

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

301 E. Godfrey Associates, LLC	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2025-3054734
	:	
PECO Energy Company – Electric	:	

ORDER
GRANTING PECO ENERGY COMPANY’S MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

On April 17, 2025, Candis Pressley filed a Formal Complaint on behalf of 301 E. Godfrey Associates, LLC against PECO Energy Company with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, alleging high billing. The Complaint was served on PECO on April 24, 2025.

On May 14, 2025, PECO filed an Answer to the Complaint admitting or denying the allegations of the Complaint.

On May 16, 2025, an Initial In-Person Hearing Notice was issued scheduling an in-person hearing in this matter for July 17, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. and assigning the matter to me.

Also on May 16, 2025, a Prehearing Order was issued setting forth the procedural rules that would govern the hearing.

On July 17, 2025, the evidentiary hearing was held as scheduled. Candis Pressley appeared for the hearing. Khadijah Scott, Esquire, appeared on behalf of PECO. No attorney appeared at the hearing on behalf 301 E. Godfrey Associates, LLC. Over the objection of Attorney Scott, I agreed to continue the hearing to permit Ms. Pressley to acquire attorney representation on behalf of her business, 301 E. Godfrey Associates, LLC.

On July 17, 2025, a Further Telephonic Hearing Notice was issued, scheduling a hearing for August 15, 2025. A Prehearing Order was issued on the same date.

On August 4, 2025, Arielle Rose Gold, Esquire, entered her appearance on behalf of 301 E. Godfrey Associates, LLC.

On August 7, 2025, PECO filed a Motion for Continuance in this matter. In this Motion, PECO requested continuance of the August 15, 2025, evidentiary hearing, arguing that good cause exists to continue the hearing due to the parties being actively engaged in settlement discussions.¹

On August 11, 2025, Attorney Gold represented that Complainant did not oppose the Motion.

The Commission's regulations provide that continuances will be considered only upon "good cause" shown. 52 Pa. Code § 1.15(b). Granting the continuance will allow the parties to continue settlement discussions. The results that can be achieved as the result of a settlement can be more beneficial to the parties than the results of a fully litigated proceeding. Further, Complainant did not object to the Motion.

For the above reasons, PECO's Motion will be granted in the Ordering paragraphs below.

¹ Pursuant to the Certificate of Service attached to the Motion, the Motion was not served on Attorney Gold. On August 7, 2025, Attorney Gold was emailed the Motion and I requested that she provide Complainant's position on Motion.

ORDER

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Motion for Continuance filed by PECO Energy Company – Electric on August 7, 2025, in the matter of 301 E. Godfrey Associates, LLC v. PECO Energy Company - Electric, Docket No. C-2025-3054734, is granted.

2. That the August 15, 2025, evidentiary hearing scheduled in this matter will be rescheduled.

Date: August 12, 2025

/s/
Alphonso Arnold III
Administrative Law Judge

C-2025-3054734 - 301 E GODFREY ASSOCIATES, LLC v. PECO ENERGY COMPANY
REVISED 8/7/2025

301 E. GODFREY ASSOCIATES, LLC
CANDIS PRESSLEY
301 E GODFREY AVENUE
PHILADELPHIA PA 19120
267-713-8455
candisblackwood@gmail.com

Accepts eService August 12, 2025

GOLD STANDARD LAW LLC
ATTN: ARIELLE ROSE GOLD
104 S. 20TH STREET, UNIT 42
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103
215-692-3558
a.rose.gold@gmail.com

Accepts eService August 12, 2025
Counsel for Complainant

KHADIJAH SCOTT ESQUIRE
PECO ENERGY COMPANY
2301 MARKET STREET - S23-1
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103
267-533-1830
Khadijah.Scott@exeloncorp.com

Accepts eService August 12, 2025
(PECO Energy Company)