
Devin Ryan

dryan@postschell.com
717-612-6052 Direct
717-731-1985 Direct Fax
File #: 215237

October 20, 2025

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Matthew Homsher, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor North
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

**Re: Solomon Pratt v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation
Docket No. F-2025-3057731**

Dear Secretary Homsher:

Enclosed please find the Preliminary Objections of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation to the Complaint of Solomon Pratt for filing in the above-referenced proceeding. Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully submitted,


Devin Ryan

DR/bfc
Enclosure

cc: Certificate of Service


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been served upon the following persons, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

VIA EMAIL

Solomon Pratt
50 Creek Lane
Easton, PA 18045
Packers7720@gmail.com

Date: October 20, 2025



Devin T. Ryan

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Solomon Pratt,	:	
	:	
Complainant,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. F-2025-3057731
	:	
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

NOTICE TO PLEAD

YOU ARE HEREBY ADVISED THAT, PURSUANT TO 52 PA. CODE § 5.101, YOU MAY FILE AN ANSWER TO THE ENCLOSED PRELIMINARY OBJECTION WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF THE DATE OF SERVICE HEREOF. YOUR ANSWER TO THE PRELIMINARY OBJECTION MUST BE FILED WITH THE SECRETARY OF THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION, P.O. BOX 3265, HARRISBURG, PA 17105-3265. A COPY SHOULD ALSO BE SERVED ON THE UNDERSIGNED COUNSEL.

Kimberly A. Klock (ID # 89716)
Michael J. Shafer (ID # 205681)
PPL Services Corporation
645 Hamilton Street, Suite 700
Allentown, PA 18104
Phone: 610-774-2599
Fax: 610-774-4102
E-mail: kklock@pplweb.com
mjshafer@pplweb.com



Devin T. Ryan (ID # 316602)
Alice A. Wade (ID # 335228)
Hayley E. Wilburn (ID # 336055)
Post & Schell, P.C.
One Oxford Centre
301 Grant Street, Suite 3010
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone: 717-612-6052
Fax: 717-731-1985
E-mail: dryan@postschell.com
alice.wade@postschell.com
hwilburn@postschell.com

Date: October 20, 2025

Attorneys for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Solomon Pratt,	:	
	:	
Complainant,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. F-2025-3057731
	:	
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

**PRELIMINARY OBJECTION OF
PPL ELECTRIC UTILITIES CORPORATION TO
THE COMPLAINT OF SOLOMON PRATT**

TO THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION:

AND NOW, comes PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (“PPL Electric” or “Company”) and hereby files this Preliminary Objection, pursuant to the regulations of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) at 52 Pa. Code § 5.101, and respectfully requests that the Complaint filed by Solomon Pratt (“Complainant”) be summarily dismissed as against PPL Electric. In support thereof, PPL Electric states as follows:

I. BACKGROUND

1. PPL Electric is a “public utility,” an “electric distribution company,” and a “default service provider” as defined in Sections 102 and 2803 of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. §§ 102, 2803.

2. On September 30, 2025, PPL Electric was served with the above-captioned Complaint. In the Complaint, the Complainant alleges that he was enrolled with the electric

generation supplier (“EGS”) Clearview Electric, Inc. (“Clearview”) and that his supply charges did not reflect his contracted rate with Clearview. (Complaint ¶¶ 2, 4-5.)

3. PPL Electric herein files this Preliminary Objection to the Complaint. For the reasons explained below, PPL Electric respectfully requests that the Complaint be summarily dismissed in its entirety and with prejudice as against PPL Electric pursuant to Section 5.101(a)(5) of the Commission’s regulations, 52 Pa. Code §.101(a)(5), due to the nonjoinder of a necessary party, *i.e.*, the EGS at issue.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

4. Pursuant to the Commission’s regulations, preliminary objections in response to a pleading may be filed on several grounds, including:

- (1) Lack of Commission jurisdiction or improper service of the pleading initiating the proceeding.
- (2) Failure of a pleading to conform to this chapter or the inclusion of scandalous or impertinent matter.
- (3) Insufficient specificity of a pleading.
- (4) Legal insufficiency of a pleading.
- (5) Lack of capacity to sue, nonjoinder of a necessary party or misjoinder of a cause of action.
- (6) Pendency of a prior proceeding or agreement for alternative dispute resolution.
- (7) Standing of a party to participate in the proceeding.

52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a) (emphasis added).

5. In ruling on preliminary objections, the Presiding Officer must accept as true all well-pled allegations of material facts as well as all inferences reasonably deducible therefrom. *Stilp v. Cmwlt.*, 910 A.2d 775, 781 (Pa. Cmwlt. 2006) (citing *Dep’t of Gen. Serv. v. Bd. of Claims*, 881 A.2d 14 (Pa. Cmwlt. 2005)); accord *Complaint of Nat’l Fuel Gas Distrib. Corp. and*

Petition for an Order to Show Cause, Docket No. P-00072343 (December 26, 2007). However, the Presiding Officer need not accept as true conclusions of law, unwarranted inferences from facts, argumentative allegations, or expressions of opinion. *Stanton-Negley Drug Co. v. Dep't of Pub. Welfare*, 927 A.2d 671, 673 (Pa. Cmwlth. 2007). For preliminary objections to be sustained, it must appear with certainty that the law will permit no recovery, and any doubt must be resolved in favor of the non-moving party. *Stilp*, at 781.

6. In addition, the Presiding Officer must determine whether, based on the factual pleadings, recovery is possible. *See Rok v. Flaherty*, 527 A.2d 211, 214 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1987). Indeed, for preliminary objections to be sustained, it must appear with certainty that the law will permit no recovery. *See Stilp*, at 781; *Milliner v. Enck*, 709 A.2d 417, 418 (Pa. Super. 1998).

III. PRELIMINARY OBJECTION

A. THE COMPLAINANT HAS FAILED TO JOIN A NECESSARY PARTY

7. PPL Electric incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 6 as if fully set forth herein.

8. The Complaint should be dismissed because the Complainant has failed to join a necessary party.

9. The Complainant was enrolled with electric generation supplier (“EGS”) Clearview Electric, Inc. (“Clearview”) between March 7, 2024, and August 11, 2025.

10. Clearview is a licensed EGS that is authorized to offer, render, furnish, or supply electricity or electric generation services in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, including within PPL Electric’s service territory. *See License of Clearview Electric, Inc. for Approval to Begin to Offer, Render, Furnish or Supply Electricity or Electric Generation Services as a Broker/Marketer to the Public within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania*, Docket No. A-2010-2152506 (Order entered May 7, 2010).

11. According to the Complaint and following further investigation by PPL Electric, the Complainant was a shopping customer who received competitive electric generation supply service during the period in question (Complaint ¶¶ 4-5.)

12. The Complainant alleges that he did not enroll with Clearview, and he “never agreed to have” Clearview as his EGS. (Complaint ¶ 4.)

13. The issues raised in the Complaint pertain to a claim that the Complainant did not consent to switch his electric generation supply service to Clearview and did not authorize Clearview to make changes to his account. (Complaint ¶¶ 4-5.)

14. The Complaint is an appeal from a decision of the Bureau of Consumer Services (“BCS”) at BCS Case No. 4086085. The BCS ultimately dismissed the Complainant’s informal complaint, finding that the Complainant’s enrollment with Clearview through PPL Electric’s Standard Offer Program was valid.

15. Under Pennsylvania law, “a necessary party is one whose presence, while not indispensable, is essential if the court is to resolve completely a controversy and to render complete relief.” *Pa. Human Relations Comm’n v. Phila. Sch. Dist.*, 651 A.2d 177 (Pa. Cmwlth. 1993) (citation omitted.)

16. Here, Clearview is a necessary party to this proceeding, given that the Complainant’s allegations and requested relief pertain directly to Clearview and the Commission’s determination in this case could affect Clearview.

17. As such, without joinder of Clearview, the Commission cannot fully resolve the dispute or award relief concerning the material allegations in the Complaint against Clearview.


18. Based on the foregoing, the Complainant has failed to join a necessary party, *i.e.*, Clearview, in this proceeding. Therefore, the Complaint should be summarily dismissed as against PPL Electric, pursuant 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(5).

19. To the extent that the allegations in the Complaint regarding Clearview are not summarily dismissed, PPL Electric respectfully requests that an Order be issued joining Clearview to the instant proceeding as an indispensable party.

IV. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation respectfully requests that the Complaint be summarily dismissed with prejudice as against PPL Electric pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.101(a)(5).

Respectfully submitted,



Kimberly A. Klock (ID # 89716)
Michael J. Shafer (ID # 205681)
PPL Services Corporation
645 Hamilton Street, Suite 700
Allentown, PA 18104
Phone: 610-774-2599
Fax: 610-774-4102
E-mail: kklock@pplweb.com
mjshafer@pplweb.com

Devin T. Ryan (ID # 316602)
Alice A. Wade (ID # 335228)
Hayley E. Wilburn (ID # 336055)
Post & Schell, P.C.
One Oxford Centre
301 Grant Street, Suite 3010
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Phone: 717-612-6052
Fax: 717-731-1985
E-mail: dryan@postschell.com
alice.wade@postschell.com
hwilburn@postschell.com

Date: October 20, 2025

Attorneys for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation

VERIFICATION

I, DONNA E. WEBSTER, being a Lead CS Operations Specialist at PPL Electric Utilities Corporation, hereby state that the facts above set forth are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and that I expect PPL Electric Utilities Corporation to be able to prove the same at a hearing held in this matter. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

October 20, 2025

Donna E Webster