

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Halima Ervin	:	
	:	
v.	:	F-2025-3054349
	:	
Philadelphia Gas Works	:	

**ORDER**  
**CLOSING THE RECORD**

On March 27, 2025, Halima Ervin filed a Formal Complaint against Philadelphia Gas Works (“PGW”) with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, alleging that there were incorrect charges on her bill and requesting a payment arrangement. On April 22, 2025, PGW filed an Answer to the Complaint.

An evidentiary hearing was held in this matter on June 24, 2025. This hearing was continued for the purposes of PGW competing a meter test of Ms. Ervin’s gas meter.

A further evidentiary hearing was held on September 2, 2025. Both parties were represented at the hearing and provided evidence in support of their positions. Complainant Exhibit 1 was admitted into the record and is an August 25, 2025, letter from PGW to Ms. Ervin stating that her gas meter was tested and found to be fast outside of the allowable range pursuant to the Commission’s regulations. PGW argued that the letter was issued in error and requested the opportunity to submit a late-filed exhibit which would indicate as such. PGW’s request was granted. The evidentiary hearing record was not closed at the conclusion of the further evidentiary hearing.

On September 15, 2025, PGW submitted via email PGW Exhibit 7. PGW Exhibit 7 is an affidavit from Kyle Bammerski, an individual employed by PGW as a Supervisor in the Field Services Department and as a Supervisor in the Meter Shop. In the affidavit, Mr.

Bamberski states, in summary, that the August 25, 2025, letter was incorrect and that Ms. Ervin's gas meter tested slow, not fast. Ms. Ervin was given until September 23, 2025, to object to the admission of PGW Exhibit 7.

On September 16, 2025, Ms. Ervin via email provided the following "objection" to the admission of PGW Exhibit 7:

"A letter was sent to my home stating "the meter was measuring fast". Now they stating the complete opposite.

The truth lies somewhere....

At this point I do not believe the second notice. The Meter was reading wrong this is why the meter was asked to be changed. To be fair Half of the bills from January until June should be recalculated because the information on meter was not properly sent on the recalibration."

The objection submitted by Ms. Ervin is not a proper legal objection to the admission of the exhibit, as it did not raise a valid legal ground upon which the exhibit should not be admitted.

By this Order, PGW Exhibit 7, will be admitted into the record in this proceeding. This Order will also close the evidentiary hearing record.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That PGW Exhibit 7 is admitted into the record in this proceeding.
2. That the evidentiary record in this matter is closed.

Date: September 26, 2025

\_\_\_\_\_/s/  
Alphonso Arnold III  
Administrative Law Judge

**F-2025-3054349 - HALIMA ERVIN v. PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS**

HALIMA ERVIN  
7540 FAYETTE ST  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19150  
**267.777.3223**  
[halimaervin8234@gmail.com](mailto:halimaervin8234@gmail.com)

Served via eService and email September 26, 2025

GRACIELA CHRISTLIEB ESQUIRE  
TRACY TRIPP ESQUIRE  
PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS  
800 WEST MONTGOMERY AVE  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19122  
**215.684.6164**  
**215.684.6249**  
[Graciela.Christlieb@pgworks.com](mailto:Graciela.Christlieb@pgworks.com)  
[Tracy.Tripp@pgworks.com](mailto:Tracy.Tripp@pgworks.com)

Served via eService September 26, 2025  
(*Counsel for Philadelphia Gas Works*)