
8845 CALVERT STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19152

267.894.4712

267.690.1970

carebear253@gmail.com

Served via eService March 18, 2025

GRACIELA CHRISTLIEB ESQUIRE
PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS
800 WEST MONTGOMERY AVE
PHILADELPHIA PA 19122

215.684.6164

Graciela.Christlieb@pgworks.com

Served via eService March 18, 2025

(Counsel for Philadelphia Gas Works)