

Farren, Emily

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, August 29, 2025 1:19 PM
To: Amolo, Susan
Cc: Farren, Emily; Wade, Alice; Ryan, Devin; Bayda, Shelbie Frederick; Bartolomei, Michelle Lynne
Subject: [External] Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation - Docket No: C-2025-3055550 - Hearing Exhibits

ATTENTION: *This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the [Report Phishing button in Outlook](#).*

Your office was supposed to call me back and discuss an agreement and what PPL might do to address the fraud that they have ignored for over ten years. I also just received a letter this week from PPL stating that I'm only behind on payments in the amount of \$198 and some odd change. It seems like some nefarious activity is going on with your office and PPL.

On Fri, Aug 29, 2025, 1:15 PM Amolo, Susan <SAmolo@postschell.com> wrote:

Good day Your Honor,

Pursuant to the Prehearing Order issued on July 16, 2025, attached are copies of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation's ("PPL Electric") exhibits that it plans to utilize at the telephonic evidentiary hearing in the above-referenced proceeding, which has been scheduled for **10:00 AM on September 8, 2025**:

PPL Exhibit 1 - Account Activity

PPL Exhibit 2 - Customer Contacts

PPL Exhibit 3 - Payment Agreements

PPL Exhibit 4 - BCS Case April 29, 2025

PPL Exhibit 5 - Account Activity

PPL Exhibit 6 - Customer Contacts

PPL Exhibit 7 - BCS Case July 19, 2023

PPL Exhibit 8 - Letters to Customer

PPL Exhibit 9 - OnTrack Letters

PPL Exhibit 10 - Form Winter Collection Notice

PPL Exhibit 11- Form Termination Notice

For the convenience of Michael Allison (“Complainant”), the dial-in information for the telephonic evidentiary hearing is as follows:

Bridge Number: 888.387.8560

PIN: 69874953

In addition, PPL Electric notes that pursuant to Paragraph 2 of the Prehearing Order issued on July 16, 2025, in this proceeding, **“You may lose the case if you do not take part in this hearing and present evidence on the issues raised. Your case may be dismissed ‘with prejudice’ which means that you will be barred from filing another complaint raising the same claim(s) and issue(s) presented in the dismissed complaint.”**

Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Thank you,

Susan Amolo

Legal Administrative Assistant

Post & Schell, P.C.

17 North Second Street

12th Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

717-612-6018 (Phone)

717-731-1985 (Fax)

samolo@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

This message is from the law firm Post & Schell, P.C. . This message and any attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information, and are intended only for the individual or entity identified above as the addressee. If you are not the addressee, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, you are not authorized to read, copy, or distribute this message and any attachments, and we ask that you please delete this message and attachments (including all copies) and notify the sender by return e-mail or by phone at 215-587-1000. Delivery of this message and any attachments to any person other than the intended recipient(s) is not intended in any way to waive confidentiality or a privilege. All personal messages express views only of the sender, which are not to be attributed to Post & Schell, P.C., and may not be copied or distributed without this statement.

Farren, Emily

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 10:06 AM
To: Farren, Emily
Cc: Wade, Alice; Ryan, Devin; Rulli, Megan
Subject: [External] Motion for Continuance- Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation - Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the [Report Phishing button in Outlook](#).

Good morning Your Honor,

I am requesting a continuance at this time. There are two reasons for the continuance request and both incidents occurred at the last minute and that is why my request is coming in so late. The attorney on record and myself had a discussion a couple of weeks ago in which she informed me of a deal that her client wanted to make. The offer was simply a lower payment plan which I had previously requested from the client directly due to the loss of my job and all of my income. I informed the attorney that I would be willing to discuss that offer but wanted a response from her client about unanswered fraud claims that have been made to their client directly for over ten years now. The attorney reassured me that she would at the very least look into that and get back to me. I have heard nothing from the attorney and it appears that they have attempted to trick me into not preparing for trial while they were ready to move forward with the case the entire time and not actually move forward with the offer that was previously mentioned. We spoke on the phone earlier this morning and the attorney had informed me that she has not heard back from the client about the requested information and it seemed as if she had not even requested it in the first place.

The second reason for requesting the continuance is simply that I will be out of town and driving for the majority of the day. This was also a last minute scheduled event, although I would be willing to take the trial by phone on the road, I do not believe it would be safe or even legal, depending on the state, for me to take a call while driving. Thank you so much for your assistance and understanding in this matter.

Michael Allison
305 434 2176

Farren, Emily

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2025 12:02 PM
To: Wade, Alice
Cc: Farren, Emily; Ryan, Devin
Subject: [External] Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation - Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ATTENTION: *This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the [Report Phishing button in Outlook](#).*

Your honor, I do not have any issues with the rescheduling of this event.

On a separate matter I would like to request that this particular counselor be removed from this case. There is clear and present evidence of fraudulent activity being reported to the attorney and their client. They have both denied that those claims were made by me when they are clearly recorded on multiple phone calls. I intend on seeking counsel as well as filing reports with the PA state disciplinary board over these egregious actions or rather yet, inactions.

Furthermore, there was a call made by this counselor where a settlement was offered by her client and the counselor had promised to follow up with me about that on more than one occasion but has turned around and acted as if that call never even happened. I'm not familiar with the courts and proceedings but actions like that seem unethical and appears to be nothing more than a stall tactic.

This client has recently lost a lawsuit due to fraudulent actions perpetrated by internal actors and this appears to be fitting right in line with the morals that this company has shown in the past.

Lastly, I have requested financial information from this counselor on behalf of their client. It is my honest belief that without this information the counselor, myself and even the courts would find it impossible to even begin investigating what or if ANY money is owed to either party. The information requested should be easily obtainable as this is a public utility that we as consumers are required to have. Their financial reports should be readily available to any and everyone who is a current or past customer.

On Fri, Oct 10, 2025, 11:42 AM Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@postschell.com> wrote:

Your Honor,

I am counsel for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation ("PPL Electric" in the above-referenced matter, Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation - Docket No: C-2025-3055550. PPL Electric respectfully requests a reschedule of the above-captioned matter. The matter is currently scheduled for Tuesday, October 21, 2025, at 1 pm. Good cause exists for this request as PPL Electric's counsel is unavailable due to attendance at an out-of-state scheduled training that cannot be rescheduled.

I have corresponded with the Complainant in this matter, who has indicated that he does not object to the requested reschedule. Mr. Allison is cc'ed here and can provide additional details as he sees fit.

Please let me know if you would like me to submit this request as a formal motion.

Very Respectfully,

Alice Wade

Associate

Post & Schell, P.C.

One Oxford Centre

[301 Grant Street](#)

[Suite 3010](#)

[Pittsburgh, PA 15219](#)

412-506-6364 (Phone)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

Alice.wade@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

This message is from the law firm Post & Schell, P.C. . This message and any attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information, and are intended only for the individual or entity identified above as the addressee. If you are not the addressee, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, you are not authorized to read, copy, or distribute this message and any attachments, and we ask that you please delete this message and attachments (including all copies) and notify the sender by return e-mail or by phone at 215-587-1000. Delivery of this message and any attachments to any person other than the intended recipient(s) is not intended in any way to waive confidentiality or a privilege. All personal messages express views only of the sender, which are not to be attributed to Post & Schell, P.C., and may not be copied or distributed without this statement.

Farren, Emily

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 20, 2025 9:44 AM
To: Wade, Alice
Cc: Farren, Emily; Ryan, Devin
Subject: [External] Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation - Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the [Report Phishing button in Outlook](#).

Your honor their entire claim is fraudulent. PPL is currently charging me when I clearly called them over a month ago and formally requested for services in my name to be terminated as I was moving. They are currently committing fraud against me. I do not know what to do at this point and they continuously call me and at this point I do not see how this is anything other than harassment.

On Wed, Oct 15, 2025, 9:59 AM Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@postschell.com> wrote:

Your Honor,

To respond briefly to some of the issues Mr. Allison has raised, PPL Electric opposes Mr. Allison's requests and disagrees with his characterizations of his communications with counsel. To clarify some of the claims Mr. Allison raises, PPL Electric did extend a settlement offer to Mr. Allison and is open to continue engaging in settlement discussions with Mr. Allison. Mr. Allison has made several informal discovery requests. PPL Electric has responded to some of these requests and declined to respond to others. To the extent Mr. Allison would still like to pursue the information that PPL Electric has declined to provide, Mr. Allison is welcome to submit formal discovery requests, which PPL Electric will object to or respond to in accordance with the Commission's regulations.

Very Respectfully,

Alice Wade

Associate

Post & Schell, P.C.

One Oxford Centre

[301 Grant Street](#)

[Suite 3010](#)

[Pittsburgh, PA 15219](#)

412-506-6364 (Phone)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

Alice.wade@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 10, 2025 12:02 PM
To: Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@PostSchell.com>
Cc: Farren, Emily <efarren@pa.gov>; Ryan, Devin <DRyan@PostSchell.com>
Subject: Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation - Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ALERT: This message originated outside of Post & Schell's network. BE CAUTIOUS before clicking any link or attachment.

Your honor, I do not have any issues with the rescheduling of this event.

On a separate matter I would like to request that this particular counselor be removed from this case. There is clear and present evidence of fraudulent activity being reported to the attorney and their client. They have both denied that those claims were made by me when they are clearly recorded on multiple phone calls. I intend on seeking counsel as well as filing reports with the PA state disciplinary board over these egregious actions or rather yet, inactions.

Furthermore, there was a call made by this counselor where a settlement was offered by her client and the counselor had promised to follow up with me about that on more than one occasion but has turned around and acted as if that call never even happened. I'm not familiar with the courts and proceedings but actions like that seem unethical and appears to be nothing more than a stall tactic.

This client has recently lost a lawsuit due to fraudulent actions perpetrated by internal actors and this appears to be fitting right in line with the morals that this company has shown in the past.

Lastly, I have requested financial information from this counselor on behalf of their client. It is my honest belief that without this information the counselor, myself and even the courts would find it impossible to even begin investigating what or if ANY money is owed to either party. The information requested should be easily obtainable as this is a public utility that we as consumers are required to have. Their financial reports should be readily available to any and everyone who is a current or past customer.

On Fri, Oct 10, 2025, 11:42 AM Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@postschell.com> wrote:

Your Honor,

I am counsel for PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (“PPL Electric” in the above-referenced matter, Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation - Docket No: C-2025-3055550. PPL Electric respectfully requests a reschedule of the above-captioned matter. The matter is currently scheduled for Tuesday, October 21, 2025, at 1 pm. Good cause exists for this request as PPL Electric’s counsel is unavailable due to attendance at an out-of-state scheduled training that cannot be rescheduled.

I have corresponded with the Complainant in this matter, who has indicated that he does not object to the requested reschedule. Mr. Allison is cc’ed here and can provide additional details as he sees fit.

Please let me know if you would like me to submit this request as a formal motion.

Very Respectfully,

Alice Wade

Associate

Post & Schell, P.C.

One Oxford Centre

[301 Grant Street](#)

[Suite 3010](#)

[Pittsburgh, PA 15219](#)

412-506-6364 (Phone)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

Alice.wade@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

This message is from the law firm Post & Schell, P.C. . This message and any attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information, and are intended only for the individual or entity identified above as the addressee. If you are not the addressee, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, you are not authorized to read, copy, or distribute this message and any attachments, and we ask that you please delete this message and attachments (including all copies) and notify the sender by return e-mail or by phone at 215-587-1000. Delivery of this message and any attachments to any person other than the intended recipient(s) is not intended in any way to waive confidentiality or a privilege. All personal messages express views only of the sender, which are not to be attributed to Post & Schell, P.C., and may not be copied or distributed without this statement.

Farren, Emily

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 1, 2025 1:40 PM
To: Corey, Brittney
Cc: Farren, Emily; Ryan, Devin; Wade, Alice; Connolly, Thomas; Bayda, Shelbie Frederick; Bartolomei, Michelle Lynne
Subject: [External] Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the [Report Phishing button in Outlook](#).

Your honor I'm still waiting to hear this supposed offer that Miss Wade has mentioned more than once.

On Mon, Dec 1, 2025, 1:37 PM Corey, Brittney <BCorey@postschell.com> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Pursuant to the Cancelled/Rescheduled Initial Telephonic Hearing Notice dated October 14, 2025, attached are copies of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation's ("PPL Electric") exhibits. PPL Electric plans to utilize these exhibits at the telephonic evidentiary hearing in the above-referenced proceeding, which has been scheduled for **10:00 AM on December 8, 2025:**

PPL Exhibit 1 - Account Activity, Updated

PPL Exhibit 2 - Customer Contacts, Updated

PPL Exhibit 3 - Payment Agreements

PPL Exhibit 4 - BCS Case April 29, 2025

PPL Exhibit 5 - Account Activity

PPL Exhibit 6 - Customer Contacts

PPL Exhibit 7 - BCS Case July 19, 2023

PPL Exhibit 8 - Letters to Customer

PPL Exhibit 9 - OnTrack Letters

PPL Exhibit 10 - Form Winter Collection Notice

PPL Exhibit 11- Form Termination Notice

For the convenience of Michael Allison (“Complainant”), the dial-in information for the telephonic evidentiary hearing is as follows:

Bridge Number: 888.387.8560

PIN: 69874953

In addition, PPL Electric notes that pursuant to Paragraph 2 of the Prehearing Order issued on September 8, 2025, in this proceeding, **“You may lose the case if you do not take part in this hearing and present evidence on the issues raised. Your case may be dismissed ‘with prejudice’ which means that you will be barred from filing another complaint raising the same claim(s) and issue(s) presented in the dismissed complaint.”**

Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully,

Brittney Corey

Legal Administrative Assistant

Post & Schell, PC

One Oxford Centre

[301 Grant Street](#)

[Suite 3010](#)

[Pittsburgh, PA 15219](#)

412-506-6357 (Phone)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

bcorey@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

This message is from the law firm Post & Schell, P.C. . This message and any attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information, and are intended only for the individual or entity identified above as the addressee. If you are not the addressee, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, you are not authorized to read, copy, or distribute this message and any attachments, and we ask that you please delete this message and attachments (including all copies) and notify the sender by return e-mail or by phone at 215-587-1000. Delivery of this message and any attachments to any person other than the intended recipient(s) is not intended in any way to waive confidentiality or a privilege. All personal messages express views only of the sender, which are not to be attributed to Post & Schell, P.C., and may not be copied or distributed without this statement.

Farren, Emily

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 9:35 AM
To: Wade, Alice
Cc: Farren, Emily; Ryan, Devin
Subject: [External] Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open links or attachments from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the [Report Phishing button in Outlook](#).

Your honor I would like to formally file a complaint against miss Wade and the Post & Schell lawfirm as a witness list was never provided to me in accordance with Pennsylvania state law. They have been pulling shady tactics and providing partial information this entire time. I'm not an attorney but I thought there were laws regarding a fair trial and they seem to be acting in bad faith.

On Mon, Dec 8, 2025, 9:20 AM Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com> wrote:

I am in support of this continuance. The records supplied to me by PPL are insufficient and lacking. I do not feel like I am amply prepared as a revised offer was just sent to me last week. The offer was drastically changed from the original. Furthermore some of my time and attention was another fraud case with PPL where they continued to fraudulently charge me for services after calling and canceling said services on a recorded line. The PUC ruled in my favor.

On Mon, Dec 8, 2025, 9:06 AM Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@postschell.com> wrote:

Your Honor,

I am counsel for PPL Electric in Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corp., Docket No. C-2025-3055550. PPL Electric respectfully requests that the evidentiary hearing in this matter, currently scheduled for today, December 8, 2025, at 10:00 a.m., be rescheduled. The witness the Company intended to call in this proceeding is unexpectedly not available due to illness. I was only informed this morning that the witness would not attend the hearing. Good cause to reschedule the hearing exists as this last-minute issue regarding the witness will compromise the Company's ability to defend itself in this proceeding. I reached the Complainant by phone this morning, but based on our conversation cannot clearly represent his position. He is cede, however, and can clarify whether he objects to the request.

As such, PPL Electric respectfully requests the hearing be rescheduled.

Please let me know if you would like me to submit this request as a formal motion.

Very Respectfully,

Alice Wade

Associate

Post & Schell, P.C.

One Oxford Centre

[301 Grant Street](#)

[Suite 3010](#)

[Pittsburgh, PA 15219](#)

412-506-6364 (Phone)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

Alice.wade@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

This message is from the law firm Post & Schell, P.C. . This message and any attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information, and are intended only for the individual or entity identified above as the addressee. If you are not the addressee, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, you are not authorized to read, copy, or distribute this message and any attachments, and we ask that you please delete this message and attachments (including all copies) and notify the sender by return e-mail or by phone at 215-587-1000. Delivery of this message and any attachments to any person other than the intended recipient(s) is not intended in any way to waive confidentiality or a privilege. All personal messages express views only of the sender, which are not to be attributed to Post & Schell, P.C., and may not be copied or distributed without this statement.

Farren, Emily

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 6:45 PM
To: Wade, Alice
Cc: Corey, Brittney; Farren, Emily; Ryan, Devin; Connolly, Thomas; Bartolomei, Michelle Lynne; Bayda, Shelbie Frederick
Subject: [External] Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Docket No: C-2025-305550

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open attachments or click links from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the [Report Phishing button in Outlook](#).

MOTION TO PRECLUDE DEFENDANT’S LATE-DISCLOSED WITNESS

NOW COMES the Petitioner, pro se, and respectfully moves this Honorable Administrative Law Judge to preclude the testimony of Defendant’s newly disclosed witness, and in support thereof states as follows:

1. This matter is scheduled for hearing on 02/02/2026.
2. Pursuant to the applicable procedural rules and this tribunal’s discovery schedule, discovery was required to be completed **no later than five (5) business days prior to the hearing**.
3. On **01/27/2026**, only **four (4) business days before the scheduled hearing**, Respondent disclosed for the first time its intention to call **Dana Delong** as a fact witness.
4. Respondent failed to disclose:
 - o The subject matter of the witness’s testimony;
 - o The specific facts to which the witness would testify; or
 - o Any documents or evidence upon which the witness would rely.
5. Respondent further asserted a purported right to “offer additional witnesses” which is inconsistent with the discovery cutoff and fundamental principles of due process and fair notice.
6. The late disclosure and lack of substantive information prevents Petitioner from:
 - o Preparing meaningful cross-examination;
 - o Assessing relevance or admissibility;
 - o Investigating or rebutting the testimony.
7. Allowing such testimony would result in **unfair surprise and prejudice** to Petitioner, particularly where Respondent is represented by counsel and Petitioner is proceeding pro se.
8. Any information known to this witness was reasonably available to Respondent prior to the discovery cutoff and could have been disclosed in a timely manner.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that this Honorable Administrative Law Judge:

- a. Preclude Respondent from calling **Dana Delong** at the hearing;
- b. Alternatively, limit the witness’s testimony strictly to matters fully disclosed prior to the discovery cutoff; and
- c. Grant such other relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,
Michael Allison

Petitioner, Pro Se
01/27/2026

On Tue, Jan 27, 2026 at 9:43 AM Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@postschell.com> wrote:

Good morning,

At this time, PPL Electric intends to call Ms. Dana DeLong, Senior Customer Service Representative as a witness. PPL Electric reserves the right to offer additional witnesses. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Very Respectfully,

Alice Wade

Associate

Post & Schell, P.C.

One Oxford Centre

301 Grant Street

Suite 3010

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

412-506-6364 (Phone)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

Alice.wade@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, January 26, 2026 1:12 PM

To: Corey, Brittney <BCorey@PostSchell.com>

Cc: Farren, Emily <efarren@pa.gov>; Ryan, Devin <DRyan@PostSchell.com>; Wade, Alice

<Alice.Wade@PostSchell.com>; Connolly, Thomas <TConnolly@PostSchell.com>; Bartolomei, Michelle Lynne

<mlbartolomei@pplweb.com>; Bayda, Shelbie Frederick <sfbayda@pplweb.com>

Subject: Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ALERT: This message originated outside of Post & Schell's network. BE CAUTIOUS before clicking any link or attachment.

I don't see a witness listed. Am I correct in assuming that you will not be calling on a witness now?

On Mon, Jan 26, 2026, 1:07 PM Corey, Brittney <BCorey@postschell.com> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Pursuant to the Prehearing Order issued on December 9, 2025, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation (“PPL Electric”) gives notice that it will rely on the same exhibits it served all parties on December 1, 2025. For the convenience of the parties, PPL is serving these exhibits again via email. PPL Electric plans to utilize these exhibits at the telephonic evidentiary hearing in the above-referenced proceeding, which has been scheduled for **10:00 AM on Monday, February 2, 2026:**

PPL Exhibit 1 - Account Activity

PPL Exhibit 2 - Customer Contacts

PPL Exhibit 3 - Payment Agreements

PPL Exhibit 4 - BCS Case April 29, 2025

PPL Exhibit 5 - Account Activity

PPL Exhibit 6 - Customer Contacts

PPL Exhibit 7 - BCS Case July 19, 2023

PPL Exhibit 8 - Letters to Customer

PPL Exhibit 9 - OnTrack Letters

PPL Exhibit 10 - Form Winter Collection Notice

PPL Exhibit 11- Form Termination Notice

For the convenience of Michael Allison (“Complainant”), the dial-in information for the telephonic evidentiary hearing is as follows:

Bridge Number: 888.387.8560

PIN: 69874953

In addition, PPL Electric notes that pursuant to Paragraph 2 of the Prehearing Order issued on December 9, 2025, in this proceeding, **“You may lose the case if you do not take part in this hearing and present evidence on the issues raised. Your case may be dismissed ‘with prejudice’ which means that you will be barred from filing another complaint raising the same claim(s) and issue(s) presented in the dismissed complaint.”**

Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully,

Brittney Corey

Legal Administrative Assistant

Post & Schell, PC

One Oxford Centre

[301 Grant Street](#)

[Suite 3010](#)

[Pittsburgh, PA 15219](#)

412-506-6357 (Phone)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

bcorey@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

This message is from the law firm Post & Schell, P.C. . This message and any attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information, and are intended only for the individual or entity identified above as the addressee. If you are not the addressee, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, you are not authorized to read, copy, or distribute this message and any attachments, and we ask that you please delete this message and attachments (including all copies) and notify the sender by return e-mail or by phone at 215-587-1000. Delivery of this message and any attachments to any person other than the intended recipient(s) is not intended in any way to waive confidentiality or a privilege. All personal messages express views only of the sender, which are not to be attributed to Post & Schell, P.C., and may not be copied or distributed without this statement.

Farren, Emily

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2026 8:27 PM
To: Wade, Alice
Cc: Farren, Emily; Corey, Brittney; Ryan, Devin; Connolly, Thomas; Bartolomei, Michelle Lynne; Bayda, Shelbie Frederick
Subject: [External] Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ATTENTION: This email message is from an external sender. Do not open attachments or click links from unknown senders. To report suspicious email, use the [Report Phishing button in Outlook](#).

Petitioner respectfully replies to Respondent's opposition and states as follows:

1. Petitioner does not assert that Commission regulations require advance disclosure of all non-expert witnesses by name.
2. Rather, Petitioner's motion is based on **fundamental fairness and lack of meaningful notice** in a formal hearing governed by the PUC's Rules of Practice and Procedure, as expressly stated in Paragraph 15 of the Prehearing Order.
3. Respondent disclosed the identity of a proposed fact witness only four business days before the hearing and failed to disclose the subject matter or substance of the witness's testimony.
4. Disclosure of a witness's name alone, without identification of the facts to be offered, does not permit meaningful preparation for cross-examination, investigation, or rebuttal and results in unfair surprise.
5. The Prehearing Order establishes a five-business-day preparation framework for evidence and emphasizes that the hearing may be a party's sole opportunity to present evidence, further underscoring the need for adequate notice.
6. Respondent's assertion that there is "no prejudice" is conclusory and does not address Petitioner's inability to prepare due to the absence of substantive disclosure.
7. Any reliance on off-record discussions does not cure prejudice or substitute for notice on the record.

Accordingly, Petitioner respectfully requests that Respondent's witness be precluded, or alternatively, that the witness's testimony be strictly limited to matters fully disclosed prior to the discovery cutoff.

Respectfully submitted,
Michael Allison
Petitioner, Pro Se

On Wed, Jan 28, 2026 at 5:00 PM Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@postschell.com> wrote:

Your Honor,

PPL Electric opposes both Mr. Allison's motions, the Motion for the Recusal of the ALJ and Motion to Preclude PPL Electric's Witness sent via email yesterday.

Specifically, regarding Mr. Allison's Motion to Preclude PPL Electric's witness, based on the witness being "newly disclosed", PPL Electric notes that there is no disclosure requirement for non-expert witnesses in PUC proceedings. Ms. DeLong is a fact witness. PPL Electric further notes that neither the prehearing order nor the hearing notice issued for this matter required notice of witnesses. Though an off-record discussion took place prior to the previously scheduled hearing between PPL Electric Counsel, the ALJ, and Mr. Allison; I do not recall PPL Electric being required at that time to disclose the identity of witnesses. In fact, it was noted during that conversation that PPL Electric is not required to disclose its witness by the controlling regulations. Even if such a requirement was discussed, Mr. Allison inquired about whether a witness would be present on January 26, 2026, and was provided the name of the proposed witness in response to that request on January 27, 2026. Thus, there has been no prejudice to the Complainant. PPL Electric therefore requests that Mr. Allison's motion to preclude PPL Electric's witness be denied, as PPL Electric has complied with Commission regulation as well as the Prehearing Order and Hearing Notice issued in this proceeding.

Very Respectfully,

Alice Wade

Associate

Post & Schell, P.C.

One Oxford Centre

301 Grant Street

Suite 3010

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

412-506-6364 (Phone)

703-955-2486 (Cell)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

Alice.wade@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 27, 2026 6:51 PM
To: Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@PostSchell.com>
Cc: Corey, Brittney <BCorey@PostSchell.com>; Farren, Emily <efarren@pa.gov>; Ryan, Devin <DRyan@PostSchell.com>; Connolly, Thomas <TConnolly@PostSchell.com>; Bartolomei, Michelle Lynne <mlbartolomei@pplweb.com>; Bayda, Shelbie Frederick <sfbayda@pplweb.com>
Subject: Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ALERT: This message originated outside of Post & Schell's network. BE CAUTIOUS before clicking any link or attachment.

MOTION FOR RECUSAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

NOW COMES the Petitioner, pro se, and respectfully moves for recusal of the presiding Administrative Law Judge, and in support thereof states as follows:

1. Petitioner recognizes and respects the authority of this tribunal and does not bring this motion lightly.
2. This motion is based solely on concerns regarding the **appearance of impartiality**, as required to ensure fundamental fairness and public confidence in adjudicatory proceedings.
3. During the prior hearing in this matter on 12/08/2025, the presiding Administrative Law Judge requested that certain statements not be recorded by the court.
4. Following that request, the Administrative Law Judge reprimanded Petitioner for questioning Respondent's litigation tactics, including the late introduction of information.
5. During this exchange, the Administrative Law Judge made statements emphasizing Respondent's counsel's education, financial investment, and professional status, while contrasting that status with Petitioner's lack of legal training as a pro se litigant.
6. These comments were made while Petitioner was attempting to raise procedural objections regarding evidence and disclosure issues.
7. No comparable admonishment was directed to Respondent's counsel, despite repeated late disclosures and procedural irregularities raised by Petitioner.
8. The effect of these actions and statements was to:
 - o Discourage Petitioner from raising procedural objections;
 - o Create the appearance that Respondent's counsel was being afforded greater credibility or deference;
 - o Undermine the appearance of a neutral and even-handed tribunal.
9. Petitioner does not allege actual bias, but respectfully submits that a reasonable person could question whether Petitioner can receive a fair and impartial hearing under these circumstances.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner respectfully requests that this matter be reassigned to a different Administrative Law Judge, or that such other relief be granted as necessary to preserve the appearance of fairness and impartiality.

Respectfully submitted,
Michael Allison
Petitioner, Pro Se
01/27/2026

On Tue, Jan 27, 2026 at 9:43 AM Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@postschell.com> wrote:

Good morning,

At this time, PPL Electric intends to call Ms. Dana DeLong, Senior Customer Service Representative as a witness. PPL Electric reserves the right to offer additional witnesses. Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns.

Very Respectfully,

Alice Wade

Associate

Post & Schell, P.C.

One Oxford Centre

301 Grant Street

Suite 3010

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

412-506-6364 (Phone)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

Alice.wade@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

From: Mike Allison <mikea383@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, January 26, 2026 1:12 PM

To: Corey, Brittney <BCorey@PostSchell.com>

Cc: Farren, Emily <efarren@pa.gov>; Ryan, Devin <DRyan@PostSchell.com>; Wade, Alice <Alice.Wade@PostSchell.com>; Connolly, Thomas <TConnolly@PostSchell.com>; Bartolomei, Michelle Lynne <mlbartolomei@pplweb.com>; Bayda, Shelbie Frederick <sfbayda@pplweb.com>

Subject: Re: Michael Allison v. PPL Electric Utilities Corporation Docket No: C-2025-3055550

ALERT: This message originated outside of Post & Schell's network. BE CAUTIOUS before clicking any link or attachment.

I don't see a witness listed. Am I correct in assuming that you will not be calling on a witness now?

On Mon, Jan 26, 2026, 1:07 PM Corey, Brittney <BCorey@postschell.com> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Pursuant to the Prehearing Order issued on December 9, 2025, PPL Electric Utilities Corporation ("PPL Electric") gives notice that it will rely on the same exhibits it served all parties on December 1, 2025. For the convenience of the parties, PPL is serving these exhibits again via email. PPL Electric plans to utilize these exhibits at the telephonic evidentiary hearing in the above-referenced proceeding, which has been scheduled for **10:00 AM on Monday, February 2, 2026:**

PPL Exhibit 1 - Account Activity

PPL Exhibit 2 - Customer Contacts

PPL Exhibit 3 - Payment Agreements

PPL Exhibit 4 - BCS Case April 29, 2025

PPL Exhibit 5 - Account Activity

PPL Exhibit 6 - Customer Contacts

PPL Exhibit 7 - BCS Case July 19, 2023

PPL Exhibit 8 - Letters to Customer

PPL Exhibit 9 - OnTrack Letters

PPL Exhibit 10 - Form Winter Collection Notice

PPL Exhibit 11- Form Termination Notice

For the convenience of Michael Allison (“Complainant”), the dial-in information for the telephonic evidentiary hearing is as follows:

Bridge Number: 888.387.8560

PIN: 69874953

In addition, PPL Electric notes that pursuant to Paragraph 2 of the Prehearing Order issued on December 9, 2025, in this proceeding, **“You may lose the case if you do not take part in this hearing and present evidence on the issues raised. Your case may be dismissed ‘with prejudice’ which means that you will be barred from filing another complaint raising the same claim(s) and issue(s) presented in the dismissed complaint.”**

Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully,

Brittney Corey

Legal Administrative Assistant

Post & Schell, PC

One Oxford Centre

[301 Grant Street](#)

[Suite 3010](#)

[Pittsburgh, PA 15219](#)

412-506-6357 (Phone)

412-227-9065 (Fax)

bcorey@postschell.com

www.postschell.com

This message is from the law firm Post & Schell, P.C. . This message and any attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information, and are intended only for the individual or entity identified above as the addressee. If you are not the addressee, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, you are not authorized to read, copy, or distribute this message and any attachments, and we ask that you please delete this message and attachments (including all copies) and notify the sender by return e-mail or by phone at 215-587-1000. Delivery of this message and any attachments to any person other than the intended recipient(s) is not intended in any way to waive confidentiality or a privilege. All personal messages express views only of the sender, which are not to be attributed to Post & Schell, P.C., and may not be copied or distributed without this statement.