

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Martin Gallas	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2024-3049469
	:	
FirstEnergy Pennsylvania f/k/a Met-Ed	:	

**INTERIM ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINANT'S
REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE OF DECEMBER 3, 2025 HEARING**

By Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing Notice dated August 20, 2024, an Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing was scheduled for October 8, 2024 at 1:00 p.m.

I issued a Prehearing Order on August 22 2024. The Prehearing Order directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and directed that a request to change the scheduled hearing should be sent to me at least five days prior to the hearing date, be in writing and state the agreement or opposition of the other party.

By email received on September 30, 2024, Margaret A. Morris, Esq., Counsel for Respondent, requested a continuance of the October 8, 2024 hearing on the Complainant's behalf, indicating that the Complainant had a conflicting medical appointment. Ms. Morris advised that the Respondent did not oppose the requested continuance. Based on the stated reason for the request and that it was unopposed, I granted the request.

By Cancelled/Rescheduled Initial Telephonic Hearing Notice dated October 1, 2024, the Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing was rescheduled for December 3, 2024, at 10:00 a.m.

By email received on November 6, 2024, the Complainant requested a continuance of the December 3, 2024 hearing due to a previously scheduled trip. The

Complainant attached a copy of a receipt dated September 27, 2024 for a seven-day trip with a December 1, 2024 departure date. The Complainant also advised that the Respondent did not oppose his request for continuance.

By Interim Order dated November 7, 2024, I granted the Complainant's second request for continuance.

By Cancelled/Rescheduled Initial Telephonic Hearing Notice dated November 12, 2024, the December 3, 2024, Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing was rescheduled for January 13, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.

I issued a second Prehearing Order on November 21, 2024. The Prehearing Order again directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and directed that a request to change the scheduled hearing should be sent to me at least five days prior to the hearing date, be in writing and state the agreement or opposition of the other party.

I issued a Corrected Prehearing Order on December 9, 2024. The Corrected Prehearing Order advised the parties that my Legal Assistant changed from Shalea Delvillar to Pamela McNeal. The Corrected Prehearing Order again directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and directed that a request to change the scheduled hearing should be sent to me at least five days prior to the hearing date, be in writing and state the agreement or opposition of the other party.

The January 13, 2025 hearing convened as scheduled. The Complainant appeared *Pro Se* and testified. The Respondent appeared and was represented by Ms. Morris. Ms. Morris had two witnesses available to testify.

During the hearing the Complainant raised concerns that he did not supply all of the bills that he wanted to supply for consideration during the hearing. To accommodate the Complainant, I agreed to reconvene the hearing at a later date to afford him an opportunity to present the bills that he received between June 2021 and June 2024 that he believes he should

have supplied for the hearing. Tr. 41-42. The Complainant, who had already supplied Exhibits C-1, C-2, and C-3, was instructed to provide these bills in chronological order, paginated, and pre-marked as “C-4.” Tr. 42-43. The Complainant was further directed to provide a redacted and an unredacted copy of his exhibits. Tr. 47.

By Further Call-In Telephone Hearing Notice dated January 14, 2025, a further call-in telephonic hearing was scheduled for February 25, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.

By email received on February 18, 2025, the Complainant requested a continuance of the February 25, 2025, hearing. The Complainant indicated that the reason for his request was his confusion regarding instructions for preparation/submission of exhibits from the January 13, 2025, hearing.

By Interim Order dated February 19, 2025, I denied the Complainant’s February 18, 2025, request for continuance.

The February 25, 2025 evidentiary hearing took place as scheduled. Both the Complainant and Respondent appeared and participated in the hearing. Although the Complainant finished presenting his case during the February 25, 2025 hearing, the hearing had to be rescheduled due to the unavailability of the Respondent’s witness in the afternoon.

By Further Call-In Telephone Hearing Notice dated February 27, 2025, a further call-in telephonic hearing was scheduled for April 22, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.

The April 22, 2025 evidentiary hearing took place as scheduled. Both the Complainant and the Respondent appeared and participated in the hearing. It became evident that completing the Complainant’s cross-examination of Respondent’s witness would be problematic by phone, so I advised the parties that the remainder of the hearing would have to be rescheduled as an in-person hearing. Prior to adjourning the hearing, both parties confirmed that they would be available for the rescheduled hearing on July 8, 2025.

By Further In-Person Hearing Notice dated April 23, 2025, a further in-person hearing was scheduled to be held in Philadelphia on July 8, 2025.

I issued a Prehearing Order For In-Person Hearing on May 8, 2025. The Prehearing Order again directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and directed that a request to change the scheduled hearing should be sent to me, via Legal Assistant Eric Ball, at least five days prior to the hearing date, be in writing and state the agreement or opposition of the other party.

By email received on June 30, 2025, the Complainant requested a continuance of the July 8, 2025, hearing “due to healthcare scheduling that has fallen on me for myself and my brother.” Ms. Morris submitted an email advising that the Company takes no position on the requested continuance.

By Interim Order dated July 1, 2025, I granted the Complainant’s request.

By Cancelled/Rescheduled Further In-Person Hearing Notice dated July 1, 2025, a further in-person hearing was scheduled for Wednesday, September 10, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.

The hearing convened on September 10, 2025. Both the Complainant and the Respondent appeared for the scheduled hearing. However, the contracted court reporting agency failed to provide a court reporter for the scheduled hearing, and we were unable to secure a replacement court reporter for the hearing. Consequently, we were forced to reschedule the hearing. At that time, both parties advised that they would be available for a rescheduled hearing on December 3, 2025.

By Further In-Person Hearing Notice dated September 10, 2025, the further in-person hearing was scheduled for Wednesday, December 3, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.

By email received from the Complainant on November 30, 2025, the Complainant requested a continuance of the December 3, 2025, hearing. The Complainant provided the following explanation of his request:

I'm contacting you in order to request a continuance due to doctor's follow up visit I did not think was in conflict to the scheduled hearing set for Wednesday, December 03, 2025. I can, and will provide evidence of the conflict if requested and please accept my apologies in advance for this late request. I have three doctors' appointments in December 2025, it helps control the yearly cost under Medicare to do as many before the new year. I have copied the Companies' attorney for this late request and hope they understand and accept. I knew I had appointments but thought none were in conflict until Friday, I had to go do some tests on Saturday for this visit.

By email received on the morning of December 1, 2025, Ms. Morris advised that the Company would not oppose the requested continuance based on Mr. Gallas' representation that he could provide proof of his unavailability.

By email sent on December 1, 2025, I instructed the Complainant to provide proof of his conflicting doctor's appointment. The Complainant subsequently provided notice of his appointment on December 3, 2025, at 11:40 a.m.

Paragraph 3 of my August 22, 2024, November 21, 2024, December 9, 2024, and May 8, 2025, Prehearing Orders advised the parties that they may request a continuance of the hearing if they have a good reason. My Prehearing Orders further advised that "[t]o request a continuance, you must submit a written request (a 'motion') at least five (5) days before the hearing." My Order further instructed that the motion should include: the case name, case number, and hearing date; the reason you are requesting a continuance; and the other party's position on the request.

Considering the amount of time that has passed since the September 10, 2025, hearing notice was issued and the Complainant's late request for a continuance, I am reluctant to grant his request. The Complainant had nearly three months to verify his schedule to ensure his

availability to appear and participate in the rescheduled hearing. However, I will acknowledge that the Complainant appeared for the September 10, 2025, hearing, and that the reason for the delay at that time was beyond his control. In consideration of the fact that the Complainant appeared for the September 10, 2025, hearing and the fact that the Company does not oppose his current continuance request, I will grant the Complainant's request. The hearing will be rescheduled. The scheduling staff of the Office of Administrative Law Judge will reschedule this matter for an in-person hearing.

PLEASE NOTE: If either party has a scheduling conflict with the rescheduled hearing date, that party must inform my Legal Assistant, Eric Ball,¹ immediately upon receipt of the hearing scheduling notice. Otherwise, the parties will be expected to ensure their availability and attendance at the rescheduled in-person hearing.

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Complainant's November 30, 2025, request for continuance of the hearing in the matter captioned as Martin Gallas v. FirstEnergy Pennsylvania f/k/a Met-Ed at Docket No. C-2024-3049469 is granted.
2. That the hearing scheduled for December 3, 2025, is cancelled.
3. That the scheduling staff of the Office of Administrative Law Judge shall reschedule this matter for an in-person hearing and notify the parties in writing.

¹ Mr. Ball's email address is erball@pa.gov.

4. That if either party has a scheduling conflict with the rescheduled hearing date, that party must inform Legal Assistant Eric Ball immediately upon receipt of the hearing scheduling notice.

Date: December 1, 2025

_____/s/_____
Christopher P. Pell
Deputy Chief Administrative Law Judge

C-2024-3049469 - MARTIN GALLAS v. FIRSTENERGY PENNSYLVANIA ELECTRIC COMPANY

Revised 9/10/2025

MARTIN GALLAS
2630 HUCK LN
EAST STOUDSBURG PA 18302
201.694.7000
mgallas@cmgallas.com
Served via eService December 1, 2025

MARGARET MORRIS ESQUIRE
REGER RIZZO & DARNALL
CIRA CENTRE 13TH FL
2929 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19104
215.495.6524
215.870.5785
mmorris@regerlaw.com
Served via eService December 1, 2025
(Counsel for FirstEnergy Pennsylvania Electric Company)