

April 24, 2026

Via Electronic Filing

Matthew Homsher, Esquire
Secretary
PA Public Utility Commission
P.O. Box 3265
Harrisburg, PA 17105-3265

**Re: Docket No. C-2020-3021127
Valerie and Christopher Watson v. PECO Energy Company
Status Report of PECO**

Dear Secretary Homsher:

Attached for filing is the Status Report of PECO Energy Company in connection with the above-referenced proceeding.

A copy of the enclosed Status Report has been provided to the Complainants' Counsel in the manner indicated on the attached Certificate of Service.

If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Very truly yours,

Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP



Margaret A. Morris

MAM/co
Enclosures

cc: The Hon. F. Joseph Brady, PA Public Utility Commission [w/encls.]
Anthony Gay, Esquire, PECO Energy Company [w/encls.]
Frank Kosir Jr., Esquire [w/encls.]

**Re: Docket No. C-2020-3021127
Valerie and Christopher Watson v. PECO Energy Company
Status Report of PECO**


CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served upon the following person(s), in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 1.54 (relating to service by a participant).

Via Electronic Mail

Frank Kosir Jr., Esquire
fk@muslaw.com

Dated: April 24, 2026



Margaret A. Morris, Esquire

BEFORE THE
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

CHRISTOPHER AND VALERIE WATSON	:	
	:	
v.	:	DOCKET NO. C-2020-3021127
	:	
PECO ENERGY COMPANY	:	

PECO STATUS REPORT #30

On November 10, 2020, Your Honor issued an Order Granting PECO’s Motion for Stay. In Ordering Paragraph 2, Your Honor directed “[t]hat the Respondent shall file a status report with the presiding officer and the opposing party, within sixty (60) days of the date of this Order, and every sixty (60) days thereafter, until the civil proceeding has concluded.

Prior Status Reports

In PECO Status Report #1, PECO reported that:

- On October 30, 2020, PECO filed a Complaint with the Court of Common Pleas of Montgomery County, in which it seeks a declaratory judgment that it has an irrevocable license or, in the alternative, a prescriptive easement, to operate, maintain, and update its poles, power lines, and equipment on the Watson property.
- On December 18, 2020, the Watsons filed their Answer, New Matter, and Counterclaims in which they deny the allegations of PECO’s Complaint and seek a determination that PECO is trespassing and should be ejected from their property.
- On January 11, 2021, PECO filed its Answer to the Watson New Matter and Counterclaims.

In PECO Status Report #2, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #1.

In PECO Status Report #3, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #2.

In PECO Status Report #4, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #3.

In PECO Status Report #5, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #4.

In PECO Status Report #6, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #5.

In PECO Status Report #7, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #6.

In PECO Status Report #8, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #7.

In PECO Status Report #9, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #8.

In PECO Status Report #10, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #9.

In PECO Status Report #11, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #10.

In PECO Status Report #12, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #11.

In PECO Status Report #13, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #12.

In PECO Status Report #14, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #13.

In PECO Status Report #15, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #14.

In PECO Status Report #16, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #15.

In PECO Status Report #17, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #16.

In PECO Status Report #18, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #17.

In PECO Status Report #19, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #18.

In PECO Status Report #20, PECO reported that on February 29, 2024, the Montgomery County Court of Common Pleas issued a Notice of Intention to Terminate. On March 11, 2024, PECO filed a Statement of Intention to Proceed. On March 13, 2024, the Complainants' filed a Statement of Intention to Proceed.

In PECO Status Report #21, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #20.

In PECO Status Report #22, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #21. The parties are engaged in discovery efforts.

In PECO Status Report #23, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #22.

In PECO Status Report #24, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #23.

In PECO Status Report #25, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #24.

In PECO Status Report #26, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #25.

In PECO Status Report #27, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #26.

In PECO Status Report #28, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #27.


In PECO Status Report #29, PECO reported that: There have been no developments in the civil litigation since PECO Status Report #28.

Status Report #30

On April 23, 2026, the Complainants filed a Statement of Intention to proceed with the civil matter in the Common Pleas.

Date: April 24, 2026

Respectfully submitted,



Margaret A. Morris, Esquire
Attorney ID No. 75048
Reger Rizzo & Darnall LLP
Cira Centre, 13th Floor
2929 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
(215) 495-6524 (tel.)
mmorris@regerlaw.com

Counsel for PECO Energy Company