

OBJECTIONS TO SETTLEMENT

Please sign this sheet if you would like to **oppose** the Joint Petition for Settlement signed by Pike County Light and Power Company, the Office of Consumer Advocate, and the PUC's Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement on **June 9, 2025**, in the case of Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission v. Pike County Light and Power Company, Docket Nos. R-2024-3052357 (for the Gas rate proceeding) and R-2024-3052359 (for the Electric rate proceeding). **You are encouraged to provide written comments below and/or attach additional pages**, setting forth any facts and explanation for your objections.

By adding my signature below, I am indicating that I have read the terms of the Settlement Agreement and wish to **oppose** the Settlement. I understand that I may file objections (below and/or by attachment to this Objections Page) to the Settlement and exceptions to a Recommended Decision and that my complaint will be resolved as part of the PUC order resolving this case.

JAMES ROMEO
Please Print Your Full Name

James Romeo
Please Sign Your Full Name

Date: 6-18-2025

Please Write Your Address Here:

307 AVE. O
MATAMORAS, PA.
18336

Docket Numbers of Your Complaint(s) (begins with C-2024):

[R-2024--3052359]
R-2024-3052357

Written Comments and reasons for objecting (may attach additional sheets):

ATTACHMENTS enclosed

1
Docket Nos. R-2024-3052357 (GAS)
R-2024-3052359 (ELECTRIC)

How is it considered in the "public interest" to extract more money from said public?

Your two phase program for GAS is somewhat deceptive. The full increase begins on month thirteen. Why no two phase provision for electric.

We are not getting a better product, nor faster delivery. The premise of safety is the main substance. Are we unsafe now?

A compromise is close to a fifty-fifty medium. Not ninety/ten favor to one party.

Concerning requested revenue increase, PCLP is awarded 90.9% of their request for ~~electric~~ ^{GAS} and 91.5% award for electric.

A dream-come-true for PCLP.

Over a ten year period, a boon of \$23,325,000 without further increases which are sure to come.

Perhaps PCLP can finally afford to purchase their new company vehicles from a local dealership.

A "stay out" provision of two years is provided for electric service. But why not same provision for gas service? The "public interest" can expect added increases at any time?

There are two school campuses within PCLP service district, which will result in higher school taxes.

Higher borough taxes will also be levied. Businesses will be raising prices due to higher overhead. Another small community bankrupted.

As per public meeting held May 8, 2025, what "disclosure of privileged materials" were denied?

PCLP NET WORTH, ASSETS, LIQUID ASSETS, BONUSES?

PCLP AND THEIR NEW HOLDING COMPANY, APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, NET WORTH 733 BILLION CAN WELL AFFORD TO FUND THEIR OWN IMPROVEMENTS. ALL OF US HOME OWNERS PAY FOR ALL OF OUR IMPROVEMENTS, NOT DEMAND OUR NEIGHBORS FINANCIALLY CONTRIBUTE.

HOW MUCH MORE MUST THE CITIZENS OF MATAMORAS, PA. AND WESTFALL, PA. SACRIFICE AND DO WITHOUT. WHAT IS PCLP'S SACRIFICE AND COST CUTTING?

WAS A COMMUNITY FINANCIAL IMPACT STUDY CONSIDERED?


NO EMPLOYEE, SHAREHOLDER OR BOARD OF DIRECTOR MEMBERS RESIDE IN THE AFFECTED COMMUNITIES. THEIR INDIFFERENCE IS A NEGATIVE FOR THE "PUBLIC INTEREST."

Sincerely,

James Romgo, AGE 76

James Romgo

307 AVG. O
MATAMORAS, PA.
18336



JUN 20 2025

ROSEMARY CHIAVETTA, SECRETARY
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
COMMONWEALTH KEYSTONE BUILDING
400 NORTH STREET
HARRISBURG, PA.

17120