



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
COMMONWEALTH KEYSTONE BUILDING  
400 NORTH STREET, HARRISBURG, PA 17120

BUREAU OF  
INVESTIGATION  
&  
ENFORCEMENT

July 28, 2025

**Via Electronic Filing**

Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement v. Best Taxi, LLC  
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement v. Good Cab, LLC  
Consolidated Cases  
Docket Nos. C-2022-3029070  
C-2022-3029079

**I&E Motion to Strike Untimely Filed Exceptions**

Dear Secretary Homsher:

Enclosed for electronic filing please find the Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement's ("I&E") **Motion to Strike the Untimely Filed Exceptions of Best Taxi, LLC and Good Cab, LLC** ("Respondents") that Respondents filed on July 18, 2025, in the above-referenced proceeding. Copies have been served on the parties of record in accordance with the Certificate of Service.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'G. Rosul', written in a cursive style.

Grant Rosul  
Prosecutor  
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement  
PA Attorney ID No. 318204  
(717) 783-5243  
[grosul@pa.gov](mailto:grosul@pa.gov)

GR/ac  
Enclosures

cc: Administrative Law Judge Gail M. Chiodo (via email – [gchiodo@pa.gov](mailto:gchiodo@pa.gov))  
Per Certificate of Service

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
Best Taxi, LLC	:	Docket Nos.    C-2022-3029070
	:	C-2022-3029079
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement	:	
	:	Consolidated Cases
v.	:	
	:	
Good Cab, LLC	:	

**NOTICE TO PLEAD**

The Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (“I&E”) of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) has filed a Motion to Strike the Untimely Filed Exceptions of Best Taxi, LLC and Good Cab, LLC in the above-captioned matter. Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.61(a)(1) and 5.103(c), you are hereby notified to file a written response within twenty (20) days of service of the Motion.

Any Answer must be verified pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.36 and filed with the Commission, with a copy electronically served on the I&E prosecutor.



---

Grant Rosul  
Prosecutor  
PA Attorney ID No. 318204

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17120  
(717) 783-5243  
[grosul@pa.gov](mailto:grosul@pa.gov)

Dated: July 28, 2025

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
Best Taxi, LLC	:	Docket Nos. C-2022-3029070
	:	C-2022-3029079
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement	:	
	:	Consolidated Cases
v.	:	
	:	
Good Cab, LLC	:	

**MOTION TO STRIKE THE UNTIMELY FILED  
EXCEPTIONS OF BEST TAXI, LLC AND GOOD CAB, LLC**

TO THE HONORABLE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION:

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.103, the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission’s (“Commission”) Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (“I&E”), by its prosecuting attorney, hereby moves to strike the untimely filed Exceptions of Best Taxi, LLC and Good Cab, LLC (“Respondents”) that were filed on July 18, 2025, and responds to the Office of Administrative Law Judge’s Initial Decision (“Initial Decision”).

Respondent’s Exceptions to the Initial Decision were not filed within the 20-day timeframe pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.533 and thus must be denied. In support thereof, I&E respectfully represents as follows:

**I. RELEVANT BACKGROUND**

1. On February 9, 2022, I&E filed a Formal Complaint against Best Taxi LLC (“Best Taxi” or “Respondent”) alleging the following two violations:
  - a. allowed one driver to operate a taxicab who is disqualified due to being convicted of a felony or misdemeanor relating to the suitability of the driver to provide safe and legal service in violation of 52 Pa. Code § 29.505(b)(1); and

- b. failed to require the driver to maintain log sheets for all trips, in violation of 52 Pa. Code § 29.313(c).

For the first offense, I&E sought a fine of \$1,000; and for the second offense, a fine of \$50.

These proposed fines total \$1,050. The prosecution against Best Taxi is docketed at No. C-2022-3029070 (“Best Taxi”).

2. On February 14, 2022, I&E filed a Formal Complaint against Good Cab, LLC (“Good Cab” or “Respondent”) alleging the following three violations:

- a. failed to obtain and review the criminal histories of three employee drivers prior to allowing the employees to operate the company’s vehicles, in violation of 52 Pa. Code § 29.505(b)(1);
- b. allowed one employee driver to operate who was disqualified due to being convicted of a felony or misdemeanor relating to the suitability of the driver to provide safe and legal service, in violation of 52 Pa. Code § 29.505(b)(1); and
- c. failed to require the employee drivers to maintain log sheets for all trips, in violation of 52 Pa. Code § 29.313(c).

For the first offense, I&E sought a fine of \$250 per violation for each of the three employees totaling \$750; for the second offense, a fine of \$1,000; and for the third offense, a fine of \$50.

These proposed fines total \$1,800. The prosecution against Good Cab was docketed at No. C-2022-3029079 (“Good Cab”).

3. On September 23, 2022, an Order was entered consolidating Docket Nos. C-2022-3029070 and C-2022-3029079 for litigation and resolution pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.81.

4. On June 18, 2025, Deputy Chief Administrative Law Judge Chiodo issued an Initial Decision sustaining the Formal Complaints against Best Taxi, LLC, Docket No. C-2022-

3029070, and Good Cab, LLC, Docket No. C-2022- 3029079, and assessing civil penalties of \$1,050 and \$1,800, respectively.

5. The Secretary's Initial Decision Letter, dated June 18, 2025, properly contains details clearly advising that Exceptions must be filed within twenty (20) days of the date of the Secretary's Letter. A copy of the Secretary's Letter has been attached as I&E Exhibit 1.

6. The twenty (20) day period of time in which to file a responsive answer expired on Tuesday, July 8, 2025.

7. On July 18, 2025, Respondent filed its untimely Exceptions.

## **II. RESPONDENT'S UNTIMELY EXCEPTIONS ARE PROCEDURALLY IMPROPER AND SHOULD BE STRICKEN**

8. Section 5.533(a) of the Commission's regulations provides that "[i]n a proceeding, exceptions may be filed by a party and served within **20 days** after the initial, tentative or recommended decision is issued." (emphasis added).

9. Respondent was duly notified of the 20-day responsive time period by the Secretary's Initial Decision Letter attached to the Office of Administrative Law Judge's June 18, 2025 Initial Decision.

10. Respondent's Exceptions to the Initial Decision were filed on July 18, 2025, which is ten days beyond the 20-day responsive time period.

11. Respondent provided no explanation let alone good cause for its untimely filing and should not be afforded any leniency for its failure to comply with the Commission's procedural regulations.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, the Exceptions filed by Respondent on July 18, 2025, should be stricken.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "G. Rosul", written in a cursive style.

Grant Rosul  
Prosecutor  
PA Attorney ID No. 318204

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17120  
(717) 783-5243  
[grosul@pa.gov](mailto:grosul@pa.gov)

Date: July 28, 2025

# **I&E Exhibit 1**



**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**  
 PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
 COMMONWEALTH KEYSTONE BUILDING  
 400 NORTH STREET  
 HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120

IN REPLY PLEASE  
 REFER TO OUR FILE

June 18, 2025

C-2022-3029070

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission,  
 Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement

v.

Best Taxi LLC

C-2022-3029079

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission,  
 Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement

v.

Good Cab LLC

**TO ALL PARTIES:**

Enclosed is a copy of the Initial Decision of the Office of Administrative Law Judge.

If you do not agree with any part of this decision, you may send written comments (called Exceptions) to the Commission. Your signed Exceptions to the decision, if any, must be: 1) **filed** with the Secretary of the Commission, **and 2) within twenty (20) days** of the date of this letter.

Exceptions must be efiled with the Secretary of the Commission by opening an efile account through the Commission's website and accepting eservice at <http://www.puc.state.pa.us/efiling/default.aspx>. If your filing contains confidential material, you are required to file by overnight delivery to ensure the timely filing of your submission.

In addition to filing your Exceptions with the Secretary of the Commission, a courtesy copy of your Exceptions should be e-mailed to the Commission's Office of Special Assistants (OSA) at [ra-OSA@pa.gov](mailto:ra-OSA@pa.gov). Your filing should not be larger than 10mb.

Replies to Exceptions, if any, must be **filed** with the Secretary of the Commission and **served** on each party of record and the Commission's OSA, in the manner described above. **They are due within ten (10) days of the date when Exceptions are due.**

It is your responsibility to serve all the parties with your Exceptions and Replies to Exceptions. Failure to do so may render your filing unacceptable. A certificate of service (see format in 52 Pa. Code §1.58) shall be attached to the filed Exceptions or Replies to Exceptions.

Exceptions and Replies to Exceptions shall follow 52 Pa. Code §§5.533 and 5.535 particularly the 40-page limit for Exceptions and the 25-page limit for Replies to Exceptions. Exceptions should clearly be labeled as "EXCEPTIONS OF (name of party) - (protestant, complainant, staff, etc.)". Any reference to specific sections of the Administrative Law Judge's Initial Decision shall include the page number(s) of the cited section of the decision.

If no Exceptions are received, the decision of the Administrative Law Judge could become final without further Commission action. You will receive written notification if this occurs. However, even if no exceptions are received, the Commission may review and change the decision pursuant to Section 332(h) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. § 332(h).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Matthew L. Homsher". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "M".

Matthew L. Homsher  
Secretary

**Decision attached**

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
Best Taxi, LLC	:	Docket Nos.    C-2022-3029070
	:	C-2022-3029079
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement	:	
	:	Consolidated Cases
v.	:	
	:	
Good Cab, LLC	:	

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this day served a true copy of the foregoing document upon the parties, listed below, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a party).

**Service via Electronic Mail:**

Cory A. Leshner, Esq.  
Mette, Evans & Woodside  
3401 North Front Street  
P.O. Box 5950  
Harrisburg, PA 17110  
[caleshner@mette.com](mailto:caleshner@mette.com)  
*Counsel for Best Taxi, LLC and Good Cab, LLC*



\_\_\_\_\_  
Grant Rosul  
Prosecutor  
PA Attorney ID No. 318204

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street  
Harrisburg, PA 17120  
(717) 783-5243  
[grosul@pa.gov](mailto:grosul@pa.gov)

Dated: July 28, 2025