

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Oleg Rudman	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2025-3053918
	:	
PECO Energy Company	:	

**ORDER
GRANTING COMPLAINANT’S REQUEST FOR A THIRD HEARING DATE**

By Initial Telephonic Hearing Notice dated April 8, 2025, an Initial Telephonic Hearing in this matter was scheduled for Wednesday, June 11, 2025, at 10:00 AM.

On June 11, 2025, I convened the hearing as scheduled. Khadijah Scott, Esquire, appeared on behalf of the Respondent. The court reporter was also present. The Complainant was not present at the start of the hearing. After a short recess to allow time for the Complainant to appear, the hearing proceeded in the Complainant’s absence. No testimony was taken, and no exhibits were introduced into the record. At the hearing, the Respondent moved to dismiss the Complaint for the Complainant’s failure to appear and prosecute her Complaint. I took this motion under advisement.

After the hearing, the Complainant contacted my office by telephone and spoke with my legal assistant, Pam McNeal. The Complainant told Ms. McNeal that she missed the hearing because she had a medical appointment. Ms. McNeal instructed the Complainant to submit documentation.

On June 16, 2025, the Complainant emailed a doctor’s note to my office stating the Complainant attended a medical appointment on June 11, 2025.

On June 18, 2025, I issued an Order affording the Complainant the benefit of the doubt and granting her request for another hearing.

By Further Telephonic Hearing Notice dated June 20, 2025, a Further Telephonic Hearing in this matter was scheduled for Tuesday, September 2, 2025, at 10:00 AM.

On September 2, 2025, I convened the hearing as scheduled. Khadijah Scott, Esquire, appeared on behalf of the Respondent. The court reporter was also present. Once again, the Complainant was not present at the start of the hearing. After a short recess to allow time for the Complainant to appear, the hearing proceeded in the Complainant's absence. No testimony was taken, and no exhibits were introduced into the record. At the hearing, the Respondent moved to dismiss the Complaint for the Complainant's failure to appear and prosecute her Complaint. I took this motion under advisement.

On September 18, 2025, the Complainant contacted my office by email stating that she was given the incorrect phone number to call in to the September 2, 2025 hearing. The Complainant requested the hearing be rescheduled for a third time. I forwarded the request to Counsel for PECO, who advised that they do not object.

Because PECO does not object, I will grant the Complainant's request for a third hearing, pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.15(b). However, the Complainant should be aware that no further requests will be granted absent extraordinary circumstances.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED;

1. That the Complainant's request for another hearing in the matter of Oleg Rudman v. PECO Energy Company, at Docket No. C-2025-3053918, is granted.

C-2025-3053918 - OLEG RUDMAN v. PECO ENERGY COMPANY

OLEG RUDMAN
544 PEBBLE RIDGE COURT
STATEN ISLAND NY 10314
646-410-6637
krasavets1972@gmail.com
Accepts eService September 29, 2025

PAUL YOUNG ESQUIRE
3554 HULMEVILLE ROAD, STE 102
BENSALEM PA 19020
215-515-6389
support@ymlaw.com
Served via email September 29, 2025
(Counsel for Complainant)

KHADIJAH SCOTT ESQUIRE
PECO ENERGY COMPANY
2301 MARKET STREET - S23-1
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103
267-533-1830
Khadijah.Scott@exeloncorp.com
Accepts eService September 29, 2025
(Counsel for PECO Energy Company)