

February 17, 2026

Via Electronic Filing

Matthew Homsher, Esquire
PA Public Utility Commission
Secretary
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

**Re: Docket No. C-2024-3052605
Denise Mitchell v. PECO Energy Company
Unopposed Motion for Continuance**

Dear Secretary Homsher:

Attached for filing is the unopposed Motion of PECO Energy Company for a Continuance of the Call-in Telephonic Hearing scheduled for Thursday, February 26, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

A copy of the Motion has been provided to Complainant in the manner indicated on the enclosed Certificate of Service.

If there are any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP



Margaret A. Morris

MAM/co
Enclosures

cc: The Hon. Barbara Shadie Nause, Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission [w/encls.]
Anthony Gay, Esquire, PECO Energy Company [w/encls.]
Denise Mitchell [w/encls.]

**Re: Docket No. C-2024-3052605
Denise Mitchell v. PECO Energy Company
Unopposed Motion for Continuance**


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served upon the following persons on the attached service list, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

Via Electronic Mail

Denise Mitchell
dwm19150@aol.com

Dated: February 17, 2026


Margaret A. Morris, Esquire

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

DENISE MITCHELL

v.

PECO ENERGY COMPANY

:
:
:
:
:

Docket No. C-2024-3052605

NOTICE TO PLEAD

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.103, you are hereby notified that if you do not file a written response answering the enclosed Motion for Continuance of PECO Energy Company **within five (5) days from service of this notice**, the facts set forth by of PECO Energy Company in the Motion for Continuance may be deemed to be true, whereby requiring no other proof. All pleadings, such as an Answer to Motion for Continuance, must be filed with the Secretary of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, with a copy served to counsel for PECO Energy Company, Margaret A. Morris, Esq., and the Honorable Barbara Shadie Nause, the Administrative Law Judge presiding over the issue.

File by Mail or e-file with:


Matthew Homsher, Esquire
Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

With a copy to:

Margaret A. Morris, Esquire
Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP
Cira Centre, 13th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19104
mmorris@regerlaw.com

Date: February 17, 2026

The Hon. Barbara Shadie Nause
c/o pmcneal@pa.gov



Margaret A. Morris, Esquire
Attorney ID No. 75048
Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP
Cira Centre, 13th Floor
2929 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
(215) 495-6524 (tel.)
mmorris@regerlaw.com

Counsel for PECO Energy Company

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

DENISE MITCHELL	:	
	:	
v.	:	Docket No. C-2024-3052605
	:	
PECO ENERGY COMPANY	:	

**UNOPPOSED MOTION OF PECO ENERGY COMPANY FOR A CONTINUANCE OF
THE SCHEDULED HEARING**

PECO Energy Company (Respondent or Company), by and through its attorneys, Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP, and pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.15, respectfully requests that the Honorable Barbara Shadie Nause (Judge Shadie Nause) of the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Commission) grant its unopposed Motion for Continuance (Motion) and issue an order continuing the scheduled Call-in Telephonic Hearing for February 26, 2026 (Hearing) as the Company’s fact witness, Robert Winegrad, is unavailable for the Hearing.

In support thereof, the Company avers as follows:

1. On December 19, 2024, Denise Mitchell (Complainant) filed a Formal Complaint requesting that the Commission mediate damage claim negotiations between the parties.

2. On January 8, 2025, the Company filed an Answer averring that the Commission does not have jurisdiction over monetary damages.

3. By Notice, dated January 5, 2026, an Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing was scheduled for Thursday, February 26, 2026, before Judge Shadie Nause.

4. 52 Pa. Code § 1.15 (b) states:

(b) Except as otherwise provided by statute, requests for continuance of hearings or for extension of time in which to perform an act required or allowed to be done at or within a specified time by this title or by order of the Commission or the presiding officer, shall be by motion in writing, timely filed with the Commission, stating the facts on which the application rests, except that during the course of a proceeding, the requests may be made by oral motion in the hearing before the Commission or the presiding officer. Only for good cause shown will requests for continuance be considered. The requests for a continuance should be filed at least 5 days prior to the hearing date.


5. The unavailability of the Company's fact witness, Robert Winegrad, constitutes good cause consistent with Commission regulation.

6. On January 20, 2026, the office of undersigning Counsel attempted unsuccessfully to contact the Complainant *via* telephone to notify her of the Company's need for a continuance of the scheduled hearing. The office of undersigning Counsel followed up by email to which Complainant responded to advise that she did not oppose the Company's requested continuance.

7. The Company respectfully requests the Call-in Telephonic Hearing be rescheduled for a date after February 26, 2026.

WHEREFORE, for good cause shown, PECO Energy Company requests that the Honorable Barbara Shadie Nause grant its unopposed Motion for Continuance of the scheduled February 26, 2026, Initial Call-In Telephonic Hearing.

Respectfully submitted,



Margaret A. Morris, Esq.
Attorney ID No. 75048
Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP
Cira Centre, 13th Floor
2929 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
(215) 495-6524 tel.
(215) 495-6600 fax
mmorris@regerlaw.com

Date: February 17, 2026

Counsel for PECO Energy Company