



375 North Shore Drive
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15212

www.peoples-gas.com

Meagan Moore

Senior Attorney

Phone: 412-208-6527

Email: meagan.moore@peoples-gas.com

May 27, 2025

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Matthew Homsher, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street – 2nd Floor North
PO Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: **John J. Logue v. Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC**
Docket No. C-2025-3053181

Dear Secretary Homsher:

Enclosed, please find a Petition by Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC to Reopen the Record in the referenced matter. This Petition is being filed pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.571 and is being requested for the limited purpose of correcting certain typographical errors in the transcript of the April 23, 2025 hearing held in this proceeding. Attached as Appendix A to the Petition are the Proposed Corrections to the Hearing Transcript, being filed in accordance with 52 Pa. Code § 5.253. Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Please contact me should you have any questions or require further information regarding this filing.

Very truly yours,



Meagan Moore

Enclosures
Certificate of Service

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
BEFORE
THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

John J. Logue)	Complaint Docket
v.)	No. C-2025-3053181
Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC)	

NOTICE TO PLEAD

TO: **John J. Logue**

You are hereby notified that, if you do not file a written response to the enclosed Preliminary Objections within (10) days from service of this notice, the facts set forth in the following Preliminary Objections may be deemed to be true, thereby requiring no other proof. All pleadings, such as a Response to the enclosed Preliminary Objections, must be filed with the Secretary of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, with a copy served on all other parties to this proceeding. Failure to respond to the Preliminary Objections could result in the dismissal of your case.



Dated: May 27, 2025

Meagan Moore, Esq.
Counsel for Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC
375 North Shore Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15212
(412) 208-6834


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following persons, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

VIA E-MAIL & CERTIFIED MAIL

JOHN J. LOGUE
109 LUDWIG ROAD
NESHANNOCK, PA 16105
609.410.3636
jjjair@aol.com

May 27, 2025



Meagan Moore
Counsel for Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

John J. Logue,	:	
	:	
Complainant,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. C-2025-3053181
	:	
Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

**PETITION OF PEOPLES NATURAL GAS COMPANY LLC
TO REOPEN THE RECORD**

TO ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE KATRINA L. DUNDERDALE:

Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC (“Peoples” or the “Company”) files this Petition to Reopen the Record in the above-referenced matter pursuant to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission’s (“Commission”) regulations at 52 Pa. Code § 5.571.¹ Peoples is requesting that the record be reopened in this matter for the limited purpose of correcting certain typographical errors in the transcript of the April 23, 2025, hearing held in this proceeding. These errors are identified and corrected in the Company’s Proposed Corrections to Hearing Transcript, attached hereto as Appendix A. In support thereof, Peoples states as follows:

¹ Pursuant to Section 5.571 of the Commission’s regulations, an evidentiary record may be reopened upon the following:

- (a) At any time after the record is closed but before a final decision is issued, a party may file a petition to reopen the proceeding for the purpose of taking additional evidence.
- (b) A petition to reopen must set forth clearly the facts claimed to constitute grounds requiring reopening of the proceeding, including material changes of fact or of law alleged to have occurred since the conclusion of the hearing.
- (c) Within 10 days following the service of the petition, another party may file an answer thereto.

I. BACKGROUND

1. On January 30, 2025, John Logue (“Complainant”) filed a formal complaint with the Commission against Peoples. The allegations in the Complaint relate to Weather Normalization Adjustment (“WNA”) charges on the Complainant’s natural gas service bills.

2. Peoples filed an Answer to the Complaint on February 20, 2025. In its Answer, Peoples generally denied Complainant’s allegations regarding the WNA.

3. On February 25, 2025, the Commission issued an Initial Hearing Notice, which scheduled the hearing for April 16, 2025, before Administrative Law Judge Katrina L. Dunderdale (“ALJ”).

4. Also on February 25, 2025, the ALJ issued a Prehearing Order.

5. On March 11, 2025, Peoples filed a Motion for Continuance requesting that the hearing be rescheduled. The ALJ granted the Company’s request and issued an Interim Order rescheduling the hearing for April 23, 2025.

6. On April 23, 2025, the ALJ presided over a telephonic evidentiary hearing, wherein Peoples and the Complainant moved their direct testimony into the record.

7. On May 16, 2025, Peoples received a copy of the transcript for the April 23, 2025, hearing.

8. On May 20, 2025, the ALJ issued an Interim Order Closing the Hearing Record.

9. For the reasons explained below, the record should be re-opened for the limited purpose of correcting the hearing transcript as set forth in the Proposed Corrections to Hearing Transcript attached hereto as Appendix A.

II. ARGUMENT

10. In order to ensure that the evidentiary record is as accurate as possible, Peoples submits that it is necessary and appropriate to reopen the record for the limited purpose of correcting the hearing transcript.

11. Section 5.253 of the Commission's regulations provides that "[a] correction in the official transcript may be made only to make it accurately reflect the evidence presented at the hearing and to speak the truth." 52 Pa. Code § 5.253(a).

12. The transcript includes the testimony of the Complainant, John Logue, as well as the testimony of two Company witnesses: Carol Scanlon, Manager of Rates, and Robert Dailey, Supervisor of Credit and Billing.

13. Upon review of the hearing transcript, Peoples identified a number of typographical errors that render portions of the transcript, particularly the testimony of Peoples witnesses Scanlon and Dailey, unintelligible. These errors are identified and corrected in the Company's Proposed Corrections to Hearing Transcript. *See* Appendix A.

14. For example, on page 53, line 13 the Transcript currently states, "the Commission simply could recover \$100." The Company proposes to change this line to "the Commission said the Company could only recover \$100."

15. The testimony of Peoples witnesses Scanlon and Dailey make up the Company's direct case in this proceeding, and the transcript will provide the basis for the ALJ's Initial Decision.

16. Ensuring that the record accurately reflects the testimony of the Company's witnesses is clearly in the public interest. *See* 52 Pa. Code § 5.571(c) (the record may be reopened if there is reason to believe that conditions of fact have so changed as to require, or that the public interest requires the reopening of the record).

17. The Company did notify the Complainant regarding this request and would be amenable to a discussion regarding the Transcript.

18. Therefore, Peoples requests that the record be re-opened for the limited purpose of correcting certain portions of the Transcript as set forth the Company's Proposed Corrections to Hearing Transcript, attached hereto as Appendix A.

III. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC respectfully requests that Administrative Law Judge Katrina L. Dunderdale re-open the evidentiary record for the limited purpose of incorporating the Proposed Corrections to Hearing Transcript attached hereto as Appendix A.

Respectfully submitted,



Meagan Moore, Esq.
Counsel for Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC
375 North Shore Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15212
(412) 208-6527
Meagan.Moore@peoples-gas.com

Dated: May 27, 2025

APPENDIX A
PROPOSED CORRECTIONS TO
HEARING TRANSCRIPT

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

John J. Logue,	:	
	:	
Complainant,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. C-2025-3053181
	:	
Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

**PROPOSED CORRECTIONS TO
HEARING TRANSCRIPT**

The following are the proposed hearing transcript corrections from Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC related to the April 23, 2025, hearing held in the above-captioned proceeding at the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission before Administrative Law Judge Katrina L. Dunderdale.

April 23, 2025 Hearing

Page	Line	Change From:	Change To:
7	1	nutshell, bill projection and how it's displaying the WNA on our bill	nutshell, bill presentment and how it's displaying the WNA on our bill.
32	3	those ways is what's called bills rendered because	those ways is what's called bills rendered.
32	4	It's adjusted. In bills rendered, what that means	Bills rendered means
32	5	Is that it's computed for a period for the customer,	that the ending date of the period being billed is used
32	6	What their rate is. If the bill takes you from	to determine the rate. As an example, if the period is
32	7	August 31 st through October 2 nd , the way that bills	August 31 st through October 2 nd ,

32	8	Rendered works is that whatever that delivery day of	the rate in effect as of October 2nd
32	9	That billing period is, whatever rates are in effect	(the end date of the billing period)
32	10	That date are applied to the full bill.	is applied to the full bill period.
37	22	to reflect the customers bill to better reflect	to adjust the customers bill to better reflect
37	23	normal weatherization	normal weather.
38	14	customer to use. We then tier that to how much gas	customer to use. We then compare that to how much gas
43	13	So the next line is simply, here's the	So the next line is simply adjusting the NHDD for the deadband.
43	14	what we're not going to account for, so three.	Meaning, here is the amount we will not account for, three HDDs.
44	3	not a primary driver.	not a primary driver of usage.
48	11	presenting issue	presentment issue
51	11	I agree that the line is basically	I agree that the line says presented
51	12	scripted as a line item, if that answers the	as a line item, if that answers the
51	13	question you're asking. If it is to be a separate	question you're asking. If it is not a separate
51	14	line item, it is then included within a different	line item, it is then included within a different
51	15	Form	Line item.
51	23	The bill for Peoples is consistent with what is	The bill for Peoples is not consistent with what is
51	25	calculations are similar. I can see that there is a	calculations are similar. I can't see that there is a
53	6	dollars. Say roughly \$10 per MCL. If the true	dollars. Say roughly \$10 per MCF. If the true
53	8	10 or recover \$80. So that the WNA Mcfs method does	10 or recover \$80. So that the WNA mechanism does
53	13	the Commission simply could recover \$100. This	the Commission said the Company could only recover \$100. This
53	14	would all be based on normal weatherization.	would all be based on normal weather.
54	18	expected for a day based on historic weather tests.	expected for a day based on historic weather data.
55	11	When people pay specific rates, this type	The NHDD is derived from data

55	12	of rate is agreed upon. The best rate is use if a	from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
55	13	rate is not agreed upon. Within specific	(NOAA). Within the specific
55	14	proceedings, we will provide a proposal of how they	proceeding (base rate case), we provided a proposal of how we
55	15	arrived at that number within the context of our	arrived at the number. We discussed this with the parties
55	16	plan. We discuss that and come to an agreement on	and came to an agreement on
55	17	what the actual number is versus normal	what the actual number is versus
55	18	weatherization methodology tied to that number.	the methodology tied to that number.
56	2	It's not about – you can't be in there	It is not the same for every customer.
56	3	without being in the plan. For a customer in a	It could be the same if a customer has the same
56	4	rate date, so their date will carry, and the answer	billing date and cycle, then the answer
56	17	I understand the cycle. I do not know	I understand the question. I do not know
56	18	what that percentage is. We do have two billing	what the percentage is. We do have twenty-two billing
57	11	regulation included the customer education, you	via the regulations, we include customer education
58	25	is a measurement. I am familiar that there is - I	is different measurement. I am familiar that there is – mcf,
59	1	do know that we're not always the same.	ccf, therms – I do know that we're not all the same.
59	10	each specific term. The calculation is different.	Mcf or therm. The calculation is different.
59	16	that number would vary based on Mcf or terms or	that number would vary based on Mcf or therms or
67	2	Yes. There must be an	Yes. There was an
67	3	actual meter reading recalculation.	actual heading degree day recalculation.
69	23	Yes. I am the Debit/Credit supervisor at	Ys. I am the Credit and Billing Supervisor at
69	25	And are you familiar with Mr. John Logue?	And are you familiar with Mr. John Logue's Complaint?

71	18	Yes. That was implemented on November	Yes. That was implemented on October
71	21	Normalization credit or had information on it.	Normalization credit or debit information on it.
72	7	calculation. I was able to justify it for them.	calculation. I was able to satisfy it for them.
72	10	Yes. The customers were not aware of the	Yes. The customers were made aware of the
80	16	directed to the Commission-approved Weather	about the bill presentment of the Commission-approved Weather
80	17	Normalization Adjustment noted on or around October	Normalization Adjustment implemented October
80	18	1st, 2024, and also another issue as to what those	1 st , 2024 as a separate line item
80	19	acronym mean and whether or not there was direct	and also how the calculation is
80	20	activity with respect to the Weather Normalization	presented for the Weather Normalization