

To: Justice Mary Long:

If you would, please, grant a continuance of the docket F-2024-3051023; whereby, PPL's counsel's motion to dismiss shall be notwithstanding.

The very context of this request, for continuance, is predicated upon the very nature of a misunderstanding during my phone call with PPL's counsel, Alice Wade, on the 14th of July, 2025. I had initiated this call with the overtly expressed intention to pursue settlement in lieu of the evidentiary hearing scheduled for the following day.

Not actually having my own legal counsel to guard against my lack of handling of essential information, misunderstanding, of course, had precipitated via my naïve and cognitively biased presumption, heavily influenced by episodic severe nasal congestion and headache. However, I was prosaic to the facts at face value; and thus, hopeful that PPL would agree via call-back from their legal counsel, which was assured for later that day (the 14th) or for sometime the next day. (Naïve, in hindsight). The call-back never happened. And, per this distraction, which I had naïvely awaited without confirming any changes to the itinerary, I had missed the phone-in evidentiary hearing.

Please do not fault me for either not having the complete understanding of a legal professional, or not having been able to retain any legal counsel.

Please grant me a continuance.

Respectfully, Karen Truax

16 July, 2025