

April 6, 2026

Via Electronic Filing
Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Application of CAN DO, Inc. (Water Division) for approval of Expansion of Water Service Territory and Abandonment of Portions of Existing Service Territory in Hazle Township, Sugarloaf Township, West Hazleton Borough, and East Union Township; Docket No. A-2026-3059809, et al.

Dear Secretary Homsher,

Attached for electronic filing, please find Answer of Lisa Logan, Pro Se Protestant regarding CAN DO's Motion to Strike. Copies have been served on the parties as indicated on the enclosed Certificate of Service.

Respectfully submitted,

Lisa Logan

Lisa Logan
293 Tomhicken Road
Sugarloaf, PA 18249
Lisalogan2662@gmail.com
727.247.3036

Enclosure(s)

cc: Administrative Law Judge Emily A. Farren via email efarren@pa.gov
Administrative Law Judge Steven K. Haas via email sthaas@pa.gov

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Application of Greater Hazleton Community :
Area New Development Organization Inc. t/a :
CAN DO, Inc. (Water Division), Pursuant to :
Section 1102(a) of the Pennsylvania Public : Docket No. A-2026-3059809
Utility Code, for Approval of (1) the Right to :
Offer, Render, Furnish or Supply Water :
Service To the Public in an Additional Portion :
of Hazle Township, together with portions of :
Sugarloaf Township and West Hazleton :
Borough, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania and :
(2) the Abandonment of Portions of its Water :
Service Territory in Hazle Township, Luzerne :
County, Pennsylvania and East Union :
Township, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania :

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I hereby certify that I have this 6th day of April, 2026 filed electronically on the Commission's electronic filing system and served a true copy of the foregoing answer of Lisa Logan, Pro Se Protestant regarding CAN DO's Motion to Strike upon the parties listed below and, in the manner, described below in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a party).

Service Via E-mail and First-Class Mail

Jonathan P. Nase, Esq.
Cozen O'Connor
17 North Second St., Suite 1410
Harrisburg, PA 17101
jnase@cozen.com

Dawn Kurtz Crompton, Esq.
Cozen O'Connor
1201 North Market Street
Suite 1001
Wilmington, DE 19801
DCrompton@cozen.com

Respectfully submitted,



Lisa Logan
Pro Se Protestant

**BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Application of Greater Hazleton Community :
Area New Development Organization Inc. t/a :
CAN DO, Inc. (Water Division), Pursuant to :
Section 1102(a) of the Pennsylvania Public : Docket No. A-2026-3059809
Utility Code, for Approval of (1) the Right to :
Offer, Render, Furnish or Supply Water :
Service To the Public in an Additional Portion :
of Hazle Township, together with portions of :
Sugarloaf Township and West Hazleton :
Borough, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania and :
(2) the Abandonment of Portions of its Water :
Service Territory in Hazle Township, Luzerne :
County, Pennsylvania and East Union :
Township, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania :

**ANSWER OF LISA LOGAN, PRO SE PROTESTANT
IN OPPOSITION TO CAN DO’S MOTION TO STRIKE**

I. INTRODUCTION

I, Lisa Logan, submit this Answer in response to CAN DO’s Motion to Strike. The Motion seeks removal of a filing that identifies concerns regarding the accuracy and completeness of CAN DO’s Supplemental Responses to Commission Staff data requests.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On January 13, 2026, CAN DO filed an application with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (Commission), pursuant to Section 1102(a) of the Public Utility Code (Code), 66 Pa.C.S. § 1102(a), for approval of (1) the right to offer, render, furnish or supply water service to the public in an additional portion of Hazle Township, together with portions of Sugarloaf Township and West Hazleton Borough, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania and (2) abandonment of portions of its water service territory in Hazle Township, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania and East Union Township, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania. Notice of the application was published in the January 31, 2026 edition of the Pennsylvania Bulletin, 56 Pa.B. 737. The notice specified a protest deadline of February 16, 2026. On February 13, 2026, I, Lisa Logan filed a protest in opposition to

the application. On February 25, 2026, the Commission issued an initial telephonic prehearing conference notice to alert the parties that a prehearing conference would be held on March 25, 2026. Also on February 25, 2026, Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) Emily A. Farren and Steven K. Haas issued a prehearing order. On March 5, 2026, CAN DO filed a preliminary objection to my Protest. A notice to plead accompanied the preliminary objection. On March 9, 2026, I, Lisa Logan filed an answer to CAN DO's preliminary objection. On March 19, 2026, CAN DO filed an Amended Application in this proceeding. On March 20, 2026, CAN DO requested a continuance of the March 25, 2026 Prehearing Conference; that request was denied by Interim Order by the ALJs issued the same day. The ALJs directed all parties to submit prehearing memoranda in advance of the conference. CAN DO has also filed multiple Preliminary Objections, to which I, Lisa Logan as Protestant filed a timely Answer. On March 25, 2026, the ALJs held the Prehearing Conference at 1:00 p.m. On March 26, 2026 CAN DO, Inc. filed Supplemental Answers to Bureau of Technical Utility Services Data Requests, Set (Nos. 1-20). On March 29, I, Lisa Logan filed an answer to CAN DO, Inc.'s Supplemental Answers to Bureau of Technical Utility Services Data Requests, Set (Nos. 1-20) in opposition to CAN DO's Supplemental Answers.

III. ARGUMENT

CAN DO's Motion to Strike should be denied because it misstates Commission regulations, misapplies due process principles, and seeks an extreme remedy unsupported by law or Commission practice.

- A. **The Motion does not meet the standard for striking material.** The filing at issue raises concerns about the accuracy and completeness of CAN DO's Supplemental Responses. These issues relate directly to the development of the evidentiary record. Because the content is relevant and non-scandalous, it does not fall within the narrow grounds for striking.


- B. **The Motion misstates Commission procedure and due-process principles.** The Motion suggests that I may not respond to or question the accuracy of CAN DO's submissions. Due process provides me a meaningful opportunity to be heard, which includes the ability to challenge factual assertions that may influence Commission decision-making. Commission rules do not prohibit a Protestant from addressing factual representations in a utility's filings, particularly where they concern public safety, environmental impacts, engineering feasibility, or service-territory boundaries. Identifying inaccuracies or omissions in CAN DO's submissions supports, rather than undermines, the evidentiary process required for a complete record.

C. The Motion appears to be a renewed attempt to limit participation. The Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) denied CAN DO's Preliminary Objections on March 20, 2026. Having been unsuccessful in challenging standing, CAN DO now seeks to narrow my participation through an improper Motion to Strike. This approach is inconsistent with the Commission's responsibility to maintain a full and accurate record.

IV. CONCLUSION

Because the Motion does not meet the narrow standard for striking material, misstates Commission procedure, and seeks to limit my participation contrary to the Commission's prior ruling, the Motion to Strike should be denied so my filing remains part of the procedural record and the issues raised may be addressed during the evidentiary phase.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Lisa Logan", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is stylized and cursive.

Lisa Logan, Pro Se Protestant