
Devin Ryan

dryan@postschell.com
717-612-6052 Direct
717-731-1985 Direct Fax
File #: 214011

August 13, 2025

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor North
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

Re: Gunther and Margaret Unflat v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation
Docket No. C-2025-3056483

Dear Secretary Homsher:

Enclosed for filing is PPL Electric Utilities Corporation's Preliminary Objection to the Formal Complaint in the above-captioned proceeding.

Copies are being served per the enclosed Certificate of Service.

Respectfully submitted,



Devin Ryan

DR/dmc
Enclosures

cc: Certificate of Service

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this filing has been served upon the following persons, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

VIA FIRST-CLASS MAIL

Gunther and Margaret Unflat
157 Whelan Willie Way
Hawley, PA 18428

Date: August 13, 2025



Devin T. Ryan

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Gunther and Margaret Unflat,	:	
	:	
Complainants,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. C-2025-3056483
	:	
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

NOTICE TO PLEAD

YOU ARE HEREBY ADVISED THAT, PURSUANT TO 52 PA. CODE § 5.101, YOU MAY FILE AN ANSWER TO THE ENCLOSED PRELIMINARY OBJECTION WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF THE DATE OF SERVICE HEREOF. YOUR ANSWER TO THE PRELIMINARY OBJECTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION, P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265. A COPY SHOULD ALSO BE SERVED ON THE UNDERSIGNED COUNSEL.



Kimberly A. Klock (ID # 89716)
Michael J. Shafer (ID # 205681)
PPL Services Corporation
827 Hausman Road
Allentown, PA 18104
Phone: 610-774-2599
Fax: 610-774-4102
E-mail: kklock@pplweb.com
mjshafer@pplweb.com

Devin T. Ryan (ID # 316602)
Alice A. Wade (ID # 335228)
Hayley Wilburn (ID # 336055)
Post & Schell, P.C.
One Oxford Centre
301 Grant Street, Suite 3010
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone: 717-612-6052
Fax: 717-731-1985
E-mail: dryan@postschell.com
alice.wade@postschell.com
hwilburn@postschell.com

Date: August 13, 2025

Attorneys for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Gunther and Margaret Unflat,	:	
	:	
Complainants,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. C-2025-3056483
	:	
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

**PRELIMINARY OBJECTION OF
PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES CORPORATION TO
THE COMPLAINT OF GUNTHER AND MARGARET UNFLAT**

TO THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION:

AND NOW, comes PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (“PPL Electric” or the “Company”) and hereby files this Preliminary Objection, pursuant to the regulations of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) at 52 Pa. Code § 5.101, and respectfully requests that the portion of the Formal Complaint of Gunther and Margaret Unflat (“Complainants”) requesting damages be dismissed because the Commission has no power to award damages.

In support thereof, PPL Electric states as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

1. PPL Electric furnishes electric distribution, transmission, and default supply services to approximately 1.5 million customers throughout its certificated service territory, which includes all or portions of twenty-nine counties and encompasses approximately 10,000 square miles in eastern and central Pennsylvania. PPL Electric is a “public utility,” an “electric

distribution company,” and a “default service provider” as defined in Sections 102 and 2803 of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. §§ 102, 2803.

2. On July 24, 2025, PPL Electric was served with the above-captioned Complaint. The issues raised in the Complaint pertain to electric service outages experienced by the Complainants at their service address. (Complaint ¶¶ 4, 5, 7.)

3. As relief, the Complainants request, among other things, that PPL Electric “pay for the new stove costs, the stereo equipment and for the whole house surge protector.” (Complaint ¶ 5.)

4. PPL Electric herein files this Preliminary Objection to the Complaint. For the reasons explained below, PPL Electric respectfully requests that the portion of the Complaint requesting damages be dismissed pursuant to Section 5.101(a)(2) of the Commission’s regulations because a request for damages constitutes impertinent matter. 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(2).

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

5. Pursuant to the Commission’s regulations, preliminary objections in response to a pleading may be filed on several grounds, including:

- (1) Lack of Commission jurisdiction or improper service of the pleading initiating the proceeding.
- (2) Failure of a pleading to conform to this chapter or the inclusion of scandalous or impertinent matter.
- (3) Insufficient specificity of a pleading.
- (4) Legal insufficiency of a pleading.
- (5) Lack of a capacity to sue, nonjoinder of a necessary party or misjoinder of a cause of action.
- (6) Pendency of a prior proceeding or agreement for alternative dispute resolution.

(7) Standing of a party to participate in the proceeding.

52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(2) (emphasis added).

6. In ruling on preliminary objections, the Presiding Officer must accept as true all well-pled allegations of material facts as well as all inferences reasonable deducible therefrom. *Stilp v. Commonwealth*, 910 A.2d 775, 781 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2006) (“*Stilp*”) (citing *Dep’t of Gen. Servs. V. Bd. Of Claims*, 881 A.2d 14 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2005)), *affirmed* 974 A.2d 491 (Pa. 2009). However, the Presiding Officer need not accept as true conclusions of law, unwarranted inferences from facts, argumentative allegations, or expressions of opinion. *Stanton-Negley Drug Co. v. Dep’t of Pub. Welfare*, 927 A.2d 671, 673 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2008), *affirmed*, 963 A.2d 670 (Pa. 2009). Notwithstanding, any doubt must be resolved in favor of the non-moving party. *Stilp* at 781.

7. In addition, the Presiding Officer must determine whether, based on the factual pleadings, if recovery is possible. *See Rok v. Flaherty*, 527 A.2d 211, 214 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1987) (citation omitted). Indeed, for preliminary objections to be sustained, it must appear with certainty that the law will permit no recovery. *See Stilp* at 781; *Milliner v. Enck*, 709 A.2d 417, 418 (Pa. Super. 1998) (quoting *Santiago v. Pa. Nat. Mut. Cas. Ins. Co.*, 613 A.2d 1235, 1238 (Pa. Super 1992)).

III. PRELIMINARY OBJECTION

A. THE COMPLAINANTS’ REQUEST FOR DAMAGES SHOULD BE DISMISSED BECAUSE THE COMMISSION HAS NO POWER TO AWARD DAMAGES

8. PPL Electric incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 7 as if fully set forth herein.

9. The portion of the Complaint requesting damages should be dismissed because the Commission cannot award damages.

10. In the Complaint, the Complainants request, among other things, that PPL Electric “pay for the new stove costs, the stereo equipment and for the whole house surge protector.” (Complaint ¶ 5.)

11. It is well-established that the Commission does not have authority to order a public utility to pay damages, as requested by the Complainants. *See DeFrancesco v. W. Pa. Water Co.*, 453 A.2d 595, 596-97 (Pa. 1982); *Elkin v. Bell of Pa.*, 420 A.2d 371, 375 (Pa. 1980); *Feingold v. Bell of Pa.*, 383 A.2d 791, 794-95 (Pa. 1977).

12. Here, the Complainants’ request for damages is impertinent matter “in the sense that it is irrelevant to [the] cause of action” because the Commission lacks authority to award damages. *See Stoner v. PPL Elec. Utils. Corp.*, Docket No. C-2013-2385588, p. 3 (Nov. 14, 2013 (order sustaining preliminary objections)).

13. Indeed, requests for damages are regularly stricken from complaints as being impertinent matter. *See, e.g., id.* at pp. 3, 5; *Powell v. Verizon Pa., Inc.*, Docket No C-2011-226876, 2011 Pa. PUC LEXIS 652, at *8-9, 16-17 (Dec. 21, 2011), *adopted by Comm’n*, 2012 PA. PUC LEXIS 374 (Order Entered Mar. 1, 2012); *J.E. Culbertson Co. v. Pa. Elec. Co.*, Docket No. C-2010-2204947, 2011 Pa. PUC LEXIS 781, at *8-9, 12 (Feb. 4, 2011), *adopted by Comm’n*, Docket No. C-2010-2204947 (Order Entered Apr. 8, 2011).

14. Therefore, consistent with longstanding Commission precedent, the Complainants’ request for damages is impertinent matter and should be stricken from his Complaint pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(2).

IV. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation respectfully requests that the Complainants' request for damages be summarily dismissed pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(2).

Respectfully submitted,



Kimberly A. Klock (ID # 89716)
Michael J. Shafer (ID # 205681)
PPL Services Corporation
827 Hausman Road
Allentown, PA 18104
Phone: 610-774-2599
Fax: 610-774-4102
E-mail: kklock@pplweb.com
mjshafer@pplweb.com

Devin T. Ryan (ID # 316602)
Alice A. Wade (ID # 335228)
Hayley Wilburn (ID # 336055)
Post & Schell, P.C.
One Oxford Centre
301 Grant Street, Suite 3010
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone: 717-612-6052
Fax: 717-731-1985
E-mail: dryan@postschell.com
alice.wade@postschell.com
hwilburn@postschell.com

Date: August 13, 2025

Attorneys for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

VERIFICATION

I, OM Udaikumar Patel, being the Engineer at PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, hereby state that the facts above set forth are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and that I expect PPL Electric Utilities Corporation to be able to prove the same at a hearing held in this matter. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

08/13/2025

Date: _____



OM Patel (Aug 13, 2025 12:44:35 EDT)

OM Udaikumar Patel