

Michael J. Shafer
Senior Counsel

PPL
645 Hamilton Street, Suite 700
Allentown, PA 18101
Tel. 610.774.2599 Fax 610.774.4102
MJShafer@pplweb.com



VIA E-FILE

May 18, 2026

Matthew Homsher, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Re: Electric Load Forecast Accountability
Docket No. M-2025-3058814

Dear Secretary Homsher,

Enclosed for filing on behalf of PPL Electric Utilities Corporation is the response to the third set of data requests issued by the Bureau of Technical Utility Services in the above-referenced matter.

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 1.11, the enclosed document is to be deemed filed on May 18, 2026, which is the date it was filed electronically using the Commission's E-filing system.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Michael J. Shafer", written in a cursive style.

Michael J. Shafer

Enclosure

cc via email: Clinton McKinley

WITNESS: Janell Fabiano

**PPL Electric Utilities Corporation
Response to the Set 3 Data Requests of the
Bureau of Technical Utility Services (TUS)
Dated April 22, 2026
Docket No. M-2025-3058814**

M-7 Please provide copies of all materials submitted to PJM in response to the April 17, 2026 RFI.

PPL Electric Please see TUS M-7 Attachment 1.
Response

PJM Regulatory Tariff Response Form

** required field*

1 Respondent & Jurisdiction Information

RT1 Respondent Information*

Organization	PPL Electric Utilities
Primary contact	Janell Fabiano
Email	
Phone	
Role	

RT2 Jurisdiction(s) Covered*

Scope	Service territory
State Coverage (if applicable)	
<input type="checkbox"/> DE	<input type="checkbox"/> NC
<input type="checkbox"/> IL	<input type="checkbox"/> OH
<input type="checkbox"/> IN	<input type="checkbox"/> PA
<input type="checkbox"/> KY	<input type="checkbox"/> TN
<input type="checkbox"/> MD	<input type="checkbox"/> VA
<input type="checkbox"/> MI	<input type="checkbox"/> WV
<input type="checkbox"/> NJ	<input type="checkbox"/> DC
Service-territory Coverage (if applicable)	PPL Service Territory (PA)
Consistency	

Additional Comments

2 Existing Tariff Framework & Current Capabilities

RT3 Existing Large Load Tariff / Service Options*

Cite Tariff Sections (if possible) Standard firm
Rate Schedule LP5 (soon to be LP6 pending rate case settlement)

RT4 Ability to Support Load Self-Procurement Today*

Yes
Per PA shopping rules

RT5 Ability to Support Utility-Facilitated Bilateral Contracting*

No
May be limited opportunity in statute, but not in practice

RT6 Cost Pass-Through Mechanism Available Today*

No
No ability to contract for large load to procure power except through default service, which is impracticable

Please specify what is missing

Additional Comments

3 Cost Allocation & Structural Treatment

RT7 Ability to Allocate Costs to Incremental Large Load*

Yes

RT8 Curtailment Tariff Tools Available*

No

RT9 Credit / Security Requirements Under Tariffs*

Adequate as-is

RT10 Treatment of Transmission/Network Upgrades for Large Loads

Special provisions exist

Additional Comments

Credit/security will be improved pending LP6 in rate case settlement. Network upgrade costs essentially standard but with additional credit/security in contracts and pending LP6.

4 Market Structure & Regulatory Authority

RT11 Retail Choice / LSE Structure Considerations

Retail access

RT12 Authority to Implement New Rate Class / Rider for Large Loads*

Yes

Additional Comments

New rate class pending rate case settlement

5 Timeline & Regulatory Constraints

RT13 Expected Timeline for Tariff Changes (If Needed)*

<6 mo

RT14 Key Regulatory Constraints / Risks (Select Top 1-2)*

Cost allocation

- Prudence
- Affiliate restrictions
- Competitive bidding rules
- Term limits
- Other

RT15 Coordination Requirements with State Policymakers

Significant

Please describe coordination requirements with state policymakers

Scrutiny regarding cost allocation

Additional Comments

6 PJM Pathway Compatibility

RT16 Ability to Support PJM-Facilitated Bilateral Matching*

Conditional

Please describe retail/tariff constraints on information sharing/contracting

RT17 Ability to Support PJM-Administered Mechanism (If Adopted)*

Conditional

Please describe retail-level changes needed (e.g., tariffs, riders, billing/settlement)

RT18 Readiness to Engage / Implementation Posture*

>12 mo


Additional Comments

Depending on PJM/FERC process, may be tension with PA shopping/default service rules. Unclear whether federal process can reach down to retail service. If this is limited to LSE coordination, probably not a problem. Exploring potential changes to state retail process that would facilitate more, but these may require legislative changes (>12 months).

VERIFICATION

I, JANELL FABIANO being the RTO & Federal Regulatory Policy Manager at PPL Services Corporation, hereby state that the facts above set forth are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief and that I expect PPL Electric Utilities Corporation to be able to prove the same at a hearing held in this matter. I understand that the statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 05/18/2026


Janell Fabiano (May 18, 2026 09:57:40 EDT)
Janell Fabiano