

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Charmaine Prater	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2023-3039169
	:	
PECO Energy Company	:	
Charmaine Prater	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2025-3058086
	:	
PECO Energy Company	:	
Charmaine Custis	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2025-3058088
	:	
PECO Energy Company	:	

ORDER CONSOLIDATING PROCEEDINGS

On March 9, 2023, Charmaine Prater filed a formal complaint against PECO in which she alleged, among other things, improper billing and service termination procedures by PECO and that PECO has illegally blocked her from her accounts. On April 11, 2023, PECO filed an answer in which it denied the material allegations in the complaint.

On September 11, 2025, Ms. Prater filed a formal complaint against PECO in which she again alleged, among other things, improper billing, meter tampering by PECO personnel and improper service termination procedures. On November 11, 2025, PECO filed an answer in which it denied the material allegations in the complaint.

September 10, 2025, the Complainant, using the name Charmaine Custis, filed a formal complaint against PECO again alleging, among other things, improper billing and service termination procedures, as well as meter tampering by PECO personnel. On November 11, 2025, PECO filed an answer in which it denied the material allegations in the complaint.

The Commission's regulation pertaining to Consolidation appears at 52 Pa. Code § 5.81, and states in relevant part:

§ 5.81. Consolidation

(a) The Commission or presiding officer, with or without motion, may order proceedings involving a common question of law or fact to be consolidated. The Commission or presiding officer may make orders concerning the conduct of the proceeding as may avoid unnecessary costs or delay.

52 Pa. Code § 5.81(a).

The question of consolidation is clearly left to the sound discretion of the Commission or presiding officer.

In these cases, the above-captioned proceedings are interrelated and raise common issues of law and fact. All three complaints involve the same parties and involve allegations of, among other things, improper billing and service termination procedures and meter tampering by PECO personnel. Because the disposition of each of these matters is interrelated, the consolidation of these proceedings will promote the efficient use of the time and resources of the parties and the Commission. Consolidation for hearing and adjudication is warranted and in the public interest, the interest of the parties and the interest of the Commission.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the above-captioned cases, bearing Docket Numbers C-2023-3039169, C-2025-3058086, and C-2025-3058088 are consolidated for hearing and adjudication.

Date: November 14, 2025

_____/s/
Steven K. Haas
Administrative Law Judge

C-2023-3039169 - CHARMAINE PRATER v. PECO ENERGY COMPANY-ELECTRIC

CHARMAINE PRATER
2630 NORTH 33RD STREET 1ST BASEMENT
PHILADELPHIA PA 19132
215.494.6439
302.419.3600
chachachapettaway@gmail.com
Served via email November 14, 2025

KHADIJAH SCOTT ESQUIRE
PECO ENERGY COMPANY
2301 MARKET STREET - S23-1
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103
267.533.1830
Khadijah.Scott@exeloncorp.com
Served via eService November 14, 2025