



**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
COMMONWEALTH KEYSTONE BUILDING  
400 NORTH STREET  
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17120

IN REPLY PLEASE  
REFER TO OUR FILE

April 21, 2026

ALL PARTIES OF RECORD

Re: Karen Feitt and Higinio Mendoza Jr. v Duquesne Light  
Company  
Docket Number: C-2024-3051871

To All Parties of Record:

On April 21, 2026, my office received Exceptions to the Initial Decision of Administrative Law Judge Watson in the above captioned matter. Our review of the filing revealed that no certificate of service or other indication that the parties of record to the case were served with the Exceptions. Therefore, we have enclosed a copy of the Exceptions to constitute service for purposes of 52 Pa. Code §5.533.

Due to the failure of Karen Feitt and Higinio Mendoza Jr. to provide service on all the parties of record to the case, and in order to avoid prejudice to any party pursuant to 52 Pa. Code §5.535, the Respondent shall have until May 8, 2026 to file Reply Exceptions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Matthew L. Homsher'.

Matthew L. Homsher  
Secretary

Enclosure  
MH: acg

cc: All Parties of Record  
Document Folder

BEFORE THE *PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY  
COMMISSION*

Karen Feitt and :Higinio: Mendoza-Junior.

v.

Doc #: 2024-

3051871

*Duquesne Light Company*

EXCEPTION TO THE INITIAL DECISION

Before Jeffrey A. Watson

Administrative Law Judge

[ AND NOW COMES, :Higinio: Mendoza-Junior., Karen Feitt by Sui Juris, Sui Generis,

file the following **EXCEPTION**/ Notice to the Administrative Law Court at the *PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION*. Claimants move the *Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission* for EXCEPTION and by Special Appearance. Claimants respectfully do not consent, challenge court jurisdiction. If, prior breach of fiduciary exists, appearance of fraud, or undisclosed fiduciary violations these proceedings with matters involving this case (Doc #:2024-3051871) cannot proceed. Claimants declare an objection of any judicial usurpation not made clear by opposing attorneys, and the *Administrative Law Judge(s)*, of the mandatory matter consisting of the fiduciary rule disclosure. Without having the matter disclosed, claimants demand mandatory [FARA & Anti-Bribery statement] from opponent's attorney being an Esquire, moreover, [ALJ] Mr. Jeffery A. Watson who **INITIAL DECISION**, ordered dismissing the matter, requesting Secretary to

close the docket, and did not sign the order, but signed by abbreviation [/s/] conveying sarcastic.

Therefore, claimants demand [Cease-and-Desist] until Judge Jeffery A. Watson comes-forth with undisclosed commercial actions, fiduciary bond proof, oath of office, verified claim, statements, forwarding a anti-bribery statement from attorneys, and Judge Watson, acting Judge [FARA] statement. Mr. Jeffery A. Watson, publishing [INITIAL DECISION] appears written, structured by an attorney of the bar association, or legal officer [Et al]. Claimants compel the *Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission, Court [Administrative Law Court]* to produce documents for the Claimant, et al, inspection. The claimants' reason for [VOID], void judgement [Pa.R.C.P.No.206.1(a)(3)/ mirrors/ Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 60(b)(4)], a judgement entered without jurisdiction is VOID AB INITIO, courts do not have

discretion, and vacatur is mandatory a legal nullity, all [Doc #:2024-3051871] derivative enforcement actions forfeitures from Jeffrey A. Watson **INITIAL DECISION** is null and void. Lacking fiduciary instrument undisclosed financial transactional activities, and trust administration financial matters, if, an alledge judge touches trust property, enforces administrative forfeitures, documents without certain forms, and undisclosed lawful delegation of authority, then the administrative law Judge assigned to the matter appears as operating as a private commercial trustee, and not a judicial officer.

Furthermore, the TRUST [15 U.S.C. 1] was expressed at the time of the hearing not implied and the event was recorded. Claimant is authorized to syntax documentation consisting of forensic as proof of [18 U.S.C 1001 and 18 U.S.C. 1005] misrepresentation. The publication [Dated: 04/08/2026 / initial decision] by Judge Jeffery A. Watson, 1<sup>st</sup> page,

and 9<sup>th</sup> page is [[:syntax-key-coded:]] for forensic evidence future reference and forwarded to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission for the record. Claimant found grammar fraud (Alpha (1<sup>st</sup> page) & Omega (9<sup>th</sup> page) only) throughout the entire document, more important that Claimant assessment found the document to be without correct sentence structure parse syntax grammar (C-, S-, S-, P-, S-, G-, P.) and by using the method of math-interface, quantum grammar interface, [copyrighted material.] the process allows the elimination of confusion, opinions, fraud, etc.





