

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Sean Dancy	:	
	:	
v.	:	C-2025-3057829
	:	
PECO Energy Company	:	

**INTERIM ORDER GRANTING COMPLAINANT’S
MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE**

By Initial Telephonic Hearing Notice dated November 20, 2025, an Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing was scheduled in this matter for January 22, 2026, at 10:00 a.m.

I issued a Prehearing Order on November 21, 2025. The Prehearing Order directed the parties to comply with various procedural requirements and directed that a request to change the scheduled hearing should be sent to me at least five days prior to the hearing date, be in writing and state the agreement or opposition of the other party.

By email received on Friday, December 19, 2025, Sean Dancy requested a continuance of the scheduled hearing. In support of his request, Mr. Dancy referenced his need to provide care for a family member for several weeks following a January 15, 2026, medical appointment. On December 22, 2025, Khadijah Scott, Esquire, then Counsel for PECO Energy Company,¹ advised that PECO did not object to the Complainant’s continuance request.

Paragraph 3 of my November 21, 2025, Prehearing Order advised the parties that they may request a continuance of the hearing if they have a good reason. My Prehearing Order further advised that “[t]o request a continuance, you must serve a written request to me and every

¹ On December 24, 2025, Ms. Scott filed a Withdrawal of Appearance with the Commission at Docket C-2025-3057829. On that same date, Maragaret A. Morris, Esquire, filed a Notice of Appearance on behalf of PECO at the same Docket.

other party (a “motion”) at least five (5) days before the hearing.” My Order further instructed that the motion should include: the case name, case number, and hearing date; the reason you are requesting a continuance; and the other party’s position on the request.

Based on Mr. Dancy’s stated reason for his timely request for continuance, and because the Respondent consents to the request, I find that good cause exists to postpone the January 22, 2026, hearing. Accordingly, the request is granted. A notice canceling the current hearing date and rescheduling it to a future date will be issued.

The parties are reminded that it is the Commission’s policy to encourage settlements (52 Pa. Code § 5.231). The parties are encouraged to talk with each other to resolve this matter or some portion thereof.

ORDER

THEREFORE,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Complainant’s Motion for a Continuance of the hearing captioned as Sean Dancy v. PECO Energy Company at Docket No. C-2025-3057829 is granted; and
2. That the scheduling staff of the Office of Administrative Law Judge shall reschedule this matter for a hearing and notify the parties in writing.

Date: January 6, 2026

Christopher P. Pell
Deputy Chief Administrative Law Judge

C-2025-3057829 - SEAN DANCY v. PECO ENERGY COMPANY-ELECTRIC
Revised 12/30/2025

SEAN C DANCY
P O BOX 462
LEVITTOWN PA 19058-0462
917.406.3047
seandancy@yahoo.com
Served via eService January 6, 2026

MARGARET MORRIS ESQUIRE
REGER RIZZO & DARNALL
CIRA CENTRE 13TH FL
2929 ARCH STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19104
215.495.6524
215.870.5785
mmorris@regerlaw.com
Served via eService January 6, 2026
(Counsel for PECO Energy Company)