

Thomas John Bozek III
875 Wymps Gap Road
PO Box 170
Smithfield, PA 15478
tommyguitar4@aol.com

June 22, 2026

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, Second Floor
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Re: **Application of NextEra Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc., for All of the Necessary Authority, Approvals, and Certificates of Public Convenience (1) to Begin to Furnish and Supply Electric Transmission Service in Greene County and Fayette County, Pennsylvania; (2) for Certain Affiliated Interest Agreements; and (3) for Any Other Approvals Necessary to Complete the Contemplated Transactions Docket No. A-2026-3060921 et. al.**

Application of NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc., files pursuant to 52 Pa. Code Chapter 57 Subchapter G, for approval to site and construct a 500kV transmission line associated with the Mid Atlantic Resiliency Link Project located in portions of Greene County and Fayette County, Pennsylvania Docket No. A-2026-3060856

Dear Secretary Homsher:

Enclosed for filing please find my answer to the Preliminary Objection of NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc. to my Petition to Intervene in the above-referenced proceedings.

Copies will be provided as indicated on the Certificate of Service.

Respectfully Submitted,


Thomas John Bozek III

Matthew L. Homsher, Secretary
June 22, 2026
Page 2

Enclosure

cc: Certificate of Service

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the following Response to the Preliminary Objection has been served upon the following persons, in the manner indicated, in accordance with the requirements of 52 Pa. Code §57.72(d)(3)

ACTIVE PARTIES OF RECORD SERVICE BY EMAIL ONLY

Tracy C. Davis, Esquire
NextEra Energy Transmission
5920 West William Cannon Drive
Building 2
Austin, TX 78749
tracy.c.davis@nexteraenergy.com

Anna Galanis, Esquire
NextEra Energy Transmission
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
anna.galanis@nexteraenergy.com

Pennsylvania Office of Small Business Advocate
Attn: NazAarah Sabree, Small Business Advocate
555 Walnut Street
1st Floor, Forum Place
Harrisburg, PA 17101
ra-sba@pa.gov

Jacob Guthrie, Esq.
Josiah B. Harmar, Esq.
Melanie Joy El Atieh, Esq.
Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate
555 Walnut Street, 5th Floor
Forum Place
Harrisburg, PA 17101
OCAMARL2026@paoca.org
Counsel for Darryl A. Lawrence, Consumer Advocate

Pennsylvania Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement
Attn: Allison Kaster
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 2nd Floor, Room-N201
Harrisburg, PA 17120
akaster@pa.gov

Benjamin C. Dunlap, Jr., Esq.
Cohen Seglias Pallas Greenhall & Furman, P.C.
240 North 3rd Street, 7th Floor
Harrisburg, PA 17101
bdunlap@cohenseglias.com
*Counsel for James E. Rockis, Quarter Pine Tree Christmas
Shope, LLC and J.E. Rockis Rental & Supply, Inc..*

Evan Dimond Johns, Esq.
Thomas L. Kloehn, Esq.
Appalachian Mountain Advocates
6101 Penn Avenus, Suite No. 201 Pittsburgh, PA 15206
ejohns@appalmad.org
tkloehn@appalmad.org
Counsel for the Center for Coalfield Justice

Seth Sherman, Esq.
69 Beau St., Suite No. 101
Washington, PA 15301
seth@centerforcoalfieldjustice.org
Counsel for the Center for Coalfield Justice

Linda Maust-Jacobs
510 Braddock Rd.
Markleysburg, PA 15459
lindamaustjacobs@gmail.com

Charity Grimm Krupa, Esq.
P.O. Box 622
Smithfield, PA 15478
charity@givemeliberty.co

Dated: June 22, 2026


Thomas John Bozek III

BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

Application of NextEra Energy Transmission	:	Docket Nos. A-2026-3060921
MidAtlantic, Inc., for All of the Necessary	:	G-2026-3060941
Authority, Approvals, and Certificates of	:	G-2026-3060942
Public Convenience (1) to Begin to Furnish	:	
and Supply Electric Transmission Service	:	
in Greene County and Fayette County,	:	
Pennsylvania; (2) for Certain Affiliated	:	
Interest Agreements; and (3) for any Other	:	
Approvals Necessary to Complete the	:	
Contemplated Transactions	:	

	:	Docket No. A-2026-3060856
Application of NextEra Energy Transmission	:	
MidAtlantic, Inc., Filed Pursuant to 52 Pa.	:	
Code Chapter 5 Subchapter G, for	:	
Approval to Site and Construct a 500 kV	:	
Transmission Line Associated with the	:	
MidAtlantic Resiliency Link Project Located	:	
in Portions of Greene County and Fayette	:	
County, Pennsylvania	:	

ANSWER OF THOMAS JOHN BOZEK III TO THE PRELIMINARY OBJECTION OF NEXTERA ENERGY TRANSMISSION MIDATLANTIC, INC.

TO THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION:

I. INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

Pursuant to 52 Pa. Code § 5.101, I, Thomas John Bozek III, hereby submit this Answer to the Preliminary Objection of NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc., in the above-captioned proceedings.

On April 23, 2026, I filed a Petition to Intervene to one or both of the above-captioned dockets in this proceeding. NextEra Energy Transmission MidAtlantic, Inc. (“NEET MA”) filed an Answer to my Petition to Intervene on June 12, 2026, saying that I have no legally recognizable interest in the above-captioned proceedings and, therefore, cannot meet the eligibility criteria set for the in the Commission’s regulations at 52 Pa. Code § 5.72. Under that code, my right to intervene may be one of the following: (1) A right conferred by statute of the United States of the Commonwealth, (2) An interest which may be directly affected and which is not adequately represented by existing participants, and as to which the petitioner may be bound by the action of the Commission in the proceeding and/or (3) Another interest of such nature that participation of the petitioner may be in the public interest. NEET MA’s Answer to my Petition goes on to say that my interest in the above-captioned proceedings is only general and raises non-specific concerns related to need, utility rates, property values, health and safety and land impacts. Because of these interests, NEET MA is saying that I can be adequately represented by existing participants and/or the Office of Consumer Advocate (“OCA”) concerning future utility rates. Finally, NEET MA states that I do not own property within the right-of-way corridor for the proposed route or any of the alternate routes of the MARL Project. I will address all of these issues in my following responses to their specific paragraph numbered answers.

II. RESPONSES TO NEET MA PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

1. My address listed on my Petition to Intervene is where I live. It is not just piece of real estate that I own. The address clearly is located between two alternate routes in the project study area. It is my understanding that the preferred route and the alternate

routes shown on NEET MA's current website and maps are not necessarily set in stone. These routes can be changed, modified, or moved as this process continues. There was already a route that was originally much closer to me that was deleted from the current maps and website. I am, of course, concerned that in the future, the final route could be moved closer to my property. If I am excluded as an intervenor, my right to propose any legal challenges will be taken from me.

2. Agree. No responsive pleading was required concerning my having no legal representation at this time.

3. Disagree. I list the negatives that I see coming from this project and how they concern me. Strictly as a ratepayer and landowner, there is absolutely no benefit of this project. My rates will not decrease. My property value surely won't increase due to being in close proximity to transmission lines. I live where I live because of the viewshed and natural landscape. In this section, I do not address that NEET MA failed to establish that the MARL Project is needed. I do infer that it is not needed in this area. This ties in to points later discussed in the petition to place the power generation near the data centers. NEET MA further notes that I am not a landowner within the Proposed or Alternate ROW Corridors. Currently, the preferred route and an alternate is about 1.5 miles south of me and another alternate route is 4.5 miles north of me. The alternate routes have already changed as a deleted one was approximately .5 miles from me. Obviously, I am concerned that these routes can change and be relocated closer to me at any time.

4. Disagree. When a natural gas pipeline runs parallel or intersects with a high

voltage transmission line, electromagnetic interference (EMI) can occur by inducing voltage and currents into the pipeline's conductive metal. Also touch voltages can occur when induced voltages create a potential difference between the pipeline and the ground, posing a shock hazard. This EMI can pose safety risks and affect the integrity of the gas pipe by causing corrosion. My house and outbuildings are located very close to Columbia Gas's 20" high pressure line 1804 and Line 138, a 6" steel pipe that was abandoned in place. If there was a transfer of current into these pipes, it could be catastrophic and affect residences and properties along the gas pipeline like mine that didn't have to necessarily be in the transmission line corridor. Specific studies and evidence concerning any existing gas lines need to be provided, not just general information. The gas and transmission line corridors run parallel and intersect at several locations.

5. Disagree. See my responses 3 & 4

6. Agree. No response is needed to communication preferences, to which NEET MA offered no responsive pleading.

7. Agree. No response is needed to the verification and signature, to which NEET MA offered no responsive pleading.

8. Disagree. NEET MA should not be allowed to ruin PA residents' property for the benefit of another state. Specific safety studies and testing should be performed where gas lines and power transmission lines intersect or run parallel. Properties like mine could be affected even though they are not currently located in the proposed or alternate corridors. Potential routes could move and have severe impacts on properties like mine. I

should not be deleted from the case because currently the routes do not show near me.

NEET MA has already moved and changed some routes and may do so in the future.

9. Agree. No response is needed to the signature and date, to which NEET MA offered no responsive pleading.

III. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for the reasons previously mentioned, I respectfully request that the Commission reject NEET MA's Answers dated June 12, 2026, and allow the Petition to Intervene filed by me, Thomas John Bozek III, filed April 23, 2026. Because I am a resident between proposed routes that have moved before and have a property that can be affected due to the proximity of gas lines to the proposed transmission line corridors, I meet 52 Pa. Code § 5.72 (2) and (3) and should be allowed to intervene in the above referenced cases and any other cases that propagate from the current Docket Numbers.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Thomas John Bozek III", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is cursive and includes the Roman numeral "III" at the end.

Thomas John Bozek III

Dated: June 22, 2026