

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Wanda Walker	:	C-2024-3051234
	:	C-2024-3051237
v.	:	C-2024-3051343
	:	C-2024-3051344
PECO Energy Company - Electric	:	

**INTERIM ORDER  
DITRECTING THAT COMPLAINANT’S COMPLAINT BE AMENDED,  
DISMISSING PECO’S PRELIMINARY OBJECTION AS MOOT, AND SETTING  
DEADLINES FOR THE FILING OF PECO’S RESPONSIVE PLEADING, OTHER  
REQUESTS FOR RELIEF, AND COMPLAINANT’S RESPONSE**

On September 18, 2024, Wanda Walker (Complainant or Ms. Walker) filed a Formal Complaint with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Commission) against PECO Energy Company – Electric (Company, Respondent, or PECO), regarding her utility service at 5015 Wayne Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (5015 Wayne location), alleging the utility was threatening to shut off her service or had already done and that there were incorrect charges on her bills (5015 Wayne Complaint). She identified her accounts as x2222, x0058, x3046, and x3058. She checked the “other” box writing alleging “bills from other location keep being put on my good standing accounts at 5015 Wayne Ave. Four accounts. PECO keeps switching old accounts back and forth and over to collection account, forcing balance to grow even faster.” As relief, Ms. Walker requests her accounts be zeroed out and put back into good standing and to have her credit restored. She further requests that money be returned to her so she can pay her property taxes and her IRS obligations.

On September 18, 2024, Ms. Walker filed a second Formal Complaint against PECO regarding her utility service at 452 Twickenham Rd., Glenside, Pennsylvania (Twickenham location), alleging the utility was threatening to shut off her service or had already done and that there were incorrect charges on her bills (Twickenham Complaint). She identified her accounts as x1103 and x9000. She checked the “other” box, averring that she has been

charged several times for the same bills already paid, and she has been billed for tenant bills transferred to her good standing account, causing interest and penalties to increase much faster. As relief, Ms. Walker requests that her credit report be cleared, her account cleared to zero, and services restored, a refund of money paid on all accounts involved from 2018-2024, and compensation for lost business income. She explains she cannot meet her other financial obligations because of these allegedly incorrect bills. There were multiple attachments to the Twickenham Complaint, including typed pages of additional averments, copies of bills, copies of text messages, and portions of (what appear to be) prior Initial Decisions issued by other Commission Administrative Law Judges.

On September 19, 2024, Ms. Walker filed a third Formal Complaint against PECO regarding her utility service at 6801 N. 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Philadelphia, PA (N. 17<sup>th</sup> location), alleging the utility was threatening to shut off her service or had already done and that there were incorrect charges on her bills (N. 17<sup>th</sup> Complaint). She identified her accounts as x3000, x3112, x4000, x6094, x1128, x3103, x1128, x9072, and x7095. She checked the “other” box, averring that she has been “targeted” to force her to sell her properties, and she is unable to meet her IRS and property tax obligations and basic family needs. She avers she is being required to pay on “20 year old accounts” dating back to 2009 that have already been sent to collections and written off. She alleges these accounts belonged to her tenants, before they became her tenants. As relief, Ms. Walker requests her accounts be restored, her money refunded, and she be compensated for loss of business revenue, stress and inconvenience. There is a typed page attached to the N. 17<sup>th</sup> Street Complaint, detailing her averments.

Finally, on September 19, 2024, Ms. Walker filed a fourth Formal Complaint against PECO regarding her utility service at 5023 Wayne Avenue, Glenside, Pennsylvania (5023 Wayne location), alleging the utility was threatening to shut off her service or had already done and that there were incorrect charges on her bills (5023 Wayne Complaint). She identified her accounts as x5085, x2050, x0047, and x3401. She checked the “other” box, averring that the Company has transferred old tenant bills from 2018 to her present bills, bills were sent to collection agencies while also being transferred to her other accounts that were in good standing, and old bills were creatin the accumulation of fines and fees, causing the account balance to

grow exponentially. As relief, Ms. Walker requests her accounts and credit restored, she be refunded for misbilling, and she be compensated for her business losses and legal fees. There is a typed page attached to the 5023 Wayne Complaint, which is identical to the page attached to the N. 17<sup>th</sup> Street Complaint.

Regarding service by the Commission, Ms. Walker opted to be served by first class mail, checking the box next to this option on all four Complaints.

On September 25, 2024, PECO filed a Motion to Consolidate all four Complaints filed by Ms. Walker. PECO argued that the four Complaints are nearly identical, contain similar allegations, and concern the same questions of fact and law.

The Motion contained a Notice to Plead, directing Ms. Walker to file a response within 20 days. Ms. Walker did not file a response to the Motion.

On October 4, 2024, the Commission issued a Motion Judge Assignment Notice, assigning this matter to me.

On October 4, 2024, I issued an Interim Order, granting the Motion to Consolidate, consolidating Docket Nos. C-2024-3051234, C-2024-3051237, C-2024-3051343, C-2024-3051344 under Docket No. C-2024-3051234.

On October 8, 2024, the Company filed an Answer and New Matter, as well as a Preliminary Objection. In its Answer, PECO explains that its record show Complainant is a landlord property owner who has had approximately fifty PECO accounts in her name at various points in time. PECO explains that in the four instant Complaints, Complainant disputes outstanding balances that have transferred between her various accounts stemming back to 2018. PECO further notes that, within each Complaint, Complainant requests that her accounts be set to zero, that she be refunded payments, and that her credit be restored.

As an initial matter, PECO argues Complainant has asserted identical claims in prior complaints and is barred from relitigating these claims under the Commission's rules and the doctrine of *res judicata*. See 66 Pa. C.S. §316. More specifically, PECO refers to formal complaints filed by Complainant at docket number C-2020-3023220, which was disposed of by a Final Order the Commission dated June 16 2022; and docket number C-2023-3042220, which was disposed of by a Final Order of the Commission dated January 18, 2024, dismissing Complainant's complaint with prejudice, finding it raised claims previously adjudicated in C-2020-3023220. PECO attached copies of these Final Orders to its Answer and New Matter.

PECO argues Complainant now files the present Complaint(s) in an attempt to relitigate the claims previously adjudicated and dismissed with prejudice. PECO submits that these Complaints are without merit and should be dismissed.

In its New Matter, PECO reiterates its argument that Complainant's instant claims have previously been dismissed with prejudice and Complainant should be barred from relitigating these claims on the basis of *res judicata*, and further argues the claims are time barred under the Commission's statute of limitations under 66 Pa.C.S. § 331(a).

The New Matter was served with a Notice to Plead, directing Complainant to file a response within twenty days of service.

In its Preliminary Objection, PECO reiterates its arguments that Complainant's instant claims should be dismissed on the basis of *res judicata* and being time barred under 66 Pa.C.S. § 331(a).

The Preliminary Objection was served with a Notice to Plead, directing Complainant to file a response within twenty days of service.

Complainant's responses to the New Matter and Preliminary Objection were due no later than October 28, 2024. 52 Pa. Code §§ 1.56(a)(1), (b), 5.101(f)(1). Complainant did not file a response to either the New Matter or the Preliminary Objection.

Upon review of the instant Complaints, I determined that it appears Complainant is making claims that PECO is issuing incorrect bills and improperly transferring account balances between different accounts in Complainant's name, but found it unclear whether these are the same exact claims raised in the complaints filed at Docket No. C-2020-3023220 and Docket No. C-2023-3042220.

Therefore, I determined it was appropriate to hold the Preliminary Objection in abeyance and give Complainant an opportunity to orally clarify the claims she is making in these four consolidated Complaints and explain how these claims differ from those raised in her prior complaints at Docket No. C-2020-3023220 and Docket No. C-2023-3042220, if at all.

On November 14, 2024, I issued an Interim Order advising the parties I would schedule a prehearing conference. I further advised, **“At the prehearing conference, Complainant must be prepared to describe her claims in the four instant consolidated Complaints, clearly and in detail, including dates of alleged incorrect bills and dates and account numbers of alleged improper balance transfers. Further, Complainant must be prepared to orally respond to PECO's Preliminary Objection.”**

On November 14, 2024, the Commission issued a Prehearing Conference Notice, scheduling a prehearing conference for January 14, 2025.

On November 5, 2024, I issued a Prehearing Conference Order, outlining applicable regulations, policies, and procedures.

On January 13, 2025, the Company filed Prehearing Memoranda.

The Prehearing Conference convened as scheduled on January 14, 2025. Ms. Walker appeared *pro se*. Ms. Khadijah Scott, Esquire, appeared on behalf of the Company. I gave Complainant an opportunity to present her response to the Company's argument that she was raising the same claims in the instant Complaints as she had in previously adjudicated cases. Ms. Walker struggled to provide a clear, concise answer and to clearly and specifically define

her claims. I decided to give Ms. Walker an opportunity to present her specific claims in writing, instructing her provide the dates, account numbers, and amounts for each transaction she was disputing. I gave her detailed instructions on the information I was seeking and the way in which I wanted it presented. Tr. 35-38. Further, without objection from any party, I took judicial notice of the entire record at C-2020-30023220, including the complaint, the transcripts, the exhibits, the Initial Decision, and the Commission's Opinion and Order. Tr. 43. Also, without objection from any party, I took judicial notice of the entire record at C-2023-3042220, including the complaint, Initial Decision, and the Commission's Final Order. Tr. 44.

Complainant submitted information in response to my directions on January 22, 2025, both the information I requested as well as additional written arguments I did not request. Complainant copied counsel for the utility on this email. It is attached to this Order as Attachment 1.

On January 23, 2025, I received more correspondence from Complainant, submitting additional information. It appears some of the information is similar, but it is not identical. Complainant did not copy counsel for the Company, so I forwarded it to Ms. Scott to cure the *ex parte* nature of the communication. The information submitted on January 23, 2025, is attached to this Order as Attachment 2.

The two documents submitted on January 22, 2025, and January 23, 2025, include more specific details to Ms. Walker's claims, as well as additional arguments and claims.

The Commission's regulations at 52 Pa. Code § 5.93(a), provide that the Commission may at any time, or during a hearing, presiding officers may on their own motion or the motion of a party, direct parties to state their case by way of amendment more fully or in more detail. The amendment shall be reduced to writing and filed within the time fixed by the Commission or the presiding officer. Therefore, I am considering these documents as amendments to Ms. Walker's Complaint at C-2024-3051234. Although not initially filed with the Commission, I am attaching them to this Order and directing they be attached to the record as an amendment to Ms. Walker's Complaint.

The Company may file a response, if any, to the Amended Complaint at C-2024-3051234 within 20 days of this Order. Further, the Company may file any motion, preliminary objection, or request for relief within 20 days of this Order. Please note, the Preliminary Objection filed by the Company on October 8, 2024, is dismissed as moot since Complainant has now submitted an Amended Complaint.

If the Company files a motion, preliminary objection, or other request for relief along with its response to the Amended Complaint, Complainant must file a response, if any, within 20 days of service.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Preliminary Objection filed by PECO Energy Company against the Formal Complaints filed by Wanda Walker at Docket Numbers C-2024-3051234, C-2024-3051237, C-2024-3051343, and C-2024-3051344 is dismissed as moot.

2. That the correspondence submitted by Ms. Walker on January 22, 2025, and January 23, 2025, and attached to this Order as Attachment 1 and Attachment 2, shall be deemed an amendment to Ms. Walker's Complaint at C-2024-3051234.

3. That the Company shall file a response, if any, to the Amended Complaint, as well as any other requests for relief within 20 days of this Order.

4. That, if the Company files a motion, preliminary objection, or other request for relief along with its response to the Amended Complaint, Complainant must file a response, if any, within 20 days of service.

Date: March 24, 2025

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/  
Emily I. DeVoe  
Administrative Law Judge

Trans. date frm 4 digit & address to 4 digit & address Amount

8/12/18 paid \$3,044.36 to PECO for all the bills

11/18/19 forced into a 6-month agreement to pay \$3000.00 on a new bill from ex-tenants, labeled deferred

DATE	from 4 digit & add	to 4digit& address	Amount
9/21/18	_____ 6801 apt #1	7213 147 W Manheim	\$542.95
9/21/18	_____ 6801 apt #9	7213 147 W Manheim	\$210.87
9/21/18	_____ 6801 apt #6	7213 147 W Manheim	\$506.91
9/21/18	_____ 6801 apt #3	0058 5015 Wayne	\$254.30
12/12/18	_____ 147 Manheim	3069 6801 apt #7	\$1413.60
12/11/18	_____ 5023 Wayne	8049 6801 apt #4	\$795.07
01/14/19	_____ 5023 Wayne	8049 6801 apt #4	\$545.65
01/14/19	_____ 147 Manheim	3069 6801 apt #7	\$1601.31
06/03/19	_____ 5023 Wayne	8049 6801 apt #4	\$1404.83
06/25/19	_____ 147 Manheim	3069 6801 N. 17 <sup>th</sup>	\$1413.60
	6801 apt #1	3069 6801 N apt #7	\$92.41
	6801 apt #3	3069 6801 N apt #3	\$536.89
7/02/19	_____ 147 Manheim	3069 6801 N apt #7	\$2,311.76
7/03/19	_____ 6801 apt #2	97077 6801 N apt #6	\$30.46
7/03/19	_____ 5023 Wayne	97077 6801 N apt #6	\$52.00
9/19/19	_____ 6801 apt #6	1103 452 Twickenham	\$473.42
9/19/19	_____ 6801 apt #7	1103 452 Twickenham	\$1986.61
10/18/19	_____ 6801 apt #7	1103 452 Twickenham	\$1786.61
01/23/20	_____ 6801 apt #7	1103 452 Twickenham	\$473.42

04/21/20	Twickenham	1103 452 Twickenham	\$2,104.92
04/21/20	_____ 6801 apt #7	1103 452 Twickenham	\$25.19
5/12/20	tenants budget bill	9063 6801 apt #2	,\$1417.73
7/13/20	reinstate bad debt	2111 6801 apt #9	\$2,047.29
07/20/20	reinstate bad debt	2111 6801 apt #9	\$2047.20
09/10/20	_____ 6801 apt #9	2120 6801 apt #9	\$226.05
09/10/20	_____ 6801 apt #1	2120 6801 apt #9	\$183.34
09/10/20	reinstate bad debt	2120 6801 apt #9	\$2059.29
01/14/21	_____ 6801 apt 1&9	2120 6801 apt #9	\$2561.02
02/12/21	reinstate bad debt	2120 6801 apt #9	\$2059.29
6/23/21	_____ 6801 apt #9	5085 5023 Wayne	\$1,201.18
6/23/21	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$1,748.25
7/23/21	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$1,592.08
10/21/21	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$1,592.08
1/26/22	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$1,555.06
5/24/22	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$1201.18
7/25/22	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$651.42
11/21/22	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$629.08
12/22/22	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$617.44
10/03/22	_____ transfer	0047 5023 Wayne	\$1,043.21
12/15/22	_____ 6801 apt #3	9072 6801 apt #2	\$28.87
5/16/23	_____ 6801 apt #3	9072 6801 apt #2	\$283.49
5/23/23	_____ pickering #1&2	0058 5015 Wayne	\$280.29
06/12/23	_____ 6801 apt #8	7095 6801 apt #6	\$156.15
07/06/23	_____ 5023 Wayne	6043 6801 apt #5	\$2,576.47
7//12/23	_____ 6801 apt #1&2	7095 6801 apt #6	\$3,571.19
07/20/23	_____ 6801 apt #9	1103 452 Twickenham	\$905.35
07/26/23	_____ 6801 apt #2	7095 6801 apt #6	\$1623.52

07/26/23	_____	6801 apt #1	7095 6801 apt #6	\$1,255.64
08/22/23	_____	5023 Wayne	0058 5015 Wayne ave	\$1053.10
09/20/23	_____	6801 apt #9	1103 452 Twickenham	\$607.28
11/16/23	_____	6801 apt #9	1103 452 Twickenham	\$398.68
11/20/23	_____	5015 Wayne	2050 5023 Wayne	\$1,166.11
12/19/23	_____	6801 apt #9	1103 452 Twickenham	\$221.11

Peco gave my home a 2<sup>nd</sup> account number 88702-01103

This balance came on a shut off notice and kept growing. The bill did not say transfer or bad debt

08/12/18 \$3044.36 paid Peco check #1123

06/2018 requested meter inspections

08/11/20 #9 tenant balance \$2273.34 disable child. When she moved in, she was allowed to transfer \$1200.00 to the apartment she started renting from me 7/1/2018, apt 9

10/05/21 Meter inspection found several meter mix-ups

10/21/19 \$3040.47 was transferred w/ 10 day shut off my house

07/21/20 \$3314.11

12/21/20 \$3070.53

06/21/21 \$3429.81

12/20/21 \$4078.47

02/22/22 \$4628.83

09/20/22 \$5206.73

01/23/23 \$5664.39

03/22/23 \$6666.03 ten day shut off notice

05/04/23 \$6988.43 ten day shut off notice

05/07/23 \$7036.36 ten day shut off notice

08/02/23 \$8111.97 ten day shut off notice

08/23/23 \$1377.27 5023 Wayne 2<sup>nd</sup> fl shut off

01/22/24 \$7784.96

02/27/24 \$8733.14

04/24/24 \$9013.01

07/01/24 \$9371.36

My house electric and gas was turned off for 3 days in July 2024 because of this ongoing miss billing from 2017-2024.

All other accounts keep listing bad debt, transfer, or deferment. My house that Peco put a \$3,040.00 transfer with no bill statement. 10/2019 Turned off my house. Peco forced put me into a 6 month, \$500.00 each month, payment plan for another \$3000.00 they said I owed, even though I paid them \$3044.36 8/12/18, while they investigated and inspected the meters at 6801 N. 17<sup>th</sup> st. They never did the inspection until Oct. 5, 2021

Collection agencies contacting me for these balances. But, my balances on my bills never decreased by the amounts written off and given to these collection agencies. Peco was billing at the same time the collection agencies were. Peco was also sending the same bills to 3-4 of my good standing accounts every month

2/18/20 McCarthy, Burgess & Wolff

Account # 4731868049 \$1,987.47

6/2/22 Harris & Harris, Ltd

Account # 4113309063 \$1828.44

8/28/23 Torres Credit Services, Inc  
Account # 5350397095 \$3,534.44

7/23/24 Sunrise Credit Service inc.  
Account # 57881857 \$2994.24

Thank you for hearing me. I have all my canceled checks from 2015-2024 already sorted and copied if you want to see more evidence. Between 2017-2023 I paid Peco almost \$100,000.00. how can I possibly owe another outstanding amount that almost equals \$20,000.000 I have been telling them since 2017, that there was a billing error. No one listened. Peco just kept harassing me, miss billing me, turning of my power to my home and my apartment/Airbnb and one of my offices. This has also affected my health. I want justice and compensation for these 7 years of misbilling and harassment.

Thank You,

Wanda Wallker  
(215)317-3785

Thank you,

8/14/24 IGS energy

I was denied my solar panels for 3 buildings because of the negative reporting Peco did to the credit reporting agencies; Equifax and others.

Trans. date    frm 4 digit & address    to 4 digit & address    Amount

8/12/18 paid \$3,044.36 to PECO for all the bills

11/18/19 forced into a 6-month agreement to pay \$3000.00 on a new bill from ex-tenants, labeled deferred

The dash in front is a blank because the bill does not state the account number, just the address. Where there is the word reinstatement, it does not state an address at all.

DATE	from 4 digit & add	to 4digit& address	Amount
9/21/18	_____ 6801 apt #1	7213 147 W Manheim	\$542.95
9/21/18	_____ 6801 apt #9	7213 147 W Manheim	\$210.87
9/21/18	_____ 6801 apt #6	7213 147 W Manheim	\$506.91
9/21/18	_____ 6801 apt #3	0058 5015 Wayne	\$254.30
12/12/18	_____ 147 Manheim	3069 6801 apt #7	\$1413.60
12/11/18	_____ 5023 Wayne	8049 6801 apt #4	\$795.07
01/14/19	_____ 5023 Wayne	8049 6801 apt #4	\$545.65
01/14/19	_____ 147 Manheim	3069 6801 apt #7	\$1601.31
06/03/19	_____ 5023 Wayne	8049 6801 apt #4	\$1404.83
06/25/19	_____ 147 Manheim	3069 6801 N. 17 <sup>th</sup>	\$1413.60
	6801 apt #1	3069 6801 N apt #7	\$92.41
	6801 apt #3	3069 6801 N apt #3	\$536.89

7/02/19	_____	147 Manheim	3069	6801 N apt #7	\$2,311.76
7/03/19	_____	6801 apt #2	97077	6801 N apt #6	\$30.46
7/03/19	_____	5023 Wayne	97077	6801 N apt #6	\$52.00
9/19/19	_____	6801 apt #6	1103	452 Twickenham	\$473.42
9/19/19	_____	6801 apt #7	1103	452 Twickenham	\$1986.61
10/18/19	_____	6801 apt #7	1103	452 Twickenham	\$1786.61
01/23/20	_____	6801 apt #7	1103	452 Twickenham	\$473.42
04/21/20		Twickenham	1103	452 Twickenham	\$2,104.92
04/21/20	_____	6801 apt #7	1103	452 Twickenham	\$25.19
5/12/20		tenants budget bill	9063	6801 apt #2	\$,1417.73
7/13/20		reinstate bad debt	2111	6801 apt #9	\$2,047.29
07/20/20		reinstate bad debt	2111	6801 apt #9	\$2047.20
09/10/20	_____	6801 apt #9	2120	6801 apt #9	\$226.05
09/10/20	_____	6801 apt #1	2120	6801 apt #9	\$183.34
09/10/20		reinstate bad debt	2120	6801 apt #9	\$2059.29
01/14/21	_____	6801 apt 1&9	2120	6801 apt #9	\$2561.02
02/12/21		reinstate bad debt	2120	6801 apt #9	\$2059.29
6/23/21	_____	6801 apt #9	5085	5023 Wayne	\$1,201.18
6/23/21		reinstate bad debt	5085	5023 Wayne	\$1,748.25
7/23/21		reinstate bad debt	5085	5023 Wayne	\$1,592.08
10/21/21		reinstate bad debt	5085	5023 Wayne	\$1,592.08
1/26/22		reinstate bad debt	5085	5023 Wayne	\$1,555.06
5/24/22		reinstate bad debt	5085	5023 Wayne	\$1201.18
7/25/22		reinstate bad debt	5085	5023 Wayne	\$651.42

11/21/22	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$629.08
12/22/22	reinstate bad debt	5085 5023 Wayne	\$617.44
10/03/22	_____ transfer	0047 5023 Wayne	\$1,043.21
12/15/22	_____ 6801 apt #3	9072 6801 apt #2	\$28.87
5/16/23	_____ 6801 apt #3	9072 6801 apt #2	\$283.49
5/23/23	_____ pickering #1&2	0058 5015 Wayne	\$280.29
06/12/23	_____ 6801 apt #8	7095 6801 apt #6	\$156.15
07/06/23	_____ 5023 Wayne	6043 6801 apt #5	\$2,576.47
7//12/23	_____ 6801 apt #1&2	7095 6801 apt #6	\$3,571.19
07/20/23	_____ 6801 apt #9	1103 452 Twickenham	\$905.35
07/26/23	_____ 6801 apt #2	7095 6801 apt #6	\$1623.52
07/26/23	_____ 6801 apt #1	7095 6801 apt #6	\$1,255.64
08/22/23	_____ 5023 Wayne	0058 5015 Wayne ave	\$1053.10
09/20/23	_____ 6801 apt #9	1103 452 Twickenham	\$607.28
11/16/23	_____ 6801 apt #9	1103 452 Twickenham	\$398.68
11/20/23	_____ 5015 Wayne	2050 5023 Wayne	\$1,166.11
12/19/23	_____ 6801 apt #9	1103 452 Twickenham	\$221.11

Peco gave my home a 2<sup>nd</sup> account #2582639000 \$9,515.95.

Account #88702-01103 is the account \$3,267.87 balance showed first, 8/2019 with a shut off notice, and kept growing. The bill did not where this balance was coming from. It did not say transfer or bad debt.

08/12/18 \$3044.36 paid Peco check #1123

06/2018 requested meter inspections

08/11/20 #9 tenant balance \$2273.34 disable child. When she moved in, she was allowed to transfer \$1200.00 to the apartment she started renting from me 7/1/2018, apt 9

10/05/21 Meter inspection found several meter mix-ups

10/21/19 \$3040.47 was transferred w/ 10 day shut off my house

07/21/20 \$3314.11

12/21/20 \$3070.53

06/21/21 \$3429.81

12/20/21 \$4078.47

02/22/22 \$4628.83

09/20/22 \$5206.73

01/23/23 \$5664.39

03/22/23 \$6666.03 ten day shut off notice

05/04/23 \$6988.43 ten day shut off notice

05/07/23 \$7036.36 ten day shut off notice

08/02/23 \$8111.97 ten day shut off notice

08/23/23 \$1377.27 5023 Wayne 2<sup>nd</sup> fl shut off

01/22/24 \$7784.96

02/27/24 \$8733.14

04/24/24 \$9013.01

07/01/24 \$9371.36

My house electric and gas was turned off for 3 days in July 2024 because of this ongoing miss billing from 2017-2024.

All other accounts keep listing bad debt, transfer, or deferment. Peco Exlon put a \$3,040.00 transfer on my house with no bill statement 10/2019. 10/2019 Turned off my house. Peco forced put me into a 6 month, \$500.00 each month, payment plan for another \$3000.00 they said I owed, even though I paid them \$3044.36 8/12/18, while they investigated and inspected the meters at 6801 N. 17<sup>th</sup> st. They inspection wasn't done until Oct. 5, 2021. July 2020 after paying the \$3000.00 until inspection verified what was going on, I received a call from a Peco Exlon representative stating I owed another \$3000.00. I explained that I just inter into a forced temporary payment and if I owed an additional \$3000.00, it would have been \$6000.00 I would have been forced to pay temporarily. That's when I filed with PUC. The PUC rep who called was useless and didn't listen to common sense.

Collection agencies contacting me for these balances. But my balances on my bills never decreased by the amounts written off and given to these collection agencies. Peco was billing at the same time the collection agencies were. Peco was also sending the same bills to 3-4 of my good standing accounts every month

2/18/20 McCarthy, Burgess & Wolff

Account # 4731868049    \$1,987.47

6/2/22 Harris & Harris, Ltd

Account # 4113309063    \$1828.44

8/28/23 Torres Credit Services, Inc

Account # 5350397095    \$3,534.44

7/23/24 Sunrise Credit Service inc.

Account # 57881857        \$2994.24

Yearly totals of checks written to Peco & 96 phone payments made in 2019-2020. I have copies of each phone payment confirmed by Peco with a texted conformation number, date and amount. But, the text do not say what account it was applied to. My bank statements show money being taken out to pay Peco, but it can not state what account it was applied to. Only Peco can do that. Therefore it is their responsibility to show where the money went and they can not. This would explain the billing mix up and double billing. This does not explain why Peco would allow a tenant to transfere an outstanding electric bill to my building when she moved in;\$12-1600.00, and when she left, here balance of over \$2000.00+ was transferred to me to pay. This tenant is now somewhere else living, still receiving new electric and I am left stuck, being forced to pay hers and other tenants bills. This financial screw up has caused me many, many financial, social, and emotional problems. Sitting home July 2024 for three days without electric was so humiliating and depressing. I called Dareshia Parker state rep, Andre Carroll state rep., to inform them and Andre Carroll was concerned enough to come to my home and spoke with a Peco rep to set up a payment agreement for my apartment on Wayne Ave. that Peco was also threatening to turn off. My neighbors could see all my electric was off. No security lights were on, the summer heat was unbearable. Ultimately it was Senator Art Hay water's office that helped and advised me. I had

to go see my doctor, and she wrote a note and faxed it over to someone in a Peco department. Everyone wanted me to leave my house, but I refused.

I really do not want to grow old in Philadelphia. Every city department and big business utility services, tax assessments, sanitation, PPA, court system, landlord tenant court is out to take or brake middle class citizens. These are unfair systems but in place to purposely force small landlords to sell or loose their properties. Policies made that allow this constant financial attack should not be allowed, because it fraud.

2015 -\$10,214.67

2016-\$8,599.68

2017-\$11,537.60

2018-\$8,713.12

2019-\$20,269.93

2020-\$7,494.80

2021-\$9,522.15

2022-\$7,067.94

Total = \$83,419.89

This total does not include all payments made to Peco, it is most of the payments made.

Thank you for hearing me. I have all my canceled checks from 2015-2024 already sorted and copied if you want to se more evidence. Between 2017-2023 I paid Peco almost \$100,000.00. how can I possibly owe another outstanding amount that almost equals \$20,000.000 I have been telling them since 2017, that there was a billing error. No one listened. Peco just kept harassing me, miss billing me, turning of my power to my home and my apartment/Airbnb and one of my offices. This has also affected

my health. I want justice and compensation for these 7 years of misbilling and harassment.

Thank You,  
Wanda Walker  
(215)317-3785

8/14/24 IGS energy

I was denied my solar panels for 3 buildings because of the negative reporting Peco did to the credit reporting agencies; Equifax and others.

**C-2024-3051234, C-2024-3051237, C-2024-3051343, C-2024-3051344- WANDA WALKER v. PECO ENERGY COMPANY**

WANDA WALKER

PO BOX 502

GLENSIDE PA 19038

**215.317.3785**

[WandaLeoLady@aol.com](mailto:WandaLeoLady@aol.com)

Served via USPS First - Class Mail

KHADIJAH SCOTT ASSOCIATE GENERAL COUNSEL

PECO ENERGY COMPANY

2301 MARKET STREET - S23-1

PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

**267.533.1830**

[Khadijah.Scott@exeloncorp.com](mailto:Khadijah.Scott@exeloncorp.com)

Accepts eService

*(Counsel for PECO Energy Company)*