

**PENNSYLVANIA
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
HARRISBURG, PA 17120**

Public Meeting held April 30, 2026

Commissioners Present:

Stephen M. DeFrank, Chairman
Kimberly Barrow, Vice Chair
Kathryn L. Zerfuss
John F. Coleman, Jr.
Ralph V. Yanora

Pennsylvania Public Utility
Commission

v.

PECO Energy Company – Electric
Division

Docket Number:
R-2026-3060859

ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On March 30, 2026, PECO Energy Company – Electric Division (PECO Electric), Utility Code 110550, filed Tariff Electric – Pa. P.U.C. No. 9 (Tariff No. 9), containing proposed changes in rates, rules, and regulations calculated to produce approximately \$429 million (11%). As proposed, the total bill for a residential customer using 700 kWh per month would increase from \$160.37 to \$180.45 (12.5%), effective May 29, 2026.

On April 1, 2026, Ross Wexler filed a formal complaint. On April 7, 2026, the Tenant Union Representative Network and the Coalition for Affordable Utility Services and Energy Efficiency in Pennsylvania (TURN/CAUSE-PA) filed a formal complaint.

On April 8, 2026, the Southeast Delegation of the Pennsylvania House Democratic Caucus submitted a letter to Chairman DeFrank expressing their opposition to the PECO Electric rate filing. On April 9, 2026, Pennsylvania State Senator, Katie Muth, submitted a letter to Chairman DeFrank requesting that the Commission suspend and investigate the proposed rate increases by PECO Electric and Gas. On April 9, 2026, the Office of Small Business Advocate (OSBA) filed a formal complaint. On April 13, 2026, PECO Electric filed an Answer to the Complaint of Ross Wexler. On April 14, 2026, the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union 614 filed a Petition to intervene. On April 17, 2026, PECO Electric filed an Answer to the Complaint of TURN/CAUSE-PA. On April 20, 2026, PECO Electric filed an Answer to the Complaint of the OSBA.¹

On April 16, 2026, PECO Electric filed a Petition to Withdraw Tariff No. 9 pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.94(a). For the reasons expressed in this Order, the Commission approves the Petition to Withdraw Tariff No. 9. No answers or protests to the Petition to Withdraw were filed.

In its Petition to Withdraw, PECO Electric states that, considering the concerns expressed by customers, policymakers, regional leaders, and community partners following the filing of Tariff No. 9, they have decided to withdraw proposed Tariff No. 9 and to maintain their electric distribution rates at current levels for the time being. PECO Electric states that, although the proposed rate increase was designed to support necessary long-term infrastructure investments in the safety, reliability, and resiliency of the electric distribution system and it sought to limit bill impacts, PECO Electric recognizes the importance of affordability for its stakeholders. Although PECO Electric is seeking to withdraw Tariff No. 9, PECO Electric states it will continue to make on-going investments required for system safety, system maintenance, operational

¹ The formal complaints have been assigned to the Commission's Office of Administrative Law Judge.

integrity, and reliability and it remains committed to on-going electric distribution system modernization to meet customer needs.

PECO Electric states that withdrawing Tariff No. 9 is in the public interest because it is in the early stage of the proceedings, before suspension and investigation by the Commission, and withdrawing it will avoid substantial litigation expenses for current and potential parties as well as the Commission. PECO Electric also requests Tariff No. 9 be withdrawn without prejudice to filing future changes in rates, rules and regulations.

The Commission finds that granting PECO Electric's Petition to Withdraw Tariff No. 9 is reasonable and in the public interest. The Commission agrees that the Petition was filed at an early stage of the proceedings, prior to suspension and investigation, and granting the Petition will avoid unnecessary litigation costs and administrative burdens for other potential parties and the Commission. Moreover, the Commission agrees that the withdrawal of Tariff No. 9 without prejudice is appropriate. Such action preserves PECO Electric's ability to file future tariff revisions and will not prejudice any customer or other potential party in any future proceedings.

Accordingly, the Commission will grant PECO Energy Company – Electric Division's Petition to Withdraw Tariff No. 9 without prejudice, and this proceeding will be closed; **THEREFORE,**

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That the Petition to Withdraw Tariff Electric- Pa. P.U.C. No.9 filed by PECO Energy Company – Electric Division is hereby Granted.

2. That a copy of this Order shall be served upon PECO Energy Company – Electric Division, the Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement, the Office of Consumer Advocate, the Office of Small Business Advocate, and any persons who have filed Formal Complaints against the proposed tariff supplement.

3. That this proceeding at Docket No. R-2026-3060859 be closed.

BY THE COMMISSION,



Matthew L. Homsher
Secretary

(SEAL)

ORDER ADOPTED: April 30, 2026

ORDER ENTERED: April 30, 2026