

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Salvatore A. Cosentino	:	
	:	
v.	:	F-2025-3056381
	:	
FirstEnergy Pennsylvania Electric Company	:	

**INTERIM ORDER
CURRING *EX PARTE* COMMUNICATION AND
DIRECTING COMPLAINANT TO FILE CORRESPONDENCE**

Procedural History

On July 14, 2025, Salvatore A. Cosentino (Complainant or Mr. Cosentino) filed a Formal Complaint (Complaint) with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Commission) against FirstEnergy Pennsylvania Electric Company (FE PA, Company, or Respondent) regarding his service at 1512 Ridge Avenue, Apt. 2A, Latrobe, PA (service location).¹ Mr. Cosentino checked the “other” box and alleged, *inter alia*, there may be a shared metering situation in his apartment complex and there may be incorrect bills on his account. Complaint ¶ 4. Mr. Cosentino further avers “electricity theft” has occurred and Respondent has incorrectly assigned costs to him. Complaint ¶ 5. Regarding service by the Commission, Mr. Cosentino elected to be served by First-Class Mail, checking and initialing next to this option. Complaint ¶ 9.

On August 11, 2025, Respondent filed an Answer to the Complaint. In its Answer, FE PA denies that Complainant’s bills are incorrect and denies that a shared metering situation exists at Complainant’s apartment building. Answer ¶ 4.

¹ Complainant’s Complaint is a timely appeal of the Bureau of Consumer Services’ (BCS) decision on Complainant’s informal complaint at BCS No. 4055821.

On August 19, 2025, the Commission issued an Initial Call-in Telephonic Hearing Notice (Notice), assigning this matter to me and scheduling a telephone hearing for 10:00 a.m. on October 7, 2025.

On August 21, 2025, I issued a Prehearing Order which provided procedural information to the parties.

On September 23, 2025, I received correspondence from Mr. Cosentino. There was no certificate of service attached to the correspondence evidencing Mr. Cosentino had served a copy on the Company's counsel. Therefore, to cure this *ex parte* communication, I have attached a copy of the correspondence to this Order.

In his correspondence, Mr. Cosentino writes, *inter alia*,

Another face I would gladly confront in a courtroom is that of First Energy's attorney, Timothy K. McHugh Esquire. His mailing of August 11, 2025 refutes facts I know to be true. I would welcome to see him in 'in person' and provide him an opportunity to get his law school tuition receipts for possible remuneration... Afterall, he is their legal face and should have to appear in a courtroom in Westmoreland County.... If I could question the two W.P.P. reps who came here, along with Jack Bangor, in an actual courtroom, perhaps a different story would emerge.... With all due respect, Your Honor, expecting you to rule in First Energy's favor, and a process denying 'face to face' adjudication, I won't be calling.

Based on Mr. Cosentino's correspondence, it appears he may be requesting that his hearing be held in-person as opposed to by telephone. I instructed my legal assistant to call Complainant to clarify whether he was requesting an in-person hearing. She called the number on the Complaint, but the number simply rang with no option to leave a voicemail message. Mr. Cosentino did not list an email address on his Complaint.

If Mr. Cosentino would like to have his hearing in person, I would consider such a request. Mr. Cosentino should be aware, however, that an in-person hearing would be held at the

Office of Administrative Law Judge (OALJ) office in downtown Pittsburgh, not some location in Westmoreland County. Additionally, if Mr. Cosentino makes a request to have an in-person hearing, the hearing date of October 7, 2025 may need to be cancelled and rescheduled.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That, if Salvatore A. Cosentino would like to have his hearing held in person, he shall file correspondence with the Commission's Secretary's Bureau, by **October 1, 2025**, making a clear and unambiguous request that his hearing be held in person.
2. That if Mr. Cosentino files correspondence pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 1, he shall simultaneously serve a copy of the correspondence upon myself and counsel for the Company.
3. That if Mr. Cosentino files correspondence pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 1, the Company shall file an objection, if any, or any other request for relief by **October 3, 2025**.
4. That if Mr. Cosentino makes no filing consistent with Ordering Paragraph 1, the evidentiary hearing scheduled for October 10, 2025, shall be convened as scheduled as a telephone hearing.
5. That if Mr. Cosentino makes no filing consistent with Ordering Paragraph 1 and does not appear for the telephone hearing on October 10, 2025, the Complaint may be dismissed.
6. That the parties shall comply with all terms of the Prehearing Order dated August 21, 2025 that are not inconsistent with this Order.

Date: September 23, 2025

/s/
Emily I. DeVoe
Administrative Law Judge



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
COMMONWEALTH KEYSTONE BUILDING
Office of Administrative Law Judge
400 NORTH STREET
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120
August 19, 2025

DALJ
SEP 17 '25 2:48

In Re: F-2025-3056381

(SEE ATTACHED LIST)

SALVATORE A. COSENTINO v. FIRSTENERGY PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC
COMPANY

Appeal of BCS Decision - Service/Reliability Issues

Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing Notice

This is to inform you that an Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing on the above-captioned case will be held as follows:

Type: Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing
Date: Tuesday, October 7, 2025
Time: 10:00 AM
Presiding: Administrative Law Judge Emily I. DeVoe
Phone: 412.565.3550 Fax: 717.231.4765

At the above date and time, you must call into the hearing. You will not be called by the Presiding Officer.

To participate in the hearing:

- You must dial the Toll-free Bridge Number below
- You must enter the PIN Number below when instructed
- You must speak your name when prompted, and press #
- Then, the telephone system will connect you to the hearing

Toll-free Bridge Number: 888.547.8922
PIN Number: 74903461



AUGUST 5, 2025) TO LESSOR JOHN K. BANZOR 1512 RIDGE AVE, LATROBE, PA.
FROM LESSEE SALVATORE A. COSENTINO APT. 2A (READ THE LEASE TODAY,
THOUGH YOU SAID I NEEDN'T DO SO).

JACK, LANDLORDS HAVE RESPONSIBILITIES AND TENANTS HAVE RIGHTS. BY EXPERIENCE, AN ALIEN CONCEPT IN THIS COUNTY.

WHEN THE BETHLEN COMMUNITIES COULDN'T LEGALLY RAISE MY RENT, THEY DEBAUCHED THEMSELVES BY HAVING, ON ^{THREE} THE OCCASIONS, DOG FECES PLACED AT MY DOOR IN AN EFFORT TO HARASS ME OUT OF THE APT,

THE RENT TRANSFERRED TO A NEW OWNER WHO'S ATTEMPT TO FORCE ME OUT WAS TO TURN OFF MY HEAT IN FEBRUARY IN VIOLATION OF THE LEASE AGREEMENT (LESUE ROSSI TOLD ME HE WAS IN HIS RIGHTS TO DO SO. HE LATER LIED ABOUT DAMAGE TO THE THERMOSTAT; ROSSI'S OFFICE REFUSED TO INVESTIGATE. NO POLICE REPORT WAS FORTHCOMING!). HE HAD IT TURNED ON THE NEXT MORNING. THE STRESS BUILT UP AND I HAD TO VACATE TO MAINTAIN SOME PEACE OF SOUL. WHAT A COUNTRY!

THEN CAME JIM TIBERI'S INDIFFERENCE TO HAVING MY SLEEP DISTURBED BY STOMPING ABOVE ME. HE KNEW IT BEFORE HE LEASED TO ME AND, IN MY OPINION, THE COURT ERRORED IN EVICTING ME. BUT IT'S NOW A MATTER OF RECORD! AND SOON, SO WILL THE DISPUTE I HAVE WITH YOU. YES, IT SAYS, SALVATORE A. COSENTINO VS FIRST ENERGY! BUT THIS IS MORE ABOUT YOU AS A LANDLORD! IF THE ROLES WERE REVERSED I SURE WOULD'VE BEEN MORE ATTENTIVE TO DISRUPTION OF YOUR PEACE!

IN ORDER TO ADEQUATELY REPRESENT MY CASE, I NEED A COPY OF *LARRY DUNLAP'S LEASE (DATE SIGNED), AND THE NAME AND PHONE # OF EVERY APT. 2 RESIDING ABOVE *HIM BEGINNING WITH THE MOST RECENT, WHO, BY YOUR ADMISSION, STAYED ONE MONTH. PLEASE MAIL IT, THANK YOU. SAL

AUGUST 9, 2025) SALVATORE A. COSENTINO V. FIRSTENERGY PENNA.

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE EMILY J. DEVOE,
FOR THOUSANDS OF YEARS, IN ALL MANNER OF JURISPRUDENCE,
IN MANY DIVERSE CULTURES, PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANTS, COULD
LOOK EACH OTHER, AS WELL AS A RULING AUTHORITY, IN THE EYE.

THE APOSTLE PAUL, FORGOING A FAVORABLE DECISION, AS A ROMAN
CITIZEN AVAILED HIMSELF OF HIS RIGHT TO APPEAR BEFORE CAESAR.
IF HIS WISH WAS GRANTED, I AM UNAWARE OF ITS RECORDING. WHAT WE
BOTH KNOW IS THAT 'RIGHT' MADE ITS WAY TO THE SHORES OF THIS COUN-
TRY WHERE NO CONDEMNATION LEADS TO A LITERAL 'CHOPPING BLOCK'!
(IT'S SAID IN ANTICIPATION OF SOON BEING WITH HIS LORD JESUS [EVER HEAR
OF HIM], HE RAN TO HIS BEHEADING). SURVIVING IS A FATE WORSE THAN DEATH!

YOUR HONOR, THIS IS MUCH MORE THAN A BILLING DISPUTE AND
FAULTY METERS (WHICH DIDN'T EXIST AND NEED NOT BE REPLACED).
THEREFORE, V T V FIRSTENERGY DOESN'T EXIST. THERE ARE, HOWEVER,
ISSUES IN BCS INITIAL DENIAL REACHED BY MELISSA BAIK I WOULD
GLADLY QUESTION 'IN PERSON' REGARDING PROCESS OF DETERMINATION.
(MY RESPONSE TO THAT REPLY IS READILY AVAILABLE TO YOU, AS I SUSPECT
IS EVERYTHING ABOUT THIS CASE... "NEVER ASK A QUESTION YOU DON'T AL-
READY KNOW THE ANSWER EXPECTED!" JURISPRUDENCE 101).

ANOTHER FACE I WOULD GLADLY CONFRONT IN A COURTROOM IS THAT OF
FIRSTENERGY'S ATTORNEY ~~BY~~ TIMOTHY K. MCHUGH ESQUIRE. HIS MAILING
OF AUGUST 11, 2025 REFUTES FACTS I CAN PROVE TO BE TRUE. I WOULD WEL-
COME TO SEE HIM 'IN PERSON' AND PROVIDE HIM AN OPPORTUNITY TO GET HIS

SALVATORE A. COSENTINO V. FIRST ENERGY PENNA. (CONT.)

LAW SCHOOL TUITION RECEIPTS FOR POSSIBLE REMUNERATION. (WHAT A DELIGHT THAT WOULD BE TO THIS NON-JURIST 'NOBODY'). AFTER ALL, HE IS ~~THE~~ ^{THEIR} LEGAL FACE AND SHOULD HAVE TO APPEAR IN A COURTROOM IN WESTMORELAND COUNTY. I COULD THEN SCHOOL HIM ON WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON IN THIS CASE. JUST UNDER THE SURFACE IS A CLASSIC EXAMPLE OF 'MIGHT (B) MAKES RIGHT' AND WHY 'LOSER PAYS' IN CIVIL SUITS ISN'T, AND NEVER WILL BE, INSTITUTED. (AS A 'NAM VET, I EXPECT DISRESPECT).

I HAVE THE UTMOST APPRECIATION AND RESPECT FOR HONEST LAW ENFORCEMENT. WHEN I CAN AFFORD IT, I DONATE TO POLICE CAUSES.

AT THE HEART OF THIS ISSUE ^{IS} ENERGY THEFT (I TOLD MS. BAIR I RETRACTED MY COMPLAINT AGAINST "THE COMPANY" WHEN I BECAME ^{AWARE} OF THE KWH SPIKES I DIDN'T CAUSE; FIRST ENERGY HAS THE SAME ACCESS TO MY BILLING RECORDS GOING BACK TO 2015... THEY KNOW THE TRUTH!!!).

WHAT MS. BAIR AND MR. MCHUGH LACK IN KNOWLEDGE IS THE PECULIAR ASSOCIATION LANDLORD JOHN K. "JACK" BANGOR HAS WITH APT. 1 TENANT. HIS FAILURE AS A LANDLORD (HARASSMENT IS LEGAL IN THIS COUNTY), EXTENDS TO WILLFUL TOLERANCE OF POSSIBLE 'DRUG ACTIVITY' THAT EXTENDED TO MY ENTIRE APT. BEING OVERWHELMED WITH A NOXIOUS BUT NOT SMELLE MANA-TING FROM THE BASEMENT. TWICE SO BAD I HAD TO OPEN ALL WINDOWS. I TOLD HIM "IF IT HAPPENS AGAIN I WILL CALL THE POLICE". TO A MUCH LESSEER DEGREE IT CONTINUED. ABOUT THE SAME TIME THE KWH SPIKES OF 100% AND HIGHER BEGAN WITH CAN ONLY BE EXPLAINED BY APT. 2 LAUNDRY OUTLETS BEING USED (I'VE NEVER LAUNDERED HERE). WHERE AM I GOING WITH THIS?

SALVATORE A. COSENTINO V. FIRST ENERGY PENNA. PAGE 3

JACK BANGOR TEXTED ME OF W.P.P. TO ARRIVE AT 9AM ON MAY 27 TO 'CHECK THE METERS' (WHY SINCE ~~THE~~, VIA A PHONE REP, STATED "THE^{Y'RE} SMART METERS AND ARE ALWAYS CORRECT"). THEY SWAPPED THEM OUT AT BANGOR'S REQUEST? IF IT AIN'T BROKE...! TOGETHER THE TWO W.P.P. EMPLOYEES WENT TO THE BASEMENT TO 'INVESTIGATE' THE LAUNDRY SITUATION. THEY DECLARED ~~THE~~ "A SHARED METER EXPERIENCE" WAS THE RESULT OF THE PROBLEM. TO SHARE CONSENT MUST EXIST BETWEEN AT LEAST TWO PARTIES. NONE EXISTED HERE, THEN THE TWO W.P.P. EMPLOYEES LEFT WITHOUT EVER COMING UP TO SPEAK WITH ME AND INSPECT MY APPLIANCES AS BANGOR SAID THEY WOULD! WHAT DID HE FEAR? WAS W.P.P. IN COLLUSION WITH THE LANDLORD TO OBFUSCATE THE FACTS?

ONE THING EXISTS YOUR HONOR, NO FACTUAL EVIDENCE HAS BEEN PRESENTED BY FIRST ENERGY OR W.P.P. THAT I CAUSED THE ASTRONOMICAL KWH SPIKES IN ENERGY. IF I COULD QUESTION THE TWO W.P.P. REPS WHO CAME HERE, ALONG WITH JACK BANGOR, IN AN ACTUAL COURTROOM PERHAPS A DIFFERENT STORY WOULD EMERGE. THE SAME COULD BE SAID FOR WHY BANGOR DIDN'T INVESTIGATE THE BIG STINK AND GAVE NO EXPLANATION FOR IT, OR WHY THE PREVIOUS TENANT STAYED JUST ONE MONTH!!! HOW MANY OTHER APT. 2 TENANTS VACATED BEFORE LEASE TERMINATION THEREBY FORFEITING THE \$700 SECURITY DEPOSIT. IF A PATTERN CAN BE SHOWN THEN, AT MINIMUM, UNETHICAL BEHAVIOR EXISTS. THAT WOULD MAKE FIRST ENERGY/W.P.P. INDIRECT ACCOMPLICES. WITH ALL DUE RESPECT, YOUR HONOR, EXPECTING YOU TO RULE IN FIRST ENERGY'S FAVOR, AND A PROCESS DENYING 'FACE TO FACE' ADJUDICATION, I WON'T BE CALLING. THANK YOU.

SALVATORE A. COSENTINO USN VIETNAM 69-70 (YOUR WELCOME)

I ENLISTED DURING TIME OF WAR AND VOLUNTEERED TO SERVE IN VIETNAM! ACUTE STRESSORS PRELUDED MY ABILITY TO BE ECONOMICALLY STABLE. I DON'T DESERVE THIS! IT'S CAUSING ANXIETY AND SLEEPLESSNESS! WELCOME HOME!

VIETNAM VETERAN HARASSED AT BETHLEN APARTMENTS

BY SALVATORE A. COSENTINO MAY 27, 2020

GOING BACK TO THE SUMMER OF 2019 A CAMPAIGN OF UGLINESS BEGAN WITH A WRITTEN SUGGESTION IN THE MAINTENANCE LOG IN THE LAUNDRY ROOM THAT I SHOULD BE EVICTED!? I WAS UNAWARE OF IT UNTIL INFORMED TWO WEEKS LATER BY ANOTHER TENANT.

ON JUNE 24TH I SENSED THAT MY PRIVACY WAS VIOLATED ON AT LEAST TWO OCCASIONS WITH THE PROSPECT OF SOMETHING REMOVED AND RETURNED. THERE MAY HAVE BEEN AT LEAST ONE OTHER FAILED ATTEMPT WHEN I WAS AWAY SHOPPING IN LATROBE ... (SPECIFICALLY PLACED ITEMS MOVED)

THE COUPE DE GRACE OF DEFRANCEMENT APPEARED ON NOV. 25TH IN THE FORM OF TWO PILES OF DOG FECES OUTSIDE MY DOOR. I INFORMED THE THEN EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE BETHLEN COMMUNITIES WHO REPLIED WITH A 'GENERIC' MISSIVE ABOUT TENANTS STRIVING TO GET ALONG. ODDLY, I MAY HAVE BEEN THE ONLY ONE TO GET THAT 'DIRECTIVE' SUGGESTING A FORE KNOWLEDGE OF THE HARASSING. A FOLLOWUP ARRIVED, VIA MAIL, LATE DEC. ASSURING THE REMOVAL OF THE FECES, WHICH MAINTENANCE DID ON DEC. 31! THERE WAS NO MENTION OF MANAGEMENT INVESTIGATING, AND NONE HAS BEEN FORTHCOMING. ON MARCH 17TH AN UNSEEN 'PILE' WAS LEFT OUTSIDE THE FRONT EXIT THAT I UNKNOWINGLY TRACKED INTO MY APT. EXACTLY ONE MONTH LATER ANOTHER 'PILE' WAS PLACED OUTSIDE MY BACK EXIT ATOP THE STAIRS TO THE PARKING AREA. / TO ADD TO THIS, ANOTHER TENANT 'LASHED OUT' VIA THE LOG BOOK AND VITUPERATIVE MESSAGES ON A HALLWAY WINDOW BEING OPEN ONE FLOOR BELOW HER. ODDLY, MAINTENANCE 'NAILED SHUT' THAT WINDOW ON MAY 20TH! MANAGEMENT HAS BEEN SILENT SUGGESTING CULPABILITY!!!

LEGAL AID IGNORED MY PLEA, AS DID PRO BONO VIA SEN. TOOMEY'S SUGGESTION!
NOT A 'FORTUNATE SON' OF A PROMINENT CITIZEN!

TO: MR. WILL SIMPSON - OWNER OF FAIRFIELD APTS - LIBONIER, PA.
FROM: SALVATORE A. COSENTINO - APT. 9 TENANT APRIL 30, 2022

IN REPLY TO A REQUEST FOR YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS, MIKE VEIVON TEXTED: "YOU HAVE NO LEGAL RIGHTS TO THE OWNER'S PHONE NUMBER OR ADDRESS." LEGAL RIGHTS!? A VERY INTERESTING REPLY FROM A PROPERTY MANAGER/REALTOR WHO FAILS TO UNDERSTAND THE BASIC FUNCTIONING OF A THERMOSTAT!!! AND PRACTICING LAW SAYS A JUST DECREE!?

IN THE PAST TWO MONTHS YOU HAVE MANAGED TO MAKE MY LIFE A LIVING HELL! FOR WHAT? MORE MONEY?

IN A FEB. 25, 2022 MESSAGE TO VEIVON I SUGGESTED A TWO MONTH EXTENSION TO MY LEASE. JUST THREE DAYS LATER YOU ORCHESTRATED A CAMPAIGN OF HARASSMENT BY SHUTTING OFF MY HEAT AND DENYING ME ACCESS TO THE THERMOSTAT BY HAVING A METAL BOX FASTENED OVER IT! DID YOU ILLEGALLY VIOLATE THE TERMS OF THE LEASE ENSURING HEAT PROVIDED BY YOU? ALSO CURIOUS TIMING WITH NO OBJECTION, LIKE TWO PREVIOUS OWNERS, TO MY AVAILING MYSELF OF HEAT WHEN I NEEDED IT UNTIL FEB 28TH!!!

IN A RECENT CONVERSATION WITH STATE REP. LESLIE ROSSI, HERSELF A LANDLORD... "I HAVE FIFTY UNITS...", SHE CLAIMED YOU WERE IN YOUR 'RIGHTS' TO DO AS YOU DID. WHICH IS, IN FACT, TO RESTRICT MY HEAT WHILE PROVIDING AMPLE HEAT FOR THE OTHER SIDE OF THE BLDG! DOES THE ESTEEMED REP. ROSSI FOLLOW SUIT WITH HER TENANTS? GOD FORBID!

IN MY NON-JURIST OPINION YOU HAVE MALICIOUSLY VIOLATED THE TERMS OF THE LEASE 'POSSIBLY' VOIDING THE ^{*}MAY 31ST VACATING? WHAT A HORRIBLE UN-NECESSARY MESS YOU HAVE CREATED FOR ONE WHO WAS WILLING ^{TO} DIE FOR THE U.S.!

* I DON'T FEAR AN EVICTION NOTICE! IT WOULD MAKE YOUR BEHAVIOR A MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD!

MARCH 1, 2022 RE: HEAT SHUTOFF BY SALVATORE A. COSENTINO FAIRFIELD APTS. #9

AROUND NOON ON FEB. 28, 2022 I NOTICED MY HEAT WENT OFF. WITH THE ANTIQUATED RADIATOR SYSTEM IT HAPPENS OCCASIONALLY AND REIGNITES A SHORT TIME LATER. NOT THIS TIME!

THE VENTED COVER ON THE THERMOSTAT HAD BEEN REPLACED BY A METAL BOX? THE PROPERTY MANAGER, MIKE VERNON, SHUT OFF THE HEAT AND DENIED ME ACCESS TO THE UNIT! WHY?

SO I TEXTED: YT = YOURS TRULY MV = MIKE VERNON

YT - 12:36 PM WOULD'VE BEEN NICE IF YOU WAITED TILL IT WARMED UP BEFORE YOU COVERED THE THERMOSTAT! I'll THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR THE COLD APT. U SHOULD

BE ASHAMED OF THIS INCONSIDERATE ACTION!

YT - 2:05 PM PLEASE TURN ON THE HEAT

" 2:28 "

" 4:32 PLEASE PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION FOR TURNING OFF THE HEAT!

MV - 4:37 THE HEAT IS NOT OFF IT IS SET AT 73 DEGREES THAT IS A LIVE AVERAGE FOR ANY LIVING ACCOMODATION

YT - 4:49 IF U LIVED IN THE HALLWAY! THAT MAKES ABOUT AS MUCH SENSE AS IT REGULATING UR HOME! THE HEAT IS OFF AND WON'T COME ON AT THAT SETTING! U DON'T LIVE HERE AND U IGNORANT OF THIS SITUATION! WE HAD THIS CONVERSATION BEFORE U BOUGHT THIS BLDG. U MAY BE THE OWNER, IN THIS AREA AS BEING HERE SIX YEARS, I AM SMARTER THAN! (YOU) THE HEAT IS OFF! WHAT YOU DO ABOUT IT WILL DETERMINE WHAT I DO ABOUT IT!

YT - 5:14 IF THE HEAT WASN'T OFF I WOULD ASK YOU THREE TIMES TO TURN IT ON? IF YOU CAN'T AFFORD TO HEAT US JUST STATE SUCH!

YT - 5:56 THE OTHER THERMOSTAT ISN'T COVERED WITH A METAL BOX AND IS SET AT SEVENTY FIVE! UR ACTION IS BORDERING ON HARASSMENT! MY HEAT WILL NOT IGNITE AT SEVENTY THREE. HELLUVA WAY TO TREAT A VETERAN!

YT - 7:40 STILL NO HEAT SINCE NOON GOING TO BE A VERY COLD MORNING!

MV - 7:49 I'll HAVE (HEATING CO.) COME UP IN THE MORNING

* YOUR HONOR, THIS BLDG. WAS MY HOME 'TWEEN '59-'65 AS AN ORPHAN

YT-7:52 Y DIDN'T YOU LEAVE WELL ENOUGH ALONE? NO LOGIC TO UR ACTION!
SEEMS MALICIOUS TO ME!

YT-8:18 EVER WONDERZ Y SO MANY VETERANS COMMIT SUICIDE? IT'S TREAT-
MENT LIKE THIS!

MV-8:20 NOW YOU'VE GONE TOO FAR FOR ME IN THIS SUBJECT... I AM BLOCKING
YOU NOW ALL CONTACT WILL NEED TO BE SENT BY MAIL TO MY OFFICE (ONE VETERAN TO
ANOTHER AS I SAT COLD IN MY APT - NEXT TIME IT WILL BE THREE DAYS BEFORE HE WILL
LEARN OF THE HEAT BEING OFF, OR, GOD FORBID, WORSE). (THE TIMING OF THIS IS
QUITE CURIOUS WITH THE ^{LEASE} RENEWAL ON APRIL).

THE 'HEAT TECH' ARRIVED AT 9 AM (21 HRS SINCE TURNED OFF) ACCOMPANIED WITH
'ATTITUDE'! WHEN I ASKED WHY HE TURNED OFF THE HEAT, "WE DIDN'T" HE REPLIED,
THOUGH HE WAS HERE TO TURN IT ON. MENTIONING THE 55 DEGREES IN MY APT AND
THE HALLWAY BEING IN THE 70s, SEEKING AN EXPLANATION... "YOU TELL ME!" WAS HIS
REPLY. WHEN I ASKED WHY THEY REPLACED THE VENTED COVER WITH A METAL BOX, "TO
KEEP YOU FROM CHANGING IT," WHICH I HAD TO DO AT TIMES FOR THE PAST SIX WINTERS
TO ASSURE I WOULD ^{HAVE} HEAT WHEN I NEEDED IT. MV WAS WELL AWARE OF IT BEFORE
THE BLDG WAS BOUGHT BY WILL SIMPSON... "DON'T WORRY ABOUT THAT, WE'LL FIX
IT SO YOU WON'T HAVE TO GO... DOWN THE HALLWAY AT 4 AM OR SO TO TURN IT ON," THEY
ASSURED ME! THIS ISN'T ABOUT ME CONTROLLING THE THERMOSTAT!

IT JUST NEVER ENDS! FIRST "EVICTION #9" IN THE MAINTENANCE LOE, THEN
PILES OF DOG FECEs ON 3 OCCASIONS, BOTH UNADDRESSED BY AMANDA ATKINSON!
THEN THREATENING MESSAGES LEFT ON HALLWAY WINDOW BY A TENANT WHO
DOESN'T RESIDE ON THIS FLOOR (WHO ALSO WROTE A THREATENING NOTE IN THE
MAINT. LOE). THEN CHANGE OF MANAGEMENT PRECEDED CONTINUED HARASSMENT
OF THE SAME WINDOW BEING OPENED BY A PROPERTY MANAGER WHO DIDN'T
KNOW BATS CAN'T FLY THROUGH SCREENED WINDOWS! ALL PERPETRATORS OF THESE
CRIMINAL ACTS SHOULD BE ASHAMED! BUT HUBRIS & SELF-RIGHTEOUSNESS PRECLUDES IT!



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

MICHELLE HENRY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

CONSTITUENT SERVICES
16th Floor Strawberry Square
Harrisburg, PA. 17120
717-787-3391

08 October 2024

Salvatore Cosentino
3705 State Route 711 Apt 1
Ligonier, PA 15658-5007

Dear Salvatore,

Thank you for contacting the Pennsylvania Office of Attorney General. Our Bureau of Consumer Protection (BCP) can mediate certain disputes between tenants and landlords. If you are not able to resolve your issue directly with the landlord, it may be advisable to complete a consumer complaint. Note: if either you or the business are located in Pennsylvania, this complaint is a possible option for you. - <https://www.attorneygeneral.gov/submit-a-complaint/consumer-complaint/>. For your convenience, enclosed is a copy of our Consumer Complaint form.

If you would prefer to file a complaint via phone, the BCP consumers hotline is 717.787.9707. You can also use a toll-free helpline, which is 800.441.2555.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Michelle L. Nutter".

Michelle Nutter
Director of Public Engagement

LANDLORD HARASSMENT IS LEGAL IN THIS COUNTY!
A WWII VETERAN WOULD'VE NEVER BEEN TREATED THIS WAY!
STILL GETTING 'SPIT UPON'!

NOTICE TO VACATE AND NOTICE TO TERMINATE

9/11/2024 * FOUND AT BASE OF DOOR OF 3705 STATE RT. 711 - APT. 1. / VIA TEXT
MR. TIBERI WAS INFORMED TO EXTEND PROPERLY SIGNED AND NOTARIZED
'NOTICE TO VACATE' ADDRESSED AND CERTIFIED TO SALVATORE A. CONSENTINO

* TO: Sal Consentino

ON THIS DAY YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU MUST REMOVE FROM AND DELIVER
POSSESSIONS OF PREMISES THAT YOU OCCUPY KNOWN AS 873 NATURE RUN ROAD
LIGONIER PA 15658 WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE DATE OF THIS NOTICE.

NOT! TAPED TO THE DOOR! A GOOD WIND COULD'VE BLOWN IT OUT OF SIGHT!
YOU ARE HEREBY BEING NOTIFIED TO VACATE WHAT HE HOPED WOULD HAPPEN?

IF YOU SHOULD FAIL TO VACATE AND REMOVE YOURSELF AND YOUR GOODS FROM THE
PREMISES WITHIN 30 DAYS AS SPECIFIED, I WILL PROCEED AGAINST YOU ACCORDING TO
THE "LANDLORD TENANT ACT" AND FILE A FORMAL EVICTION PROCESS.

JAMES V. TIBERI / 108 HADLEY ST. / LIGONIER, PA. 15658
LANDLORD AND PROPERTY MANAGER

73 YR OLD VIETNAM VETERAN THREATENED WITH EVICTION
FOR COMPLAINING ABOUT SLEEP DEPRIVATIONS AND
GENERAL NOISE DISTURBANCES BY ANOTHER TENANT FOR OVER
FOURTEEN MONTHS!

* NAME INCORRECT
* ADDRESS INCORRECT



Recovery of Real Property Hearing Notice

Mag. Dist. No:	MDJ-10-3-09
MDJ Name:	Honorable Allison E. Thiel
Address:	206 West Main Street Ligonier, PA 15658
Telephone:	724-238-9086

James V Tiberi
v.
Sal Cosentino

Sal Cosentino
3705 Route 711 N, Apt 1
Ligonier, PA 15658

Docket No: MJ-10309-LT-0000022-2024
Case Filed: 10/18/2024

Your Role: Defendant

A Recovery of Real Property Hearing has been scheduled for the above captioned case to be held on/at:

Date: Wednesday, October 30, 2024	Place: Magisterial District Court 10-3-09, Ligonier 206 West Main Street Ligonier, PA 15658 724-238-9086
Time: 1:15 PM	

Notice To Defendant

A landlord/tenant complaint has been filed against you for the recovery of possession of real property, and or money and damages associated with the above captioned case.

If you have a defense to this complaint, you may present it at the hearing.

If you have a claim against the plaintiff arising out of the occupancy of the premises, which is within the jurisdiction of the magisterial district judge and which you intend to assert at the hearing, you must file it on a complaint form at this office before the time set for the hearing.

If you do not appear at the hearing, a judgment for possession and costs, and for damages and rent if claimed, may nevertheless be entered against you. A judgment against you for possession may result in your eviction from the premises.

October 18, 2024

Date

Magisterial District Judge Allison E. Thiel



If you are disabled and require a reasonable accommodation to gain access to the Magisterial District Court and its services, please contact the Magisterial District Court at the above address or telephone number. We are unable to provide transportation.





James V Tiberi
 v.
 Sal Cosentino

Mag. Dist. No: MDJ-10-3-09
 MDJ Name: Honorable Allison E. Thiel
 Address: 206 West Main Street
 Ligonier, PA 15658
 Telephone: 724-238-9086

Sal Cosentino
 3705 Route 711 N, Apt 1
 Ligonier, PA 15658

Docket No: MJ-10309-LT-0000022-2024
 Case Filed: 10/18/2024

Possession Details

Possession is granted and the defendant is not permitted to satisfy the order for possession and avoid eviction by paying the rent in arrears and the costs of the proceedings.

Disposition Summary (cc- Cross Complaint)

<u>Docket No</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>	<u>Defendant</u>	<u>Disposition</u>	<u>Disposition Date</u>
MJ-10309-LT-0000022-2024	James V Tiberi	Sal Cosentino	Judgment for Plaintiff	10/30/2024

Judgment Summary

<u>Participant</u>	<u>Joint/Several Liability</u>	<u>Individual Liability</u>	<u>Amount</u>
James V Tiberi	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sal Cosentino	\$0.00	\$197.25	\$197.25

Judgment Finding (*Post Judgment)

In the matter of James V Tiberi vs. Sal Cosentino on MJ-10309-LT-0000022-2024, on 10/30/2024 the judgment was awarded as follows:

The amount of rent per month, as established by the Magisterial District Judge, is \$500.00


<u>Judgment Component</u>	<u>Joint/Several Liability</u>	<u>Individual Liability</u>	<u>Security Deposit Applied</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Costs	\$0.00	\$197.25		* \$197.25
Grand Total:				\$197.25

Portion of judgment for physical damages arising out of residential lease: \$0.00

Comments:

Landlord may access the Request for Order for Possession form on the UJS website here: <https://www.pacourts.us/forms-for-the-public>

** I HAD TO PAY TO BE EVICTED FOR HAVING MY SLEEP DISTURBED! WHAT A COUNTRY!
 AND ALLEZAL IN THIS COUNTY!!!*



TO: JAMES V. TIBEIZI / OWNER TIBEIZI APTS.
FROM: SALVATORE A. COSENTINO / APT. 1 TENANT
SEPT. 9, 2024

IN VIETNAM THE TWO MOST CHERISHED THINGS WERE MAIL CALL AND SLEEP. WAR ZONES ARE A VERY BUSY PLACE! A FULL NIGHT'S SLEEP WAS RARE. FOR ME THE ENTIRE YEAR WAS A FEAR DRIVEN PANIC ATTACK! THANKS TO YOU THE PAST 14 MOS. HAS BEEN A PSYCHE SLIDE BACK TO THAT YEAR WITH SLEEP DISTURBANCES BY THE TENANT IN APTS ABOVE ME.

I AWOKE SATURDAY RESTED AS #5 WAS AWAY THAT NIGHT, KINDA LIKE A RARE SUNDAY MORN IN THE NAM. BUT 'THE WAR'S DEMON' CONTINUED THE STOMPING LAST NIGHT. UPON ARRIVAL #5 DID A LOAD OF CLOTHES AT 6:30 PM. HE FOLLOWED THAT UP WITH TWO LOADS AT 5:55 AM WALKING PAST MY BEDROOM BEFORE I AROSE (HE AWAKENED ME AN HOUR EARLIER). IN ONLY 12 HOURS TIME HE VIOLATED MY PRIVACY 14 TIMES. HIS NIGHTLY STOMPING CONSISTENTLY BEGINS 4-4:30 ^{AND} ENDS ABRUPTLY AT 6:30 WHEN I ARISE. ALMOST AS THOUGH HE'S ORDERED TO DO IT AT THAT TIME DISRUPTING MY REM SLEEP. WHAT IS HE DOING STOMPING ABOUT AT THAT HOUR AND OTHER TIMES MUCH EARLIER? AND WHY ARE YOU UNCONCERNED ABOUT IT WILLFULLY DEPRIVING ME OF WHAT EVERY OTHER TENANT ENJOYS?!

TENANT #5 CLEARLY IS A VERY DISTURBED PERSON, WHICH MAY EXPLAIN YOUR PECULIAR AFFINITY FOR HIM. HE DOESN'T BELONG IN AN APT. ENVIRONMENT AND WOULD BE BETTER SERVED IN AN INDEPENDENT UNIT WITH A W/D HOOKUP. DO THE RIGHT THING!

YOUR OTHER OPTION IS TO ATTEMPT TO ILLEGALLY EVICT ME FOR COMPLAINING ABOUT ALL OF THIS! THAT WOULD AFFORD US THE OPPORTUNITY TO QUESTION TENANT #5 UNDER OATH. TO ENQUIRE WHAT HIS MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS REVEALS, WHAT ARE HIS TREATMENTS AND BY WHOM. AND TO QUESTION HIS DOCTOR AND WHAT CONNECTION EXISTS WITH YOUR DERELICTION TO PUT AN END TO THE MOST MISERABLE TENANT OF MY LIFE. ALSO TO ACQUIRE NAMES AND PHONE NUMBERS OF PREVIOUS APT. 1 TENANTS TO QUESTION THEM UNDER OATH (YES, WE ARE COVERING ALL 'LEGAL AVENUES').

DID YOU KNOW OF HIS NOCTURNAL MOVEMENTS FAILING TO INFORM ME WHEN I AGREED TO MOVE IN JUNE 29, 2023? OR OTHER TENANTS? YOU OFFERED ME #7 SOON TO BE VACATED BY PREVIOUS #1 TENANT CLARK STEPHENSON. YOUR ACTIONS ARE GOVERNED BY PENN. STATE REGULATIONS AND STATUTES PRECLUDING YOUR DENYING RIGHTS TO ME WHILE AFFORDING THEM TO EVERY OTHER TENANT. YES, YOU OWN THE BLDG., BUT IT DOESN'T MAKE YOU KING!

THE LAST TIME YOU MOWED (AUG. 29) YOU DIBBED MY CAR WITH A ROCK AND THREW GRASS ONTO IT. AS IT WAS THE FIRST TIME YOU EVER DID IT, I CONSIDER IT A MAZICIOUS ACT. THAT WOULD EXPLAIN YOUR NON-REPLY TO MY TEXT THAT SAME DAY OF "PLEASE INFORM IN ADVANCE THE NEXT TIME YOU MOW SO I CAN MOVE MY CAR." ANY REPLY WOULD BE MOOT.

RESPECTFULLY, SAL COSENTINO

TO: MR. JAMES V. TIBERI / LANDLORD - TIBERI APTS.

FROM: SALVATORE A. COSENTINO / TENANT - APT. 1

3705 STATE RT. 711 / LEBONIEZ, PA. 15658 / OCT. 1, 2024

JIM,

I'VE BEEN HOMELESS SO MANY TIMES I'VE LOST COUNT. I AM, BY THE GRACE OF GOD, A SURVIVOR. I'VE LEARNED TO INTERNALIZE THE STRESS AND BE THE OBTUSE 'NAM VET AND JUST "SHUT UP AND GO AWAY LOSER." BUT THAT MUST END! AM NOT ABSENT INTEGRITY!

THANKS TO OTHERS IN THIS COMMUNITY I'VE HAD TO MOVE 3X IN THE PAST 2+ YRS. I'M IN NO HURRY TO DO IT AGAIN. A DUBIOUS 'NOTICE TO VACATE' WITH THREAT OF 'EVICION' TIGHTENS MY RESOLVE.

I AM NOT THE BAD GUY!!!

YOUR SUBTEXT OF "IF THIS ISN'T A GOOD FIT FOR YOU THEN PERHAPS YOU SHOULD MOVE ON, THANK YOU." HOW MANY TENANTS HAVE YOU BAMBOOZLED WITH THAT WHO FAILED TO KNOW THEY HAD RIGHTS? WHEN IS HAVING ONE'S SLEEP REPEATEDLY DISTURBED A GOOD FIT?

I AM A MODEL TENANT. YOUR JOB IS TO REMOVE NUISANCE TENANTS, NOT PROTECT THEM. AND NOW I MUST DEFEND MYSELF AGAINST A SPURIOUS CHARGE. TO DO SO I REQUIRE THE NAME OF APT. 5 TENANT, WHEN HE MOVED IN, AND NAMES AND PHONE #'S OF ALL APT. 1 TENANTS WHO RESIDED BELOW HIM. THIS IS REQUIRED ACCORDING TO THE RULES OF DISCLOSURE. ALSO, I'VE BEEN ADVISED TO 'WITHHOLD' (NOT! REFUSE TO PAY) OCT. RENT 'TILL THIS PROCESS IS COMPLETED. ONE? WHY DID YOU DO THIS TO ME? WHAT GAIN HAS IT BEEN TO YOU? S. A. COSENTINO

PRESENTED AT OCT. 30, 2024 HEARING ALONG WITH 'FAULTY' NOTICE TO VACATE

OCT. 28, 2024 RE: EVICTION NOTICE VIA JAMES V. TIBERZI

AS EARLY AS JULY 21, 2023 I INFORMED THE PLAINTIFF OF THE TENANT ABOVE ME ERRONEOUSLY BELIEVING IT TO BE THE APT. 4 TENANT. I WOULD SOON DISCOVER IT TO BE APT. 5 TENANT. HE REPLIED SAME DAY "LOOKING INTO THIS" WITHOUT ANY RESPONSE.

ON AUG. 8TH I EXPRESSED CONCERN OF APT. 5 TENANT ALMOST DAILY LAUNDRY NEEDS (I HAVE KEPT A DETAILED LOG SINCE JULY 19, 2023) WALKING PAST MY WINDOWS DOZENS OF TIMES A MONTH (6X PER LOAD, SOMETIMES 2X A DAY AND EARLY AS 5 AM OR FINISHING AS LATE AS 9-9:30 PM). AT THE LEAST, YOUR HONOR, A REPETITIVE ANNOYANCE, OR POSSIBLY A VIOLATION OF MY RIGHT TO PRIVACY? I SUGGESTED HE ACCESS THE LAUNDRY VIA THE NORTH END OF THE BLDG. AVOIDING WALKING PAST MY WINDOWS. LIKE THE NOCTURNAL STOMPING, THE PLAINTIFF DIDN'T RESPOND TO THIS CONCERN.

ON AUG. 26, 2023 I REQUESTED A FUTURE MOVE TO ANOTHER APT. FORMER APT. 1 TENANT GAVE NOTICE OF VACATING THE SECOND STORY APT. 7. THE PLAINTIFF OFFERED IT TO ME AT \$175/MO. MORE THAN THE \$500.⁰⁰ I DECLINED THE, TO ME, MUCH HIGHER RENT. AFTER ALL, I WASN'T THE PROBLEM! I RESIDE AS AMICABLY, PEACEFUL/QUIET, AND FRIENDLY WITH MY NEIGHBORS AS COULD BE EXPECTED OF ANY TENANT.

NEITHER DO I CONDEMN THE APT. 5 TENANT WHATEVER 'ISSUES' PRECLUDE HIS ABILITY TO LIVE QUIETLY OR SLEEP SOUNDLY. AT THE HEART OF THIS IS THE PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSIBILITY TO REMOVE ANNUISANCE TENANT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LANDLORD TENANT ACT AND NOT PROTECT SAID VIOLATEE.

2 EVICTION NOTICE - CONT.

ACCEPTING THE INDIFFERENCE OF THE PLAINTIFF IRRESPECTIVE OF SEPT. 18 & DEC. 2, 2023 MAILINGS, ALONG WITH SEPT. 9, OCT. 1, AND OCT. 9 MISSIVES IMPLORING THE PLAINTIFF TO PUT AN END TO MY SLEEP BEING STOMPED AWAKE, I TEXTED JULY 8, 2024 THAT APT. 5 WAS UP STOMPING BETWEEN 2 - 3:30 AM ASKING ONCE AGAIN HE "DO THE RIGHT THING".

THE PLAINTIFF REPLIED HE WAS "SORRY THAT APT DIDN'T WORK FOR YOU" AND "I UNDERSTAND IF YOU NEEDED TO MOVE ON. THANKS JIM." WHAT IS WRONG WITH THIS PICTURE?

IN THE SEPT. 9, 2024 MAILING I EXTENDED "YOUR OTHER OPTION IS TO ATTEMPT TO ILLEGALLY EVICT ME FOR COMPLAINING." ON SEPT. 11, 2024 I ARRIVED HOME TO FIND A NOTICE TO VACATE AND NOTICE TO TERMINATE AT THE BASE OF MY DOOR. NOT TAPED TO THE DOOR TO AVOID A STRONG WIND BLOWING IT AWAY. I TEXTED THE PLAINTIFF OF NOT ONLY WAS MY NAME MISSPELLED, BUT IT HAD THE WRONG ADDRESS! I SUGGESTED HE CORRECT THE ERRORS, AND TO PROPERLY SIGN IT, NOTARIZE IT, AND MAIL IT CERTIFIED. PERHAPS, YOUR HONOR, IN THE ANNALS OF EVICTION HEARINGS, THIS IS A PRECEDENT, A DEFENDANT DESIRING HIS DAY IN COURT. IRRESPECTIVE OF THE OUTCOME, IT'S NOW A MATTER OF PUBLIC RECORD... 73 YR OLD VIETNAM VETERAN EVICTED FOR COMPLAINING ABOUT SLEEP DEPRIVATION! MAKES GOOD COPY EXCEPT BEING A NOBODY!

ON OCT. 17, AFTER THE OCT. 9 MAILING OF A HYPOTHETICAL COURT SCENARIO (AS IN PREVIOUS LANDLORD HARASSMENT, I WAS UNABLE TO

3 EVICTION NOTICE - CONT.

SECURE LEGAL REPRESENTATION) KNOCKED ON MY DOOR ENQUIRING "WHAT'S WITH THE MAILINGS?" 'REALLY', I THOUGHT, 'YOU'RE THAT OBTUSE'? HE THEN STATED "YOU WERE KICKED OUT OF THE (FAIRFIELD) APTS IN TOWN," I INFORMED I LEFT VOLUNTARILY DUE TO HARASSMENT "SUCH AS THIS". (MATERIAL ENCLOSED SUBSTANTIATING MY ASSERTION).

AS HE ATTEMPTED TO CONFRONT ME AGAIN I CLOSED THE DOOR. THEN THE PLAINTIFF DID SOMETHING UNEXPECTED. SINCE HIS 'TIME TO MOVE ON' TEXT I SUSPECTED COLLUSION BETWEEN THEM IN THE FREQUENT 4-6:30 AM STOMPING WHICH STOPPED WHEN I AROSE AT 6:30, THOUGH AWAKENED MUCH EARLIER. ONCE DOOR CLOSED THE PLAINTIFF WENT DIRECTLY TO APTS AND KNOCKED (HE WASN'T HOME, BMC VAN GONE).

HAVING 'WITHHELD' OCT. RENT, I DECIDED TO PAY IT WITH \$50 LATE CHARGE. I APPROACHED HIS TRUCK AS HE DEPARTED AND PRESENTING PAYMENT HE WENT INTO A FOAGE (I MADE HIM TAKE THE CHECK). IN AS LOUD A VOICE AS HE COULD MUSTER, REDDENED FACE, "PEOPLE CAN LIVE ANYWAY THEY WISH IN APT. SETTING! I'VE NEVER HAD TO EVICT ANYONE! YOU'RE MONTH-TO-MONTH AND I CAN KICK YOU OUT FOR ANY REASON! 30 DAYS AND YOU WILL BE OUT! YOU'RE A NOT CASE AND A SCUMBAG!" AND OFF HE WENT. BOWER RUN AMOK!

AS DIRECTED BY THE PENNA. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, I FILED A COMPLAINT WITH THE BUREAU OF CONSUMER PROTECTION ON OCT. 17, 2024.

YOUR HONOR, THE SAL WHO DEPLOYED TO VIETNAM DIDN'T RETURN AS HE LEFT TO SERVE HIS COUNTRY. HE WAS HOMELESS AND SOMETIMES

4 EVICTION NOTICE - CONT.

SLEPT IN THE WELLENZ FIELD (WHICH LEAKED) PRESSBOX WHERE JUST THREE YEARS EARLIER MY NAME WAS BROADCAST AS A '67 MOUNTIE BALLER (WITH 3 THE L TEAMMATES). WINNER TO LOSER 'NAM VET IN 3 YEARS!

ACROSS THE WAY I WOULD SOMETIMES SLEEP IN THE LITTLE LEAGUE DUGOUT WHERE AS AN ORPHAN CHILD IN THE BETHLEN HOME I WAS UNWELCOME TO JOIN AND PLAY... "YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE A DAD! YOU'RE A BASTARD!" IN THE WINTER I WOULD SLEEP ON THE FLOOR OF THE 24 HR LAUNDROMAT FOR THE HEAT. AND SOMETIMES IN THE PRAYER ROOM OF THE METHODIST CHURCH. IN THE DAYS WHEN I HAD A CAR I WOULD DRIVE OUT TO STATE GAME LANDS TO PARK AND SLEEP.

SO, YOUR HONOR, IF 'KICKED TO THE CURB' IN 30 DAYS WITH NO WHERE TO GO, I WILL HAVE COME FULL CIRCLE IN 54 YEARS. BUT I AM A SURVIVOR. THE WORST OF PTSD, BY THE GRACE OF GOD, FAILED TO GET ME TO END MY LIFE. I CAME CLOSE AND ONE VERY DARK DAY IN WASHINGTON STATE I 'STARED INTO THE ABYSS' DETERMINED TO DO IT. BUT A MIRACLE DIRECTED ME TO A SITUATION OF HOPE. GOD IS GOOD!

YOUR HONOR, THIS DEFENDANT ASSERTS THE PLAINTIFF LEASED A FAULTY APT. FULLY AWARE OF THE NOCTURNAL STOMPING IN APT. 5., HE THEN IGNORED 14 MONTHS OF PLEADINGS TO "DO THE RIGHT THING"! I'VE ENDURED REPEATED ANGUISH AND STRESS COMMENSURATE WITH WAR INDUCED PTSD. THE PLAINTIFF'S CALLOUS INDIFFERENCE SHOULD BE PUNISHED MONETARILLY. NOT BEING A JURIST, I LEAVE THAT AMOUNT AND JUDGEMENT TO THE COURT'S DISCRETION. ALSO, I BELIEVE THE PLAINTIFF'S* BULLYING TACTICS WITH HIS TENANTS SHOULD BE THOROUGHLY INVESTIGATED BY A PROPER AUTHORITY. I THANK THIS COURT FOR ITS TIME AND JURISDICTION. YOUR HONOR, THE DEFENSE RESTS!

*NO ACTION BY A.G. HENRY OR COUNTY D.A. ZICARELLI... SPITTLE UPON MY SERVICE

ever to exist?

It never entered my mind that my convictions would suffer the ignominy of a nation that will-ingly supported my beliefs with their tax dollars while simultaneously heaping blame and shame on the individuals who answered the call to duty.

And now, 20 years later, I have to deal with the oppression of repressed trauma, guilt and shame. So overwhelming are the emotions of meaninglessness, hopelessness and fatalism that I am unable to productively function in this society. The indigency of being homeless is slowly removing any hope of readjustment. Ever increasing is the reality of being a stranger in a strange land.

I have been able to escape the discomfort of sleeping under overpasses with an automobile. I have strived to look upon this

blessing in a positive way. But I was rudely made aware at 1 a.m. recently that this society wouldn't even allow this meager luxury.

As I slept out at the county fairgrounds, the city police awakened me with the information that they had received calls about my car. I had parked there for my safety only to find that someone would insinuate that my present condition would be a criminal threat to society.

Salvatore A. Cosentino

1990 Port Angeles, WASHINGTON

ORT ANGELES

Veteran finds

no place to rest

In 1969 I tried to do the right and honorable thing by enlisting in the Navy. So thoroughly was I duped that I also volunteered for foreign service in Vietnam. After all, wasn't I by birthright a citizen of the greatest country

FORTY NERFES OLD, SLEEPING IN MY CAR, POSSESSING A POST GRADUATE
I Q IN MANAGEMENT, AND WHAT DO I GET FOR MY LOSS?
A BURNED OUT CAR BURST OFF! THANKS SUCKER! WHAT A COUNTRY!
CAN'T REACH THAT KNIFE IN MY BACK!

"IF I KNEW WHO THOSE FEARFUL
AND PARANOID PEOPLE WERE I
WOULD RECLINE IN THEIR OUTER
EXPOSING MY ribs SO THEY COULD
THOROUGHLY FINISH ME OFF!"
(ENDING PHRASE GRAPHIC OMITTED
BY THE EDITOR)

**F-2025-3056381 - SALVATORE A. COSENTINO v. FIRSTENERGY
PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY**

SALVATORE A COSENTINO
1512 RIDGE AVENUE
APARTMENT 2A
LATROBE PA 15650
724.989.2143

Served via USPS First Class Mail – September 23, 2025

TIMOTHY K MCHUGH ESQUIRE
FIRSTENERGY PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY
341 WHITE POND DRIVE
AKRON OH 44320
610.301.9072

tmchugh@firstenergycorp.com

Served via eService – September 23, 2025