

IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
Antonio Geatti v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

Answer to PPL Electric Utilities Corporation to Motion from May 16, 2025 on Docket No. c2023-3043427

The problems with PPL Electric's arguments are that their whole case is based on wrong information, testimony, and documentation. PPL electric keeps trying to tap dance out of their liability by technicalities, or citing other cases, or making claims on rules that should favor them, but all of those are moot arguments as the case that they are trying to support is built on fraudulent testimony from at least one of their witnesses Charles Stalega, who apparently claimed to be an officer of some type of government unit in Columbia County Pa when in reality he does not work for any branch of government anywhere, and his company which is a private for profit company, does not even have any presence in Columbia County Pa., they are from Lehigh County, and have an office in Luzerne County, but not Columbia County. The other claim that they make is that they have a fraudulent document, that they call the Demolition Order, which on top of being completely illegal, was never used for anything by any body of government, and they cannot prove that my home was destroyed because of their fraudulent document, because that never happened. That document was just a ploy and was never used for any actual legal action.

Because PPL electric's evidence is moot and never used for anything anywhere, the Complainant should prevail as he is a victim of this large corporation which participated in the destruction of his home. Miss Magan E. Rulli did Plot the destruction of the Complainant's Residence with Brittany Bacon, AKA Brittany Mary Stasic after the Complaint had already been filed with the PUC by the Complainant Mr. Geatti.

Sincerely,

Antonio Geatti

Antonio Geatti, Complainant Pro Se

20 Shawnee Rd.

Bloomsburg PA, 17815

CC: Megan Rulli/PPL Electric Attorney Via Email