

Facts concerning Docket No. C-2025-3055803  
Donald R. Knaub vs. Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania Inc.

1. Bought building lot in 1987
2. Approached by Columbia Gas employee about getting as many property owners on Dietz Road, Windsor Township, as possible to put in propane tanks due to future Rosebrook Development on Dietz Road.
3. I approached all lot owners on Dietz Road. Seven of the Cranberry Farms property owners on Dietz Road did install propane tanks to make change over to Columbia Gas in the future. One property owner, Mr. McCullough, on the opposite side of Dietz Road stated that he would also be interested in connecting to Columbia Gas.
4. Moved into Lot 17, October 1989.
5. Four years later, the Rosebrook Development, Phase I was completed and there was natural gas supplied by Columbia Gas on Dietz Road up to EX REBAR on Dietz Road.
6. At this time, Lots 11 and 15 tied into the gas main at no cost because the Rosebrook developer had the main installed.
7. I called the Columbia Gas employee and asked when the rest of Dietz Road gas main would be run. I was told the Columbia Gas stopped running gas for private citizens.
8. Some time in late 2009, Lot 16 Ross Moquin and myself contacted Columbia Gas for an estimate to run the gas main to our properties. This was due to propane prices skyrocketing. Also at this time there was a delivery charge added from the propane supplier. We given at this time a price of \$4,000 each to run the gas main to our properties. I asked about Lots 1, 2 and 3 and the McCullough property and was told they would need to schedule their own appointments.
9. Ross Moquin, Lot 16, agreed to proceed with the gas main install to his property. I declined.
10. I then gave the go ahead on 8/6/2012 to have the gas main run 215 feet at a cost of \$6,568.82. This was after the Columbia Gas employee that was measuring for the gas main told me a new development was going in across Dietz Road behind Mr. McCullough's property. He told me if anyone ties onto the gas main I paid to run I would be reimbursed. The Columbia Gas employee told me it was a "no lose" situation for me. He said the map showed Cranberry Lane and Dietz Road was going to become a four-way intersection.
11. A short time later my neighbor from Lot 14 showed me a letter from Columbia Gas he received asking for at least five property owners on Cranberry Lane that would be interested in natural gas to their property. If that was the case, then Columbia Gas would run gas main on Cranberry Lane at no cost. On top of that, Columbia Gas would provide a check for \$500-\$1,000 to each lot owner which could be used to cover fees incurred to change over to natural gas. This letter was sent to Lots 3 -14, but did not send to Lots 2, 12, 17 to my understanding. Columbia Gas did secure enough property owners to justify installing the gas main on Cranberry Lane. The fact that Columbia Gas made a monetary offer to property owners was deemed illegal stating you cannot pay property owners to leave their current utility. Right now there are houses on Cranberry Lane with gas run to their house with a

gas meter set but no piping on the outlet side of the meter going into the house.

12. Now we come to present day, the lot shown as Strayer property on Dietz Road was recently subdivided into four building lots. The owner of the property told me he got an estimate from Columbia Gas to run the gas main up Dietz Road at a cost of \$38,000 which is roughly 600+ feet. The owner has since sold the three lots. Now permits were being picked up and Columbia Gas now told him they were going to forego the \$38,000 and will run the gas main for free. This new gas main will be tied onto the 215-foot gas main I paid to have run to 903 Cranberry Lane, Lot 17.

13. I contacted Columbia Gas asking if I would receive any compensation for my part of the main gas line as stated in paragraph 10 above. Much to my surprise I received a copy of the contract that I signed when I installed the gas main to my property that stated this was a seven-year deal only unless Mr. McCullough hooked onto the gas main.

14. I am asking the Court to hear my side of this situation. Now 21 homes have gotten gas lines supplies to their homes for free, plus some with extra funds given to them to use as they wish. Two homeowners had to pay to get gas.

In conclusion, I believe myself to have been a good advocate for Columbia Gas in securing 8 potential Columbia Gas customers. I would like my voice to be heard and acknowledged by Columbia Gas and the Public Utility Commission. I am willing to accept whatever ruling the Court decides.