

---

Garrett P. Lent

glent@postschell.com  
717-612-6032 Direct  
717-731-1985 Direct Fax  
File #: 205388

June 12, 2026

***VIA ELECTRONIC FILING***

Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street, Second Floor  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

**Re: Application of NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc., for All of the Necessary Authority, Approvals, and Certificates of Public Convenience (1) to Begin to Furnish and Supply Electric Transmission Service in Greene County and Fayette County, Pennsylvania; (2) for Certain Affiliated Interest Agreements; and (3) for any Other Approvals Necessary to Complete the Contemplated Transactions Docket No. A-2026-3060921 et. al.**

**Application of NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc., filed pursuant to 52 Pa. Code Chapter 57 Subchapter G, for approval to site and construct a 500 kV transmission line associated with the MidAtlantic Resiliency Link Project located in portions of Greene County and Fayette County, Pennsylvania  
Docket No. A-2026-3060856**

Dear Secretary Homsher:

Enclosed for filing please find the Answer of NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc. to the Petition to Intervene of William Oberlechner in the above-referenced proceedings.

Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully submitted,



Garrett P. Lent

Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary  
June 12, 2026  
Page 2

GPL/bfc  
Enclosure

cc: Certificate of Service

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Application has been served upon the following persons, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code § 57.72(d)(3).

### **ACTIVE PARTIES OF RECORD** **SERVICE BY EMAIL ONLY**

Tracy C. Davis, Esquire  
NextEra Energy Transmission  
5920 West William Cannon Drive  
Building 2  
Austin, TX 78749  
[tracy.c.davis@nexteraenergy.com](mailto:tracy.c.davis@nexteraenergy.com)

Anna Galanis, Esquire  
NextEra Energy Transmission  
700 Universe Boulevard  
Juno Beach, FL 33408  
[anna.galanis@nexteraenergy.com](mailto:anna.galanis@nexteraenergy.com)

Pennsylvania Office of Small Business  
Advocate  
Attn: NazAarah Sabree, Small Business  
Advocate  
555 Walnut Street  
1st Floor, Forum Place  
Harrisburg, PA 17101  
[ra-sba@pa.gov](mailto:ra-sba@pa.gov)

Jacob Guthrie, Esq.  
Josiah B. Harmar, Esq.  
Melanie Joy El Atieh, Esq.  
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate  
555 Walnut Street, 5th Floor  
Forum Place  
Harrisburg, PA 17101  
[OCAMARL2026@paoca.org](mailto:OCAMARL2026@paoca.org)  
*Counsel for Darryl A. Lawrence, Consumer Advocate*

Pennsylvania Bureau of Investigation and  
Enforcement  
Attn: Allison Kaster  
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission  
Commonwealth Keystone Building  
400 North Street, 2nd Floor, Room-N201  
Harrisburg, PA 17120  
[akaster@pa.gov](mailto:akaster@pa.gov)

Benjamin C. Dunlap, Jr., Esq.  
Cohen Seglias Pallas Greenhall & Furman,  
P.C.  
240 North 3<sup>rd</sup> Street, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17101  
[bdunlap@cohenseglias.com](mailto:bdunlap@cohenseglias.com)  
*Counsel for James E. Rockis, Quarter Pine  
Tree Christmas Shoppe, LLC and J.E.  
Rockis Rental & Supply, Inc.*

Evan Dimond Johns, Esq.  
Thomas L. Kloehn, Esq.  
Appalachian Mountain Advocates  
6101 Penn Avenue, Suite No. 201  
Pittsburgh, PA 15206  
[ejohns@appalmad.org](mailto:ejohns@appalmad.org)  
[tkloehn@appalmad.org](mailto:tkloehn@appalmad.org)  
*Counsel for the Center for Coalfield Justice*

Seth Sherman, Esq.  
69 Beau St., Suite No. 101  
Washington, PA 15301  
[seth@centerforcoalfieldjustice.org](mailto:seth@centerforcoalfieldjustice.org)  
*Counsel for the Center for Coalfield Justice*

Linda Maust-Jacobs  
510 Braddock Rd.  
Markleysburg, PA 15459  
[lindamaustjacobs@gmail.com](mailto:lindamaustjacobs@gmail.com)

Charity Grimm Krupa, Esq.  
P.O. Box 622  
Smithfield, PA 15478  
[charity@givemeliberty.co](mailto:charity@givemeliberty.co)

**VIA FIRST-CLASS MAIL**

William Oberlechner  
2754 Morgantown Road  
Smithfield, PA 15478

Dated: June 12, 2026

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Garrett P. Lent", is written above a horizontal line.

Garrett P. Lent

**BEFORE THE  
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION**

Application of NextEra Energy : Docket Nos. A-2026-3060921  
Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc., for All of : G-2026-3060941  
the Necessary Authority, Approvals, and : G-2026-3060942  
Certificates of Public Convenience (1) to :  
Begin to Furnish and Supply Electric :  
Transmission Service in Greene County :  
and Fayette County, Pennsylvania; (2) for :  
Certain Affiliated Interest Agreements; :  
and (3) for any Other Approvals :  
Necessary to Complete the Contemplated :  
Transactions :

Application of NextEra Energy : Docket No. A-2026-3060856  
Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc., Filed :  
Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code Chapter 5 :  
Subchapter G, for Approval to Site and :  
Construct a 500 kV Transmission Line :  
Associated with the MidAtlantic :  
Resiliency Link Project Located in :  
Portions of Greene County and Fayette :  
County, Pennsylvania :

---

**ANSWER OF NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION MIDATLANTIC, INC. TO THE  
PETITION TO INTERVENE OF WILLIAM OBERLECHNER**

---

**TO THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION:**

**I. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW**

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.66, NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc. (“NEET MA” or the “Company”) hereby submits this Answer to the Petition to Intervene (the “Petition”) of William Oberlechner (“Petitioner”) in the above-captioned proceedings.

On March 3, 2026, NEET MA filed, pursuant to the Pennsylvania Public Utility Code at 66 Pa.C.S. §§ 1101, 1103, and 2102, an application requesting all necessary authority, approvals,

and certificates of public convenience from the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission (“Commission”) necessary to authorize NEET MA to begin to furnish and supply electric transmission service as a Pennsylvania public utility within Greene County and Fayette County, Pennsylvania (“CPC Application”).

Also on March 3, 2026, NEET MA filed, pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 57.72, an application requesting Commission approval of the siting and construction of the Pennsylvania Portion of a new 500 kV transmission line associated with the MARL Project in Dunkard Township in Greene County and Springhill Township in Fayette County, Pennsylvania (“Siting Application”).

On March 26, 2026, Administrative Law Judge John M. Coogan issued a Prehearing Conference Order providing, among other things, that a Telephonic Prehearing Conference would be held on Wednesday, May 6, 2026 at 10:00 AM for both the CPC Application and Siting Application proceedings. At the May 6 prehearing conference, Administrative Law Judges John M. Coogan and Erin L. Gannon (the “ALJs”) consolidated the CPC Application and the Siting Application.<sup>1</sup> The ALJs also decided that, for any protests and/or petitions to intervene filed on or before May 29, 2026, NEET MA would be permitted to respond on or before June 12, 2026.<sup>2</sup> Numerous protests and petitions to intervene have been filed at the above-captioned dockets before and since the May 6 prehearing conference.

On May 8, 2026, the Petition filed by William Oberlechner was posted to one or both of the above-captioned dockets in this proceeding. As explained below, NEET MA opposes the Petition because the Petitioner has no legally recognizable interest in the above-captioned proceedings and, therefore, cannot meet the eligibility criteria set forth in the Commission’s regulations at 52 Pa. Code § 5.72.

---

<sup>1</sup> Tr. 11.

<sup>2</sup> Tr. 47-48.

The Petitioner's only interest in the above-captioned proceedings is general, and essentially seeks compliance with the Pennsylvania Public Utility Code and with the Commission's regulations and orders. The Petition raises non-specific concerns related to utility rates, health and safety, and property value. However, a general concern that the above-listed elements of the proceedings be investigated and duly considered does not establish that the Petitioner themselves will suffer an injury that is substantial. This form Petition is thus insufficient for the purposes of establishing that the Petitioner has an interest in the proceedings that will not be "adequately represented by existing participants." 52 Pa. Code § 5.72. Rather, the Petition alleges general interests and harms that do not surpass the common interest of all citizens in procuring obedience to the law.

In any event, the interests of residential ratepayers, like the Petitioner, are adequately represented by the Office of Consumer Advocate ("OCA"), who is actively participating in this proceeding. OCA is empowered and obligated to represent general residential and consumer interests like those advanced by the Petitioner. The Petitioner has not alleged a specific interest in addition to the general interests that OCA is actively representing.

Finally, Company records indicate that the Petitioner does not own property located within the right-of-way ("ROW") corridor for the Proposed Route, nor does the Petitioner own property located within the ROW corridors for any of the Alternate Routes of the MARL Project. Yet, the Petition fails to establish the manner in which the MARL Project will directly affect the Petitioner such that their interest will not be adequately represented by existing participants, as they are not a landowner of property that will be traversed by the MARL Project. For all of these reasons, the Petition should be denied.

In further support of its opposition to the Petition, NEET MA responds to the specific paragraphs of the Petition as follows:

**II. ANSWER TO SPECIFIC AVERMENTS**

1. Admitted.

2. Paragraph 2 of the Petition pertains to the Petitioner's legal representation, to which no responsive pleading is required.

3. Denied. It is denied that the Petitioner has clearly and concisely set forth facts from which the alleged intervention right or interest of the Petitioner can be determined. In further response, NEET MA refers to Section I of this Answer, reiterating its position that a general concern that the evidentiary elements of the proceedings be investigated and duly considered does not establish that the Petitioner themselves will suffer an injury that is substantial. The Petitioner is not a landowner within the Proposed or Alternate ROW Corridors, and has failed to specifically demonstrate otherwise how he will be affected by the concerns listed in Paragraph 3.

4. Denied. It is denied that the Petitioner has stated appropriate ground on which to intervene. In further response, NEET MA refers to Section I of this Answer, reiterating its position that a general concern that the evidentiary elements of the proceedings be investigated and duly considered does not establish that the Petitioner themselves will suffer an injury that is substantial. The Petitioner is not a landowner within the Proposed or Alternate ROW Corridors, and has failed to specifically demonstrate otherwise how he will be affected by the concerns listed in Paragraph 4.

5. Denied. Specifically, Paragraph 5 contains request for relief to which no response is required. In further response, NEET MA refers to Section I of this Answer, reiterating its position that a general concern that the evidentiary elements of the proceedings be investigated and duly considered does not establish that the Petitioner themselves will suffer an injury that is

substantial. The Petitioner is not a landowner within the Proposed or Alternate ROW Corridors, and has failed to specifically demonstrate otherwise how he will be affected by the concerns listed in Paragraph 5.

6. Paragraph 6 of the Petition relates to the Petitioner's communication preferences, to which no responsive pleading is required.

7. Paragraph 7 of the Petition sets forth the verification and signature, to which no responsive pleading is required.

8. Denied. The averments contained within Paragraph 8 of the Petition are requests for relief to which no responsive pleading is required. To the extent a response is deemed necessary, NEET MA denies that the Petitioner is entitled to the relief requested.

9. Paragraph 9 of the Petition sets forth a signature and date, to which no responsive pleading is required.

**III. CONCLUSION**

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc. respectfully requests that the Commission deny the Petition to Intervene filed by William Oberlechner and reject all other relief requested therein or, in the alternative, that the Commission limit the Petitioner's participation in the above-captioned proceedings to the extent that it will limit the unnecessary duplication of discovery requests and testimony submitted in the proceedings.

Respectfully submitted,



Tracy C. Davis (TX Bar # 24045758)  
NextEra Energy Transmission, LLC  
5920 W. William Cannon Dr., Bldg. 2  
Austin, TX 78749  
Phone: (512) 236-3141  
Fax: (512) 236-0484  
E-mail: Tracy.c.davis@nexteraenergy.com

Anna Galanis (MD ID # 2106150110)  
NextEra Energy Transmission, LLC  
700 Universe Blvd  
Juno Beach, FL 33408  
Phone: (561) 691-2542  
Fax: (561) 691-7135  
E-mail: Anna.galanis@nexteraenergy.com

Date: June 12, 2026

David B. MacGregor (I.D. # 28804)  
Garrett P. Lent (I.D. # 321566)  
Hayley E. Wilburn (I.D. # 336055)  
Post & Schell, P.C.  
17 North Second Street  
12th Floor  
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1601  
Voice: (717) 731-1970  
Fax: (717) 731-1985  
E-mail: dmacgregor@postschell.com  
E-mail: glent@postschell.com  
E-mail: hwilburn@postschell.com

*Counsel for NextEra Energy Transmission  
MidAtlantic, Inc.*