

Stevens & Lee

17 N. Second Street, 16th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
(717) 234-1090
www.stevenslee.com

Direct Dial: (717) 255-7365
Email: michael.gruin@stevenslee.com
Direct Fax: (610) 988-0852

October 16, 2025

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Matthew Homsher, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Joint Application of Charter Communications, Inc.; Time Warner Cable Information Services (Pennsylvania), LLC; Time Warner Cable Business LLC; Cox Enterprises, Inc.; Cox Communications, Inc.; Lumos Networks of West Virginia Inc.; and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC for Approval of a General Rule Transaction Resulting in (1) an Indirect Transfer of Control of Lumos Networks of West Virginia Inc. and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC and (2) Changes in Indirect, Non-Majority Ownership of Time Warner Cable Information Services (Pennsylvania), LLC and Time Warner Cable Business LLC

Dkt. Nos. A-2025-3056812; A-2025-3056814; A-2025-3056815; A-2025-3056816

Dear Secretary Homsher:

Enclosed for filing please find the joint verified responses of Charter Communications, Inc., Time Warner Cable Information Services (Pennsylvania), LLC, Time Warner Cable Business LLC, Cox Enterprises, Inc., Cox Communications, Inc., Lumos Networks of West Virginia Inc., and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC to the Set I Data Requests issued by the Bureau of Technical Utility Services in the above-referenced matter.

Please note that several of the responses contain confidential and proprietary information. Accordingly, a public redacted version of the response is being electronically filed. A confidential version will be transmitted through the Secretary's confidential filing portal. The Applicants respectfully request that the confidential versions of the responses be filed under seal and afforded full confidential treatment. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael A. Gruin

Michael A. Gruin

Counsel for Applicants

Encl.
cc: Marissa Boyle, TUS (via email)

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-1 Identify the ultimate parent of each party to the transaction and the valuation of each.

Response:

The ultimate parent of Time Warner Cable Information Services (Pennsylvania), LLC (“TWCIS PA”) and Time Warner Cable Business LLC (“TWCB”) is Charter Communications, Inc. (“Charter”). Charter is a publicly traded company (NASDAQ: CHTR). As of October 16, 2025, Charter’s market capitalization is approximately \$36.83 billion, and its enterprise value is approximately \$132.50 billion.

The ultimate parent of Cox Communications, Inc. (“Cox”) and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Lumos Networks of West Virginia Inc., and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC (collectively, the “Segra Licensees”), is Cox Enterprises, Inc. (“CEI”). CEI is privately held and, therefore, does not have a valuation based upon a publicly traded stock price.

Although Cox and CEI are both privately held and therefore do not have a valuation based upon a publicly traded stock price, Cox’s valuation is reflected by the sales price of the Transaction. In exchange for transferring Cox and its operating subsidiaries, as well as the Segra and RapidScale business, to Charter, CEI will receive a combination of equity in Charter Holdings (comprised of both common and preferred units in Charter Holdings), \$4 billion in cash, and the assumption of debt. The equity in Charter Holdings will be a combination of approximately 33.6 million common units, which are exchangeable for common stock in Charter and have an implied value of \$11.9 billion,¹ and preferred units with a face value of \$6 billion, which pay a 6.875 percent coupon and are convertible into common units (which, in turn, are exchangeable for Charter stock).

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For CEI: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

¹ As noted on page 10 of the Joint Application, this \$11.9 billion implied value assumes that the 33.6 million common units in Charter Holdings issued to CEI are exchanged by CEI for 33.6 million shares of Charter common stock, which, as of April 25, 2025, had a 60-day volume weighted average price of \$353.64 per share.

**Response of Charter Communications, Inc. et al.
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 et al.**

Request: TUS-I-2 Identify the Pennsylvania jurisdictional revenue of each party to the transaction and its Pennsylvania proportion of total revenue.

Response:

Charter's Pennsylvania jurisdictional revenue and its Pennsylvania portion of total revenue are below:

[BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[END CONFIDENTIAL]

The Segra Licensees' Pennsylvania jurisdictional revenue and its Pennsylvania portion of total revenue are below:

[BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[END CONFIDENTIAL]

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-3

Affirm that the transaction has not already taken place (i.e. is not *Nunc Pro Tunc* in nature) and that the transaction will not be consummated prior to receiving Commission approval. If not included in the filing, provide the known or anticipated transaction closing date.

Response:

Joint Applicants affirm that the Transaction has not already taken place and that Charter does not intend for the Transaction to be consummated prior to receiving Commission approval. Joint Applicants anticipate that the Transaction will close before mid-2026.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

**Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.***

Request: TUS-I-4

Identify all jurisdictions where this or a related transaction has been filed and where approval is being sought. Provide the status of each filing. While pending in Pennsylvania, identify any jurisdiction that acts on the transaction and describe the action taken. Provide updates as necessary.

Response:

Below is the status of Applicants’ requests for approval of this Transaction:

Agency	Submission Date	Application Status
California Public Utilities Commission	7/30/2025	Pending
Connecticut Public Utilities Regulatory Authority	8/5/2025	Pending
District of Columbia Public Service Commission	8/6/2025	Approved
Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission	8/6/2025	Approved
Georgia Public Service Commission	8/12/2025	Approved
Kansas Corporation Commission	8/5/2025	Pending
Louisiana Public Service Commission	8/7/2025	Approved
Maryland Public Service Commission	8/11/2025	Pending
Nebraska Public Service Commission	8/5/2025	Pending

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Agency	Submission Date	Application Status
Nevada Public Utility Commission	8/11/2025	Approved
New York Public Service Commission	8/1/2025	Pending
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio	8/13/2025	Approved
Rhode Island Division of Public Utilities and Carriers	8/12/2025	Pending
Public Utility Commission of Texas	8/13/2025	Approved
Virginia State Corporation Commission	8/12/2025	Approved
West Virginia Public Service Commission	8/1/2025	Approved

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

**Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.***

Request: TUS-I-5

If any conditions are under consideration, imposed, or agreed to in any jurisdiction in which this or a related transaction is pending, please update the filing to include that information as it occurs.

Response:

There are currently no conditions under consideration, imposed, or agreed to in any jurisdiction in which the Transaction is pending.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-6 Identify all Pennsylvania-specific impacts known, anticipated, or considered that will or may result in any fashion from the transaction.

Response:

The proposed Transaction is a multi-state transaction. Applicants have not developed a Pennsylvania-specific analysis regarding the impacts of the Transaction.

As described in the Joint Application, by unifying Charter’s and Cox’s respective regional footprints, the Transaction will benefit businesses in Pennsylvania as well as existing and prospective Pennsylvania residential customers across all service lines. The Transaction will enhance the combined company’s ability to effectively leverage its respective assets by capitalizing on the expertise and competencies of each company. For example, the Transaction will benefit Charter’s existing enterprise customers and offerings by enabling the combined company to integrate Cox’s enterprise acumen into its operations. The Transaction will also generate several benefits to both competition and consumers, such as allowing Charter to reduce the cost of providing service, offer services more tailored to customer needs, address customer requests more effectively, and satisfy multilocation enterprises’ preference for their service provider to own the facilities on which it offers service.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-7

If synergies as to scope or scale, or merger savings, are posed as a substantial public benefit overall, identify each anticipated synergy and/or savings. Identify the financial or other value of those synergies and/or savings and explain how such value was calculated.

Response:

The Transaction will provide important benefits to the public. Across the combined Charter/Cox footprint, the Transaction will generate a stronger competitor across all markets in the crowded communications landscape. The combined company will be better positioned to invest, innovate, and maintain low prices as it challenges often-larger rivals. And Charter will leverage Cox and Segra's successful enterprise services strategies across a larger network. Alongside the benefits, because the two companies operate in geographically distinct markets and fundamentally do not compete, there are no countervailing harms. Instead, the Transaction will enable the combined company to compete more effectively in the product lines in which the companies operate. See the Public Interest Statement that Charter and Cox filed with the Federal Communications Commission for additional details on these benefits, available at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/10715141122783/2>.

As described in the Joint Application, the Transaction will also produce multiple cost synergies on a national basis. The Joint Applicants expect approximately \$500M of annualized Transaction cost synergies achieved within three years of closing, including cost and capital expenditure savings and greater operating efficiencies. The combined company will unlock value through additional cost synergies inherent in Charter's operating model. While these synergies have not been calculated on a state-by-state basis, the Joint Applicants expect all customers to benefit from the cost synergies and public interest benefits of the Transaction, including Pennsylvania customers.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-8

Identify whether any of the anticipated benefits of the transaction are expected to arise from the loss or potential loss of Pennsylvania jobs, tax revenues, facilities, or downsizing, or consolidation that affects Pennsylvania, or any other impact in Pennsylvania.

Response:

The Joint Applicants are in the early stages of integration planning and remain focused on securing the necessary regulatory consents needed to complete the Transaction. As a result, we do not have definitive answers regarding impacts in Pennsylvania. Both Charter and Cox/Segra have a relatively small footprint in Pennsylvania. Charter serves residential customers primarily in the areas in and around Erie, Pennsylvania and across a number of small, mostly rural towns along the Commonwealth's northern border with New York. Today, Charter has only approximately 117 employees in the Commonwealth. Cox has no residential or small business service footprint and has very limited enterprise services only. With the small employee footprint in Pennsylvania, primarily dedicated to servicing the local business, the combined company does not expect any significant employee impacts in Pennsylvania. For additional information about the anticipated benefits of the Transaction, please see the responses to TUS-I-6 and TUS-I-7.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

**Response of Charter Communications, Inc. et al.
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 et al.**

Request: TUS-I-9

Identify the location and number of any employees, offices, or facilities of each party to the transaction that are located in Pennsylvania.

Response:

As of June 30, 2025, Charter has one-hundred seventeen (117) employees in Pennsylvania. Charter has [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] of its employees working at an office in Center Valley, PA. Charter has two facilities in Coudersport, PA. [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] employees work at one of those offices and [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] work at the other. In Erie, PA, Charter has a retail store where [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] employees work and a facility where [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] employees work. Charter has a facility in Franklin, PA where [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] employees work. Charter has another retail store in Hermitage, PA where [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] employees work. Additionally, Charter has [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] employees working at a facility in Philadelphia, PA and [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] employees working at an office in West Pittston, PA.

Lumos Networks of West Virginia, Inc. and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC (the “Segra Licensees”) are supported by [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] Pennsylvania employees, who are employed by an affiliate of the Segra Licensees. The Segra Licensees have one office in Canonsburg, PA, a storage facility in Washington, PA, and network points of presence in Greensburg, Washington, Mercer, and Coraopolis, PA.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Tim Goodwin

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-10

Identify any functions, operations, or activities of any nature currently performed in or affecting Pennsylvania that may be impacted as a result of the transaction. Specifically, identify any operations that will be eliminated and any impact on Pennsylvania employment.

Response:

The Applicants are in the early stages of integration planning and remain focused on securing the necessary regulatory consents needed to complete the Transaction. As a result, the Joint Applicants do not have definitive answers regarding how functions, operations, or activities performed in or affecting Pennsylvania may be impacted post-closing, however, given the small employee presence in Pennsylvania and the limited operations the combined company has in the Commonwealth, no significant impact is anticipated. Please see the public interest benefits discussed in response to Request TUS-I-6, TUS-I-7, and in the Joint Application.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

**Response of Charter Communications, Inc. et al.
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 et al.**

Request: TUS-I-11 Identify the number of Pennsylvania customers served by each party to the transaction.

Response:

As of August 2025, Charter affiliates provided competitive voice services to [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] residential subscribers, and [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] small business and enterprise customers in Pennsylvania.

Lumos Networks of West Virginia, Inc. has [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] Pennsylvania customers.

Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC has [BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL] [REDACTED] [END CONFIDENTIAL] Pennsylvania customers.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-12 Identify all service markets (e.g., local exchange market, special access market) in Pennsylvania in which each party to the transaction or any affiliates participate.

Response:

Charter’s regulated affiliates in Pennsylvania—TWCIS and TWCB—participate in the competitive local exchange market, special access market, and long-distance market (although through TWCIS and other affiliates, Charter provides its voice service on an “all distance” basis). Charter affiliates also provide unregulated services such as video, voice over internet protocol (“VoIP”), mobile, and broadband service.

The Segra Licensees participate in the competitive access, competitive local exchange, and interexchange toll resale markets.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-13

Identify all geographic areas in Pennsylvania in which the Applicant(s), affiliates, or any other entities affected by the transaction offer services.

Response:

The Charter Licensees provide services in the following rate centers:

ALBION	KNOXVILLE	REYNOLDSVILLE
ALTOONA	LAWRENCEVILLE	RIDGWAY
AMBRIDGE	LAWRENCEVL	SAINT JOSEPH
BLACKTOWN	LAWSVILLE	SAINT MARYS
BRADFORD	LINCOLNVILLE	SAYRE
BROOKVILLE	MCKEAN	SCRANTON
BUTLER	MEADVILLE	SHARON
CLARION	MERCER	SHARPSVILLE
CLYMER	MILLPORT	SHARPSVL
CONNEAUTVILLE	MONTROSE	SHINGLEHOS
CONNEAUTVL	MORGANTOWN	SHINGLEHOUSE
CONNOQUENESSING	NEW CASTLE	SPRINGDALE
COOPERSTN	NEW KENSINGTON	SPRINGVILLE (SUSQUEHANNA)
CORRY	NEW WILMINGTON	SPRINGVL
CRIDERS CORNERS	NEWWLMNGTN	ST JOSEPH
DELMONT	NICHOLS	STATE COLLEGE
DOWNINGTOWN	NORTH EAST	STROUDSBURG
DUBOIS	OIL CITY	SUGAR GROVE
EAST BRADY	PERRYOPOLIS	SUGARGROVE
EBENSBURG	PHILADELPHIA SUBURBAN ZONE 14	TALLAHASSEE
ELDRED	PHILADELPHIA SUBURBAN ZONE 33	TIOGA
ELKLAND	PHILADELPHIA ZONE 1	TITUSVILLE
EMLENTON	PHILADELPHIA ZONE 3	TRANSFER
ERIE	PITTSBURGH SUBURBAN ZONE 12	ULYSSES
EXPORT	PITTSBURGH SUBURBAN ZONE 13	UNION CITY
FAIRVIEW	PITTSBURGH SUBURBAN ZONE 14	UNIONTOWN
FAIRVIEW (ERIE)	PITTSBURGH SUBURBAN ZONE 15	WARREN
FRANKLIN	PITTSBURGH SUBURBAN ZONE 17	WASHINGTON

**Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
 To Data Request TUS I
 Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.***

FREDONIA	PITTSBURGH SUBURBAN ZONE 23	WATERFORD
GALILEE	PITTSBURGH ZONE 1	WATTSBURG
GIRARD	PITTSBURGH ZONE 2	WEST CHESTER
GREENSBURG	PITTSBURGH ZONE 3	WEST MIDDLESEX
GREENVILLE	PITTSBURGH ZONE 4	WEST SPRINGFIELD
GROVE CITY	PITTSBURGH ZONE 6	WEXFORD
HALLSTEAD	PITTSBURGH ZONE 7	WILLIAMSPORT
HUNTINGDON	PTALLEGANY	WMIDDLESEX
INDIANA	PUNXSUTAWNEY	WSPRINGFLD
JOHNSTOWN	QUAKERLAKE	YOUNGSTOWN
KITTANNING	QUAKERTOWN	YOUNGWOOD
KNOX	READING	ZELIENOPLE

The Segra Licensees are authorized to offer services throughout the Commonwealth.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-14

Identify any and all unaffiliated providers offering the same or competitive services as those of the Applicant(s) in the same geographic locales within Pennsylvania. Reference to all certificated carriers on file at the Commission will not be considered an acceptable or complete response.

Response:

In Exhibit 14-1, we list the 16 Pennsylvania counties in which Charter affiliates offer mass-market voice services to at least one location, as well as the ILECs whose study areas intersect each county.² Although the FCC can provide subscribership data for fixed voice providers to state regulatory commissions through information-sharing agreements,³ these data are not publicly available. Exhibit 14-1 includes a list of possible LECs constructed by comparing the list of broadband competitors in each county with the list of Form 477 filers that provide fixed voice services in Pennsylvania.⁴ In addition, as noted in Exhibit 14-1, fixed voice providers face competition from over-the-top VoIP providers that offer services in Pennsylvania. Cox does not offer mass-market services to any location in Pennsylvania.

With respect to enterprise services, Exhibit 14-2 lists the 26 Pennsylvania counties in which Charter or Cox have at least one non-mass-market fiber-lit building, according to third-party data from Connectbase. For each county, competitors identified in the Connectbase database as providing service within 2,000 feet of an on-net building are listed in the exhibit.⁵ Because the Connectbase data do not include more distant competitors, we likely understate the total number of competitors, particularly in counties where few buildings are on- or near-net for Charter or Cox.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

² Broadband Data Collection (“BDC”) database of broadband availability as of December 31, 2024. Charter’s voice service territory is coextensive with its broadband network.

³ See <https://www.fcc.gov/general/process-state-regulatory-commissions-obtain-state-specific-fcc-form-477-data>.

⁴ Form 477 Filers by State as of June 30, 2024, available at <https://www.fcc.gov/form-477-filers-state>.

⁵ The Connectbase dataset contains data on providers at all locations within 2,000 feet of a location serviced by Charter or Cox *and* data on the proximity of competitors within 2,000 feet of any such location. In limited circumstances, the identified competitor might have a fiber-lit building within 2,000 feet of the same county but no fiber-lit buildings in the same county as Charter or the Segra Licensees.

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-15

If the transaction is pending before the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), or any other federal agency, please provide unexpurgated copies of responses provided to the FCC, DOJ, or other staff inquiries and data requests.

Response:

The FCC restated application for approval under Section 214, submitted as Exhibit C to the Joint Application, remains pending at the FCC. Joint Applicants also submitted a Hart-Scott-Rodino (“HSR”) Act premerger notification to the Federal Trade Commission and the Department of Justice to obtain antitrust clearance for the proposed Transaction, as well as responses to inquiries and a Voluntary Request Letter issued by the Department of Justice. The HSR materials contain highly confidential and sensitive information that is protected from disclosure by 15 U.S.C. Section 18a(h). The HSR materials will be submitted upon request by TUS staff.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.*

Request: TUS-I-16

While the transaction remains pending before the Commission, provide updates to the status of the transaction or of any changes to the application at the federal level as soon as they occur.

Response:

Applicants confirm that they will provide updates to the status of the Transaction or of any changes to the Joint Application at the federal level as soon as they occur.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

**Response of Charter Communications, Inc. *et al.*
To Data Request TUS I
Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812 *et al.***

Request: TUS-I-17

If the proposed transaction involves a foreign interest, describe in detail why state regulatory action on the proposed transaction would not be adverse to national security while DOJ approval remains pending.

Response:

The proposed Transaction does not involve a foreign interest. This question is not applicable.

Response provided by:

For Charter: Adam Falk

For Cox: Curt Stamp

Dated: October 16, 2025

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Joint Application of Charter Communications, Inc.; Time Warner Cable Information Services (Pennsylvania), LLC; Time Warner Cable Business LLC; Cox Enterprises, Inc.; Cox Communications, Inc.; Lumos Networks of West Virginia Inc.; and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC for Approval of a General Rule Transaction Resulting in (1) an Indirect Transfer of Control of Lumos Networks of West Virginia Inc. and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC and (2) Changes in Indirect, Non-Majority Ownership of Time Warner Cable Information Services (Pennsylvania), LLC and Time Warner Cable Business LLC	Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812; A-2025-3056814; A-2025-3056815; A-2025-3056816
--	--

VERIFICATION

I, Adam Falk, do hereby depose, say, and affirm the following:

1. I am the Senior Vice President, State Government Affairs of Charter Communications, Inc. (“Charter”);
2. The facts set forth in the foregoing responses to data requests with respect to Charter are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and Registrants expect to be able to prove the same at any hearing hereof;
3. I understand that the statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.Cons. Stat. § 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).



Dated this 16th day of October 2025

Adam Falk
SVP, State Government Affairs
Charter Communications, Inc.
601 Massachusetts Ave., NW
Suite 400 West
Washington, DC 20001

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Joint Application of Charter Communications, Inc.; Time Warner Cable Information Services (Pennsylvania), LLC; Time Warner Cable Business LLC; Cox Enterprises, Inc.; Cox Communications, Inc.; Lumos Networks of West Virginia Inc.; and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC for Approval of a General Rule Transaction Resulting in (1) an Indirect Transfer of Control of Lumos Networks of West Virginia Inc. and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC and (2) Changes in Indirect, Non-Majority Ownership of Time Warner Cable Information Services (Pennsylvania), LLC and Time Warner Cable Business LLC	Docket Nos. A-2025-3056812; A-2025-3056814; A-2025-3056815; A-2025-3056816
--	--

VERIFICATION

I, Jennifer Hightower, do hereby depose, say, and affirm the following:

1. I am the Executive Vice President, Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary of Cox Enterprises, Inc. (“CEI”), ultimate parent of Cox Communications, Inc. (“Cox”), Lumos Networks of West Virginia Inc. (“Lumos”), and Fibernet Telecommunications of Pennsylvania, LLC (“Fibernet”);
2. The facts set forth in the foregoing responses to data requests with respect to CEI, Cox, Lumos, and Fibernet are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, and Registrants expect to be able to prove the same at any hearing hereof;
3. I understand that the statements herein made are subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.Cons. Stat. § 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities).



Dated this 16th day of October 2025

Jennifer Hightower
Executive Vice President, Chief Legal
Officer and Corporate Secretary
Cox Enterprises, Inc.
6205-A Peachtree Dunwoody Road
Atlanta, GA 30328

EXHIBIT 14-1

Sources:

1. BDC availability data as of December 31, 2024.
2. Study Area boundary shapefiles, available at <https://us-fcc.app.box.com/v/studyareaboundaries>
3. Census TIGER/Line county shapefiles
4. List of Form 477 filers by state, available at

Notes:

1. The table includes the 16 Pennsylvania counties in which Charter reports its broadband service is available in at least one location. Charter's fixed voice territory is coextensive with its broadband network.
2. A comprehensive list of LECs by geography is not available from the FCC at geographies below the state level. A list of possible LECs was constructed by comparing the broadband competitors available in each county with the list of Form 477 filers that offer fixed voice services in Pennsylvania. provide LEC service in Pennsylvania and are known to provide OTT VoIP to residential consumers: Ooma, RingCentral, Voiply, and Vonage.
4. Claverack Communications, Inc. offers broadband service under the Revolution Broadband brand.

County	ILECs	LECs
Beaver	Armstrong Holdings, Inc.; AT&T Inc.; Brightspeed; Frontier Communications Corporation; Verizon Communications Inc.; Windstream Holdings, Inc.	AT&T; Armstrong Telephone Company; ArmstrongUtilitiesInc; Buckeye Telesystem; Consolidated Communications; Frontier; Verizon; Windstream Pennsylvania, LLC.; Xfinity
Bradford	Empire Telephone Corporation/North Penn Telephone Company; Frontier Communications Corporation; Verizon Communications Inc.	Beaver Valley Cable; FirstLight Fiber; Frontier; North Penn Long Distance Corporation; North Penn Telephone Company; PenTeleData; Revolution Broadband; TRI-CO CONNECTIONS; Verizon; Xfinity; Zito Media
Crawford	The Conneaut Telephone Company; Verizon Communications Inc.; Windstream Holdings, Inc.	Armstrong Telecommunications Inc; ArmstrongUtilitiesInc; FirstLight Fiber; Verizon; Windstream Pennsylvania, LLC.; Xfinity
Dauphin	Brightspeed; Frontier Communications Corporation; Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.; Verizon Communications Inc.	FirstLight Fiber; Frontier; NetFortris; PenTeleData; TDS Telecom; TPx Communications; Telesystem; Verizon; Xfinity; ZAYO GROUP LLC
Erie	Consolidated Communications, Inc.; The Conneaut Telephone Company; Verizon Communications Inc.; Windstream Holdings, Inc.	Armstrong Telecommunications Inc; ArmstrongUtilitiesInc; North Penn Long Distance Corporation; TPx Communications; Verizon; Windstream Pennsylvania, LLC.; Xfinity; ZAYO GROUP LLC
Lackawanna	Frontier Communications Corporation; Laurel Highland Total Communications, Inc.; The North-Eastern Pennsylvania Telephone Company; Verizon Communications Inc.	AT&T; Adams CATV Inc; FirstLight Fiber; Frontier; ICON Technologies Inc; LHTC Broadband; NEP Telcom Inc; North Penn Long Distance Corporation; PenTeleData; The North-Eastern Pennsylvania Telephone Company; Verizon; Xfinity; ZAYO GROUP LLC
Lawrence	AT&T Inc.; Brightspeed; Verizon Communications Inc.; Windstream Holdings, Inc.	ArmstrongUtilitiesInc; Buckeye Telesystem; Windstream Pennsylvania, LLC.; Xfinity; ZAYO GROUP LLC
McKean	Armstrong Holdings, Inc.; Frontier Communications Corporation; Verizon Communications Inc.; Windstream Holdings, Inc.	Armstrong Telecommunications Inc; Armstrong Telephone Company; Breezeline; FirstLight Fiber; Frontier; TRI-CO CONNECTIONS; WestPANet; Windstream Pennsylvania, LLC.; Xfinity; Zito Media
Mercer	AT&T Inc.; Brightspeed; Townes Telecommunications, Inc.; Verizon Communications Inc.; Windstream Holdings, Inc.	Armstrong Telecommunications Inc; ArmstrongUtilitiesInc; Pymatuning Independent Telephone Company; Verizon; Windstream Pennsylvania, LLC.; Xfinity
Potter	Armstrong Holdings, Inc.; Frontier Communications Corporation; Verizon Communications Inc.; Windstream Holdings, Inc.	Breezeline; FirstLight Fiber; Frontier; PenTeleData; TRI-CO CONNECTIONS; Xfinity; Zito Media
Susquehanna	Frontier Communications Corporation; Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.; The North-Eastern Pennsylvania Telephone Company; Verizon Communications Inc.	Adams CATV Inc; Beaver Valley Cable; FirstLight Fiber; Frontier; ICON Technologies Inc; NEP Telcom Inc; PenTeleData; Revolution Broadband; The North-Eastern Pennsylvania Telephone Company; Verizon; Xfinity
Tioga	Armstrong Holdings, Inc.; Empire Telephone Corporation/North Penn Telephone Company; Frontier Communications Corporation; Verizon Communications Inc.	Armstrong Telecommunications Inc; Armstrong Telephone Company; FirstLight Fiber; Frontier; North Penn Long Distance Corporation; North Penn Telephone Company; PenTeleData; TRI-CO CONNECTIONS; Verizon; Xfinity; Zito Media
Venango	Brightspeed; Venus Telephone Corporation; Verizon Communications Inc.; Windstream Holdings, Inc.	ArmstrongUtilitiesInc; Breezeline; VenusTelephone; Verizon; Windstream Pennsylvania, LLC.; Xfinity
Warren	Verizon Communications Inc.; Windstream Holdings, Inc.	ArmstrongUtilitiesInc; Breezeline; EagleZip.com; FirstLight Fiber; WestPANet; Windstream Pennsylvania, LLC.
Wayne	Frontier Communications Corporation; Laurel Highland Total Communications, Inc.; Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.; The Hancock Telephone Company; The North-Eastern Pennsylvania Telephone Company; Verizon Communications Inc.	Adams CATV Inc; FirstLight Fiber; Fusion; ICON Technologies Inc; LHTC Broadband; LHTC Broadband; NEP Telcom Inc; PenTeleData; TDS Telecom; The North-Eastern Pennsylvania Telephone Company; Verizon; Xfinity
Wyoming	Frontier Communications Corporation	FirstLight Fiber; Frontier; ICON Technologies Inc; PenTeleData; Revolution Broadband; Verizon; Xfinity

EXHIBIT 14-2

Sources:

1. Database of Cox and Charter fiber-lit and near-net buildings and competitors from Connectbase
2. Census 2024 place and county TIGER shapefiles (available at <https://www.census.gov/cgi-bin/geo/shapefiles/index.php>)

Notes:

1. The table includes all counties in which Charter or Cox/Segra have fiber-lit buildings.
2. Building addresses are mapped to counties using a combination of place names and multiple geocoding services to improve accuracy.
3. The listed competitors include all on-net or near-net competitors associated with any buildings listed in the Connectbase data. Due to data limitations, we cannot confirm the precise location of near-net competitors. Some near-net competitors could be located in a neighboring county, but all competitors are located within 2,000 feet of an enterprise building located in the same county where Cox or Charter offer service.

Fiber Competitors in Business Data Services by County

County	Fiber Competitors
Allegheny	Connectivity Engineer, Consolidated Communications, Crown Castle, CSX Transportation, Dqe Communications, Everstream Solutions LLC, Extenet Systems, Firstlight, Frontier Communications Corporation, Glo Fiber Wholesale By Shentel, GTT, Ipc Systems Inc., Lightpath, Lumos Networks, Megaport, Mod Mission Critical, Salsgiver, T-Mobile, Verizon, Windstream Wholesale, Zayo, Zito Media
Armstrong	AT&T, Comcast, Consolidated Communications, DQE Communications, Verizon, Windstream Wholesale, Zito Media
Blair	Cogeco/Breezeline, Comcast, Crown Castle, DQE Communications, Everstream Solutions LLC, Firstlight, Lumos Networks, Salsgiver, T-Mobile, Verizon, Windstream Wholesale, Zayo, Zito Media
Bradford	Empire Access, Firstlight, Frontier Communications Corporation, North Penn Telephone Co, Southern Tier Network, Southern Tier Wireless, Verizon, Zito Media
Butler	Armstrong, AT&T, Brightspeed, Consolidated Communications, Crown Castle, DQE Communications, Frontier Communications Corporation, IPC Systems Inc., Lumos Networks, Zayo, Zito Media
Cambria	Cogeco/Breezeline, Comcast, Crown Castle, CSX Transportation, Everstream Solutions LLC, Lumos Networks, T-Mobile, Verizon, Zayo, Zito Media
Centre	AT&T, Comcast, Crown Castle, Dqe Communications, Everstream Solutions LLC, Firstlight, Verizon, Windstream Wholesale, Zayo, Zito Media
Clearfield	Cogeco/Breezeline, Crown Castle, Firstlight, Kinber, Verizon, Zito Media
Crawford	Armstrong, Crown Castle, Lumos Networks, Verizon, Windstream Wholesale, Zayo, Zito Media
Cumberland	Mobile, Zayo
Dauphin	AT&T, Comcast, Crown Castle, DQE Communications, Everstream Solutions LLC, Firstlight, Frontier Communications Corporation, Glo Fiber Wholesale By Shentel, Kinber, Lumos Networks, Telesystem, Verizon, Zayo, Zito Media
Erie	Armstrong, AT&T, Crown Castle, Csx Transportation, Empire Access, Everstream Solutions LLC, Firstlight, Frontier Communications Corporation, Kinber, Lumos Networks, Penteledata, T-Mobile, Velocity Network Inc, Velocity.net, Verizon, Viasat Communications, Windstream Wholesale, Zayo, Zito Media
Fayette	Armstrong, Cogeco/Breezeline, Crown Castle, Dqe Communications, Frontier Communications Corporation, Lumos Networks, QCOL Inc, Verizon, Vitalink LLC, Zayo, Zito Media
Franklin	Networks, Zayo
Indiana	Media
Lawrence	Comcast, Everstream Solutions LLC, Lumos Networks, Verizon, Zayo
McKean	Frontier Communications Corporation, Verizon, Zito Media
Mercer	Lumos Networks, Pymatuning Township Police, Salsgiver, T-Mobile, Verizon, Viasat Communications, Windstream Wholesale, Zayo, Zito Media
Philadelphia	AT&T, Comcast, Crown Castle, Everstream Solutions LLC, Extenet Systems, IPC Systems Inc., Scott County Telephone Co-Operative Inc, T-Mobile, Verizon, Viasat Communications
Potter	Frontier Communications Corporation, Tri-Co Connections, Verizon, Zito Media
Susquehanna	Crown Castle, Frontier Communications Corporation, Nep Internet, Revolution Broadband, Verizon, Zito Media
Tioga	Armstrong, Empire Access, Firstlight, Frontier Communications Corporation, North Penn Telephone Co, Penteledata, Tri-Co Connections, Verizon, Zito Media
Venango	Comcast, Everstream Solutions LLC, Frontier Communications Corporation, Verizon, Zito Media
Warren	Verizon
Washington	Everstream Solutions Llc, Firstlight, Frontier Communications Corporation, IPC Systems Inc., Lumos Networks, T-Mobile, Verizon, Zayo, Zito Media
Westmoreland	Communications, Lumos Networks, Verizon, Viasat Communications, Windstream Wholesale, WPA Fiber Network L, Zayo, Zito Media