
Devin Ryan

dryan@postschell.com
717-612-6052 Direct
717-731-1985 Direct Fax
File #: 207296

July 28, 2025

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor North
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re: Energy Efficiency and Conservation Program
Docket No. M-2025-3052826

Dear Secretary Homsher:

On July 11, 2025, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation's ("PPL Electric" or the "Company") filed its CONFIDENTIAL Request for Proposal ("RFP") process for its Phase V Act 129 Energy Efficiency and Conservation ("EE&C") Conservation Service Provider ("CSP") Contracts. The RFP process was filed consistent with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission's ("Commission") Final Implementation Order entered on June 18, 2025, in the above-captioned proceeding. *See Energy Efficiency and Conservation Plan*, Docket No. M-2025-3052826, at 225-27 (Order entered June 18, 2025).

On July 25, 2025, the Commission issued a Secretarial Letter tolling the 15-day review period for the RFP process and propounding a data request on the Company.

Enclosed for filing is PPL Electric's answer to the Commission's data request. Both a Public and CONFIDENTIAL version of the answer to the data request are being filed. Due to the competitively-sensitive nature of the RFP process, PPL Electric respectfully requests that the Commission afford the CONFIDENTIAL version of the answer proprietary treatment and place it in a non-public folder.

Copies are being served as indicated below.

Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary
July 28, 2025
Page 2

Respectfully submitted,



Devin Ryan

DTR/dmc
Enclosure

cc: Joseph Sherrick (*via Email josherrick@pa.gov*)
Tiffany Tran, Esquire (*via Email tiftran@pa.gov*)
Allison Curtin Kaster, Esquire (*Letter and Public Version of Answer Only*)
Darryl A. Lawrence, Esquire (*Letter and Public Version of Answer Only*)
NazAarah Sabree (*Letter and Public Version of Answer Only*)

PPL Electric Utilities Corporation
Response to the Set I Data Requests of the
Bureau of Technical Utility Services
M-2025-3052826
Dated July 25, 2025

Q-1 On page 2 of the filing, Section 4(a) addresses the bid evaluation percentages. Section 4(a)(1) indicates that “Quality/Technical” will be scored in a range equaling “*30-70%”. Sections 4(a)(2) and 4(a)(3) indicate that the “Variety of Offerings” and “Evaluated Cost” will both be scored in ranges equaling “*10-30%” each. If “Quality/Technical” is evaluated and scored at 30% , it is not mathematically possible to reach a total evaluation score of 100% with the other two categories having a maximum potential of 30% each. Please provide a reason for why these numbers were chosen, and how the evaluation scoring will work.

PPL To clarify, Quality/Technical will be scored in a range equaling 40-
Response 70%, not 30-70%. Redline and clean copies of the corrected version of the Company’s RFP Procedures with this edit incorporated are attached as **CONFIDENTIAL Q-1 Attachments 1 and 2**, respectively. The ranges reflect flexibility in determining alignment with Commission requirements. **[BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL]** [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[END CONFIDENTIAL]

Q-1 Attachment 1

CONFIDENTIAL

Q-1 Attachment 2

CONFIDENTIAL

VERIFICATION

I, THOMAS J. McATEER, being the Manager-Energy Efficiency at PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, hereby state that the facts above set forth are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and that I expect PPL Electric Utilities Corporation to be able to prove the same at a hearing held in this matter. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 07/28/2025



Thomas J. McAteer.