

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Administrative Law Judge Erin Gannon, Presiding

Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission	:	Docket No. R-2025-3057237
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
City of Lancaster – Bureau of Water	:	
	:	

**PETITION OF CITY OF LANCASTER – BUREAU OF WATER
FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER**

The City of Lancaster – Bureau of Water (the “City”), by its undersigned counsel, and, pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.365, hereby petitions the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) for entry of a Protective Order in connection with the above-captioned proceeding. In support thereof, the City avers as follows:

1. On September 30, 2025, the City initiated the above-referenced proceeding in support of Supplement No. 49 to Tariff No. 6 seeking a general rate increase, pursuant to 66 Pa. C.S.A § 1308(d) and 52 Pa. Code § 53.45(a).
2. The Office of the Small Business Advocate (“OSBA”) filed a notice of appearance in this proceeding as well as a Complaint at Docket No. C-2025-3057935 on October 10, 2025.
3. The Commission’s Bureau of Investigation & Enforcement (“I&E”) filed a notice of appearance in this proceeding on October 10, 2025.

4. The Office of Consumer Advocate (“OCA”) filed a notice of appearance in this proceeding as well as a Complaint at Docket No. C-2025-3057993 on October 16, 2025.

5. On October 23, 2025, James Blevins (“Mr. Blevins”) filed a Complaint in this proceeding at Docket No. C-2025-3058103.

6. On October 10, 2025, the Commission’s Bureau of Technical Utility Services (“TUS”) issues data requests for the Commission to complete its analysis of the filing. The City filed responses and additional information requested with the Commission on October 27, 2025.

7. Materials which the City has furnished in this proceeding and materials which the City and other parties will be furnishing pursuant to Commission rules and regulations, formal and informal discovery procedures, testimony or oral examination or as a courtesy to parties contain information and will contain information that the producing party considers confidential or proprietary.

8. The issuance of a protective order adequate to cover all parties and establish procedures in accordance with 52 Pa. Code § 5.365 for the provision of information believed to be confidential or proprietary would serve administrative economy and efficiency by obviating the need for parties to address confidential/proprietary concerns on a piecemeal basis every time confidential/proprietary information is requested.

9. The proposed protective order included with this Petition is in the usual accepted form, consistent with due process rights and evidentiary burdens. It allows parties to retain the right to question or challenge the confidential or proprietary nature of information; to challenge the admissibility of confidential or proprietary information; to refuse or object to the production of confidential or proprietary information on any proper ground; to seek disclosure of confidential or proprietary information beyond that allowed in the Protective Order; and to seek

additional measures of protection beyond those provided in the Protective Order. The Protective Order also provides that the party claiming that the information is confidential or proprietary retains the burden of demonstrating that such designation is necessary and appropriate.

10. Counsel for the City has contacted Counsel for I&E, OCA, and OSBA and they have advised that they consent to the entry of the proposed protective order.

11. Counsel for the City has also contacted Mr. Blevins. Mr. Blevins does not wish to participate in this proceeding beyond the filing of his Complaint. Accordingly, Mr. Blevins has no position on the proposed protective order. He has also specifically requested that he be removed from the service list for this proceeding, as he does not wish to participate beyond the filing of his Complaint.

WHEREFORE, the City of Lancaster – Bureau of Water respectfully requests that Administrative Law Judge Gannon enter the Protective Order included with this Petition.

Respectfully submitted,

THE CITY OF LANCASTER – BUREAU OF WATER

By: /s/ Courtney L. Schultz

Courtney L. Schultz, Esq.

Kruti B. Patel, Esq.

Saul Ewing LLP

1735 Market Street, Suite 3400

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 972-7717

Courtney.schultz@saul.com

Kruti.patel@saul.com

Counsel for the City of Lancaster – Bureau of Water

Date: November 4, 2025

PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	:	Docket No. R-2025-3057237
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
City of Lancaster – Bureau of Water	:	
	:	

ORDER GRANTING PETITION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

On September 30, 2025, the City of Lancaster – Bureau of Water (the “City”), initiated the above-referenced proceeding in support of Supplement No. 49 to Tariff No. 6 (“Tariff”) seeking a general rate increase, pursuant to 66 Pa. C.S.A § 1308(d) and 52 Pa. Code § 53.45(a), with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”). The Commission assigned this matter to the Office of Administrative Law on November 3, 2025, and this matter having been assigned to the undersigned.

The following Order is adopted:

ORDER

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That a Protective Order is granted with respect to all correspondence, documents, data, information, studies, methodologies and other materials, furnished in this proceeding, which are believed by the producing party to be of a proprietary or confidential nature and which are so designated by being marked “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material. Such materials will be referred to below as “Proprietary Information.” For example, but without limitation, “Highly Confidential” information may include Proprietary Information that constitutes or describes: (a) customer names or customer prospects’ names, phone numbers,

addresses, or other customer-identifying information; (b) marketing plans; (c) competitive strategies or service alternatives; (d) market share projections; (e) competitive pricing or discounting information; and (f) marketing materials that have not yet been used. When a statement or exhibit is identified for the record, the portions thereof that constitute Proprietary Information shall be designated as such for the record.

2. This Protective Order applies to the following categories of materials: (a) the producing party may designate as “CONFIDENTIAL” those materials which customarily are treated by that party as sensitive or proprietary, which are not available to the public, and which, if disclosed freely, would subject that party or its clients to risk of competitive disadvantage or other business injury; (b) the producing party may designate as “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material those materials that are of such a commercially sensitive nature among the parties or of such a private, personal nature that the producing party is able to justify a heightened level of confidential protection with respect to those materials. The producing party shall endeavor to limit their designation of information as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material.

3. Proprietary Information shall be made available to counsel for a party, subject to the terms of this Protective Order. Such counsel shall use or disclose the Proprietary Information only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, case preparation, cross examination, argument, or settlement in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, counsel for a party may afford access to Proprietary Information subject to the conditions set forth in this Protective Order.

4. Information deemed as “CONFIDENTIAL” may be made available to a “Reviewing Representative” who is a person that has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate attached as Appendix A, and who is:

(a) An attorney for a statutory advocate pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.8, or a counsel who has entered an appearance in this proceeding for a party;

(b) Attorneys, paralegals, and other employees associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in Paragraph 4(a);

(c) An expert or an employee of an expert retained by a party for the purpose of advising, preparing for or testifying in this proceeding; or

(d) Employees or other representatives of a party appearing in this proceeding with significant responsibility for this docket.

With regard to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission's Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement ("I&E"), information deemed as "CONFIDENTIAL" shall be made available to I&E Prosecutors subject to the terms of this Protective Order. The I&E Prosecutors shall use or disclose the CONFIDENTIAL information only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, cross examination, argument, or settlement in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, the I&E Prosecutors may afford access to CONFIDENTIAL information only to I&E's experts, without the need for the execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate, who are full-time employees of the Commission and bound by all the provisions of this Protective Order by virtue of the I&E Prosecutors' execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

With regard to the Office of Consumer Advocate ("OCA") and the Office of Small Business Advocate ("OSBA"), counsel for the OCA and OSBA may afford access to CONFIDENTIAL information to the Consumer Advocate, Deputy Consumer Advocate, and Small Business Advocate (or an individual acting in such capacity), respectively, without the need for execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate. The Consumer Advocate, Deputy Consumer Advocate, and Small Business Advocate (or an individual acting in such capacity) are bound by

all of the provisions of the Protective Order by virtue of the OCA counsel's and OSBA counsel's execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

5. Information deemed as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material, may be provided to a "Reviewing Representative" who has signed a Non-Disclosure Certificate attached as Appendix A and who is:

(a) An attorney for a statutory advocate pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.8 or a counsel who has entered an appearance in this proceeding for a Party;

(b) An attorney, paralegal, or other employee associated for purposes of this case with an attorney described in Paragraph 5(a);

(c) An outside expert or an employee of an outside expert retained by a Party for the purposes of advising, preparing for or testifying in this proceeding; or

(d) A person designated as a Reviewing Representative for purposes of HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material.

With regard to I&E, information deemed as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material shall be made available to the I&E Prosecutors subject to the terms of this Protective Order. The I&E Prosecutors shall use or disclose the HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material only for purposes of preparing or presenting evidence, cross examination, argument, or settlement in this proceeding. To the extent required for participation in this proceeding, the I&E Prosecutors may afford access to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material, only to I&E's experts, without the need for the execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate, who are full-time employees of the Commission and bound by all the provisions of Protective Order by virtue of the I&E Prosecutors' execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

With regard to the OCA and OSBA, counsel for the OCA and OSBA may afford access to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material to the Consumer Advocate, Deputy Consumer

Advocate, and Small Business Advocate (or an individual acting in such capacity), respectively, without the need for the execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate. The Consumer Advocate, Deputy Consumer Advocate, and Small Business Advocate (or an individual acting in such capacity) are bound by all of the provisions of the Protective Order by virtue of the OCA counsel's and OSBA counsel's execution of a Non-Disclosure Certificate.

Provided, further, that in accordance with the provisions of Sections 5.362 and 5.365(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 52 Pa. Code §§ 5.362, 5.365(e), any Party may, by subsequent objection or motion, seek further protection with respect to HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL protected material, including, but not limited to, total prohibition of disclosure or limitation of disclosure only to particular Parties.

6. For purposes of this Protective Order, a Reviewing Representative may not be a "Restricted Person."

(a) A "Restricted Person" shall mean: (i) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any competitor of the parties or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services, or if the employee of such entity's duties involve strategic business decisions and activities in which the use of Proprietary Information could be reasonably expected to cause competitive harm to the parties; (ii) an officer, director, stockholder, partner, or owner of any affiliate of a competitor of the parties (including any association of competitors of the parties) or an employee of such an entity if the employee's duties involve marketing or pricing of the competitor's products or services, or if the employee of such entity's duties involve strategic business decisions and activities in which the use of the Proprietary Information could be reasonably expected to cause competitive harm to the Parties; (iii) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of a competitor of a customer of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific,

identifiable customer of the parties; and (iv) an officer, director, stockholder, owner or employee of an affiliate of a competitor of a customer of the parties if the Proprietary Information concerns a specific, identifiable customer of the parties; provided, however, that no expert shall be disqualified on account of being a stockholder, partner, or owner unless that expert's interest in the business would provide a significant motive for violation of the limitations of permissible use of the Proprietary Information. For purposes of this Protective Order, stocks, partnership or other ownership interests valued at more than \$10,000 or constituting more than a 1% interest in a business (excluding ownership interests where the expert has no direct knowledge of such interest, or control over investment or business decisions, such as a mutual fund) establishes a significant motive for violation.

(b) If an expert for a party, another member of the expert's firm or the expert's firm generally also serves as an expert for, or as a consultant or advisor to, a Restricted Person, said expert must: (i) identify for the parties each Restricted Person and each expert or consultant; (ii) make reasonable attempts to segregate those personnel assisting in the expert's participation in this proceeding from those personnel working on behalf of a Restricted Person; and (iii) if segregation of such personnel is impractical the expert shall give to the producing party written assurances that the lack of segregation will in no way jeopardize the interests of the parties or their customers. The parties retain the right to challenge the adequacy of the written assurances that the parties' or their customers' interests will not be jeopardized. No other persons may have access to the Proprietary Information except as authorized by order of the Commission.

7. A Reviewing Representative that satisfies the requirements of Paragraphs 4(a) through 4(d) or 5(a) through 5(d) above and who is not a "Restricted Person" under Paragraph 6 shall be considered a "qualified" Reviewing Representative. In the event that a Party wishes to designate as a Reviewing Representative a person not described in Paragraphs 4(a) through 4(d)

above, or a person that is a Restricted Person under Paragraph 6, the party shall seek agreement from the party providing the Proprietary Information. If an agreement is reached, that person shall be a Reviewing Representative with respect to those materials. If no agreement is reached, the party shall submit the disputed designation to the presiding Administrative Law Judges for resolution.

8. A qualified “Reviewing Representative” for Proprietary Information may review and discuss Proprietary Information with their client or with the entity with which they are employed or associated, to the extent that the client or entity is not a “Restricted Person”, but may not share with or permit the client or entity to review the “CONFIDENTIAL” information and/or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material. Such discussions must be general in nature and not disclose specific Proprietary Information. Counsel for I&E, OCA and OSBA may share Proprietary Information with the I&E Director and the I&E Deputy Chief Prosecutor, Consumer Advocate, Deputy Consumer Advocate, and Small Business Advocate (or an individual acting in such capacity), respectively, without obtaining a Non-Disclosure Certificate from these individuals, provided however, that these individuals otherwise abide by the terms of this Protective Order.

9. Information deemed Proprietary Information shall not be used except as necessary for the conduct of this proceeding, nor shall it be disclosed in any manner to any person except a Reviewing Representative who is engaged in the conduct of this proceeding and who needs to know the information in order to carry out that person’s responsibilities in this proceeding. Reviewing Representatives may not use information contained in any Proprietary Information obtained through this proceeding to give any party or any competitor of any party a commercial advantage.

10. Reviewing Representatives shall execute a Non-Disclosure Certificate:

(a) A Reviewing Representative shall not be permitted to inspect, participate in discussions regarding, or otherwise be permitted access to Proprietary Information pursuant to this Protective Order unless that Reviewing Representative has first executed a Non-Disclosure Certificate, provided that if an attorney qualified as a Reviewing Representative has executed such a certificate, the paralegals, secretarial and clerical personnel under the attorney's instruction, supervision or control need not do so, nor do Commission employees assisting I&E as noted above in Paragraphs 4 and 5. A copy of each Non-Disclosure Certificate shall be provided to counsel for the Parties asserting confidentiality prior to disclosure of any Proprietary Information to that Reviewing Representative.

(b) Attorneys and outside experts qualified as Reviewing Representatives are responsible for ensuring that persons under their supervision or control comply with this Protective Order.

11. None of the parties waive their right to pursue any other legal or equitable remedies that may be available in the event of actual or anticipated disclosure of Proprietary Information.

12. The parties shall designate data or documents as constituting or containing Proprietary Information by marking the documents "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material. Where only part of data compilations or multi-page documents constitutes or contains Proprietary Information, the parties, insofar as reasonably practicable within discovery and other time constraints imposed in this proceeding, shall designate only the specific data or pages of documents that constitute or contain Proprietary Information. The Proprietary Information shall be served upon the parties hereto only in an envelope (or through electronic service) separate from the nonproprietary materials, and the envelope (or electronic service) shall be conspicuously marked "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL" protected material.

13. That the Commission and all parties, including the statutory advocates and any other agency or department of state government, will consider and treat the Proprietary Information as within the exemptions from disclosure in Section 335(d) of the Public Utility Code, 66 Pa. C.S. § 335(d), and the Pennsylvania Right-to-Know Act, 65 P.S. §§ 67.101 *et seq.* (the “RTKL”), until such information is found by a tribunal with jurisdiction to be not confidential or subject to one or more exemptions. In the event that any person or entity seeks to compel the disclosure of Proprietary Information under Section 335(d) and/or the RTKL, the Commission and/or the party receiving such request shall promptly notify the producing party in order to provide the producing party an opportunity to oppose or limit such disclosure. None of the parties waive their right to pursue any available remedies that may be available in the event of actual or anticipated disclosure of Proprietary Information.

14. Any public reference to Proprietary Information by a party or its Reviewing Representatives shall be to the title or exhibit reference in sufficient detail to permit persons with access to the Proprietary Information to understand fully the reference and not more. The Proprietary Information shall remain a part of the record, to the extent admitted, for all purposes of administrative or judicial review.

15. Part of any record of this proceeding containing Proprietary Information, including but not limited to all exhibits, writings, testimony, cross examination, argument, and responses to discovery, and including reference thereto as mentioned in Paragraph 14 above, shall be sealed for all purposes, including administrative and judicial review, unless such Proprietary Information is released from the restrictions of this Protective Order, either through the agreement of the parties to this proceeding or pursuant to an order of the Commission.

16. The parties shall retain the right to question or challenge the confidential or proprietary nature of Proprietary Information and to question or challenge the admissibility of

Proprietary Information. If a party challenges the designation of a document or information as proprietary, the party providing the information retains the burden of demonstrating that the designation is appropriate. In the event of a question or challenge to the designation of “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material, the Parties shall make a good faith effort to narrow the designation of the Proprietary Information so that information can be provided in a form that is not “CONFIDENTIAL” or “HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL” protected material.

17. If any person who has had access to Proprietary Information subsequently is assigned to perform any duties which would make that person ineligible to be a Reviewing Representative of “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential” information, that person shall immediately inform the producing Party of his or her new duties, shall dispose of any Proprietary Information and any information derived therefrom in his or her possession, and shall continue to comply with the requirements of this Protective Order with regard to the Proprietary Information to which that person previously had access.

18. That no other persons may have access to the Proprietary Information except as authorized by order of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) or the Presiding Administrative Law Judge.

19. The parties shall retain the right to question or challenge the admissibility of Proprietary Information; to object to the production of Proprietary Information on any proper ground; and to refuse to produce Proprietary Information pending the adjudication of the objection.

20. Within 30 days after a Commission final order is entered in the above-captioned proceeding, or in the event of appeals, within thirty days after appeals are finally decided, the parties, upon request, shall either destroy or return to the parties all copies of all documents and other materials not entered into the record, including notes, which contain any Proprietary

Information. In the event that a party elects to destroy all copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information instead of returning the copies of documents and other materials containing Proprietary Information to the parties, the party shall certify in writing to the producing party that the Proprietary Information has been destroyed.

Dated: November __, 2025

Hon. Erin Gannon,
Administrative Law Judge

APPENDIX A

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission : **Docket No. R-2025-3057237**
:
v. :
:
City of Lancaster – Bureau of Water :
:

NON-DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

The undersigned is the _____ of
_____ (the receiving party). The undersigned has
read and understands the Protective Order and the required treatment of Proprietary Information.
The undersigned agrees to be bound by and comply with the terms and conditions of said Protective
Order.

SIGNATURE

NAME (Printed)

ADDRESS

EMPLOYER

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission	:	Docket No. R-2025-3057237
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
City of Lancaster – Bureau of Water	:	
	:	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have, this 4th day of November, 2025, served a true and correct copy of the Petition of the City of Lancaster – Bureau of Water for a Protective Order, upon the persons and in the manner indicated below:

VIA E-FILE AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

PA PUC

Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17105
Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary
mahomsher@pa.gov

OAL

ALJ Erin Gannon
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120
egannon@pa.gov

I&E

Scott Granger, Esquire
Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
sgranger@pa.gov

OCA

Harrison Breitman, Assistant Consumer Advocate
Ryan Morden, Assistant Consumer Advocate
Josiah “Joe” Harmar, Law Clerk
Office of Consumer Advocate
OCALancaster2025@paoca.org

OSBA

Rebecca Lyttle, Esquire
Assistant Small Business Advocate
relyttle@pa.gov

/s/ Courtney L. Schultz

Courtney L. Schultz, Esq.
Kruti B. Patel, Esq.
1735 Market Street, Suite 3400
Philadelphia, PA 19103
(215) 972-7717
Courtney.schultz@saul.com
Kruti.patel@saul.com

*Counsel for the City of Lancaster – Bureau of
Water*